

August 28, 2002

California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Diego Region 9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100 San Diego, California 92123

ATTN: MR. KELLY DORSEY

SITE: 76 STATION 6519 28903 RANCHO CALIFORNIA ROAD TEMECULA, CALIFORNIA HMD CASE NO. 89392

RE: TRANSMITTAL OF SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT

Dear Ms. Dorsey:

Enclosed is the Site Assessment Report for 76 Station 6519, located at 28903 Rancho California Road in Temecula, California.

If you have any questions, please call us at (858) 505-8881.

Sincerely,

TRC

John Haworth Senior Staff Scientist

Gary J. McCue, RG 5886, CHG 434 Principal Hydrogeologist

cc: Mr. Dan Fischman, Tosco Marketing Mr. Barry Pulver, CRWQCB Mr. Kelly Winters, Riverside HMD Mr. Ian Hutchison, TRC

600121;G:\Projects\76prod\6519\Assess\Site Assessment Report.doc

Jerome Jaminet, Jr. Project Scientist

SITE ASSESSMENT

76 Station 6519 Temecula, California

Prepared For:

TOSCO MARKETING Costa Mesa, California

Prepared By:

TRC San Diego, California

August 28, 2002



SITE ASSESSMENT August 28, 2002

76 Station 6519 28903 Rancho California Road Temecula, California HMD Case No. 89382

Project No. 600121

Prepared For:

TOSCO MARKETING 3525 Hyland Avenue Costa Mesa, California

Prepared By:

John Haworth Senior Staff Scientist

Jerome Jaminet, Jr., REA 7167 Project Scientist

OF CALIF

Gary J. McCue, RG 5886, CHG 434 Principal Hydrogeologist

.TRC 9471 Ridgehaven Court, Suite E San Diego, California 92123

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION

1.0	INTF	INTRODUCTION				
2.0	SITE SETTING					
	2.1	1 Site Description				
	2.2	2.2 Geologic Setting				
	2.3	Hvdrogeologic Setting	2			
	2.4	2.4 Surface Water				
	2.5	Area Groundwater Usage	3			
	2.6	RCWD Wells	4			
	2.7	Historic Oil Wells	5			
3.0	BACKGROUND					
	3.1	Previous Site Assessment Activiies	5			
	3.2	Groundwater Monitoring And Sampling	7			
	3.3	Remedial Testing Activities	8			
	3.4	Source Removal And Remediation Activities	8			
4.0	CHEVRON ASSESSMENT AND REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES					
	4.1	Previous Site Assessment	9			
	4.2	Previous Remediation Activities	10			
	4.3	Recent Site Assessment Activities	10			
5.0	ОВЛ	ECTIVE	10			
6.0	FIELD ACTIVITIES					
	6.1	CPT Borings	11			
	6.2	Hollow Stem Auger Drilling Activities	12			
	6.3	Rotosonic Drilling Activities	13			
	6.4	Investigation Groundwater Sampling Activities	14			
	6.5	Monitoring Well Groundwater Monitoring And Sampling Activities	14			
	6.6	Waste Management	15			
7.0	SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION RESULTS					
	7.1	Geology And Soil Sample Physical Parameter Results	15			
	7.2	Soil Sample Chemical Analysis Results	16			

	7.3 Photoionization Detector (PID) Readings					
	7.4	Invest	tigation Groundwater Sample Results	16		
	7.5	Groundwater Gradient Monitoring Well Groundwater Sample Results				
	7.6					
	7.7	Anom				
		7.7.1	Monitoring Well MW-24AB			
		7.7.2	Historical Change In Groundwater Flow Direction	19		
		7.7.3	Anomalous Groundwater Elevations	19		
		7.7.4	Suspect Chemical Concentrations	19		
8.0	RECEPTOR PATHWAY EVALUATION					
	8.1	Sources				
		8.1.1	Release Scenario			
		8.1.2	Contaminants Of Concern	21		
		8.1.3	Distribution of Hydrocarbons	21		
	8.2	Recep	21			
	8.3	8.3 Pathway Evaluation				
		8.3.1	Groundwater	22		
		8.3.2	Murrieta Creek	23		
		8.3.3	RCWD Well 118	23		
		8.3.4	Other Wells	25		
		8.3.5	Occupants Of Structures	25		
		8.3.6	Utilities	25		
9.0	CON	CONCLUSIONS				
10.0	RECOMMENDATIONS					
11.0	LIMITATIONS					
12.0	REFERENCES					

FIGURES

1 Index Maj	р
-------------	---

- 2
- 3
- Site Vicinity Map Vicinity Map Showing Faults Vicinity Map Showing Location of Identified Water Wells Vicinity Map Showing Cross Section Lines 4
- 5

Site Assessment Report

76 Station 6519, 29803 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California August 28, 2002

- 6 Geologic Cross Section A A'
- 7 Geologic Cross Section B B'
- 8 Geologic Cross Section C C'
- 9 Groundwater Elevation Contour Map Shallow Zone, May 29, 2002
- 10 Groundwater Elevation Contour Map Within Aquitard, May 29, 2002
- 11 Groundwater Elevation Contour Map Below Aquitard, May 29, 2002
- 12 Dissolved-Phase TPHg Concentration Map Shallow Zone, May 29 31, 2002
- 13 Dissolved-Phase TPHg Concentration Map Within Aquitard, May 29 31, 2002
- 14 Dissolved-Phase TPHg Concentration Map Below Aquitard, May 29 31, 2002
- 15 Dissolved-Phase Benzene Concentration Map Shallow Zone, May 29 31, 2002
- 16 Dissolved-Phase Benzene Concentration Map Within Aquitard, May 29 31, 2002
- 17 Dissolved-Phase Benzene Concentration Map Below Aquitard, May 29 31, 2002
- 18 Dissolved-Phase MTBE Concentration Map Shallow Zone, May 29 31, 2002
- 19 Dissolved-Phase MTBE Concentration Map Within Aquitard, May 29 31, 2002
- 20 Dissolved-Phase MTBE Concentration Map Below Aquitard, May 29 31, 2002
- 21 Dissolved-Phase TBA Concentration Map Shallow Zone, May 29 31, 2002
- 22 Dissolved-Phase TBA Concentration Map Within Aquitard, May 29 31, 2002
- 23 Dissolved-Phase TBA Concentration Map Below Aquitard, May 29 31, 2002
- 24 Utility Map

TABLES

- 1 CPT Pore Pressure Dissipation Test Results
- 2 Well Construction Data
- 3 Cumulative Soil Physical Parameter Results
- 4 Soil Physical Parameter Results Sorted by Field Geologist's Soil Classification
- 5 Soil Physical Parameter Results Sorted by Laboratory Soil Classification
- 6 Soil Sample Laboratory Results for Chemical Analysis
- 7 Investigation Groundwater Sample Laboratory Results
- 8 Groundwater Well Gauging and Analytical Results
- 9 Quality Assurance/ Quality Control Sample Laboratory Results
- 10 Potential Receptors
- 11 Exposure Pathway by Medium

Site Assessment Report

76 Station 6519, 29803 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California August 28, 2002

APPENDICES

- Selected Tables From Previous Reports Α
- Selected Tables and Figures from Chevron Reports В
- General Field Procedures, Well and Encroachment Permits, Boring Logs, and Survey С Data
- Driller's CPT Reports D
- Laboratory Reports and Chain of Custody Waste Disposal Manifests Е
- F

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes site assessment activities conducted from May 10, 2001 through January 28, 2002, at 76 Service Station 6519 (site), located at 28903 Rancho California Road in Temecula, California (Figures 1 and 2). Work was performed in accordance with the workplan, the addendum to the workplan, and the second addendum to the workplan prepared by TRC Alton Geoscience, Inc. (TRC) and dated March 9, July 16, and September 13, 2001, respectively.

2.0 SITE SETTING

2.1 SITE DESCRIPTION

The Site is an active service station with three dispenser islands, two 15,000-gallon gasoline underground storage tanks (USTs), one 12,000-gallon diesel UST, and a service station building (Figure 2). The Site is bordered on the north by Rancho California Road, on the west by Front Street, and on the south by Moreno Road. Chevron Service Station 9-1870 is located to the north across Rancho California Road. The flood channel embankment for Murrieta Creek is located approximately 100 feet west of the Site across Front Street.

2.2 GEOLOGIC SETTING

The Site is located in Temecula Valley within the flood plain of Murrieta Creek, at an elevation of approximately 1,000 feet above mean sea level (United States Geological Survey [USGS], 1975 and 1979).

Tectonically, the Site lies within the Elsinore Trough, the structural feature defined by the seismically active Elsinore fault zone (Figure 3). The west side of the trough, approximately 2,000 feet from the Site, is defined by the Willard fault zone, composed of a series of east-dipping, steeply inclined normal faults. The Wildomar fault zone, approximately 1,500 to 2,000 feet east of the Site, is characterized by right-stepping, strike-slip faults. The trough is bounded to the west by the Santa Ana Mountains and to the east by the Perris Block. These mountains and hills are composed of pre-Cretaceous metamorphic rocks and Cretaceous granitic rocks, with outcroppings of Miocene-aged basalts and Quaternary-aged sedimentary deposits along the sides at lower elevations (Kennedy, 1977).

Regionally, the Site is underlain by approximately 1,500 feet of alluvium, colluvium, and slope wash sediments of late Pleistocene to Holocene age. The alluvium consists of moderately well sorted, poorly consolidated sand and silt, with tongues of pebble conglomerate. The colluvium and slope wash deposits are composed principally of poorly consolidated fine sand, silt, and clay.

These deposits are underlain by the Pauba Formation, a succession of late Pleistocene siltstone, sandstone, and conglomerate (Kennedy, 1977).

Based on drilling conducted at the Site, the Site is underlain by interbedded layers of fine to coarse sands, clayey to silty sands, clayey silts and silts.

2.3 HYDROGEOLOGIC SETTING

Based on a review of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (CRWQCB) Water Quality Control Plan for the San Diego Region, the Site lies within the Murrieta Hydrologic Area of the Santa Margarita Hydrologic Unit. Groundwater within the Murrieta Hydrologic Area is designated as having existing beneficial uses for municipal, agricultural, and industrial supplies (CRWQCB, 1994).

The Site is located over an alluvial groundwater basin as defined by the California Department of Water Resources (CDWR) and, therefore, is located over a basin considered by the CRWQCB to be a "sensitive aquifer" and an area designated as "most vulnerable to groundwater contamination" (CDWR, 1975; CRWQCB, 1996 and 2000; California State Water Resources Control Board [CSWRCB], 2000; and State of California Teale Data Center, undated).

Based on the water well driller's report for Rancho California Water District (RCWD) well 118 located approximately 1,000 feet northwest of the site, there appear to be two primary aquifers in the Site area. The upper or shallow aquifer, extending from the surface to as deep as 145 fbg, consists of alluvial sediments of sand, silt, and clay. Recharge of the shallow aquifer is reportedly influenced by the flow of Murrieta Creek located west of the Site. The deeper Temecula Aquifer is described as consisting of older alluvial sediments, approximately 1,500 feet thick, and as the source of water to the RCWD wells (Harding Lawson Associates [HLA], 1984a; HLA, 1986; CRWQCB, 2001a; Geo-Hydro-Data, 1986).

The regional hydrologic gradient is controlled, in part, by the faults of the Elsinore fault zone that surround the Site. A groundwater barrier is reported to exist to the east of the Site, along the Wildomar fault zone. In Temecula valley, groundwater generally flows to the southwest toward Temecula Canyon and the Santa Margarita River (Giessner et al, 1971; Kennedy, 1977).

2.4 SURFACE WATER

The flood channel embankment of Murrieta Creek begins approximately 100 feet southwest of the Site, across Front Street. The primary creek channel is approximately 200 feet west of the site (Figure 2). The flow of the creek varies seasonally with rainfall and aquifer recharge.

Murrieta Creek drains a portion of the Santa Margarita Basin, an area of approximately 222 square miles (USGS, 2001). Approximately two miles southeast of the Site, Murrieta Creek converges with Temecula Creek, forming the Santa Margarita River, which flows southwest through Temecula Canyon (Figure 1).

TRC obtained USGS gauging data from gauging stations in the vicinity of the Site. TRC performed a preliminary review of the data gathered at USGS Gauging Station No. 11043000, which is located in Murrieta Creek approximately 1.5 miles southeast and downstream of the Site and RCWD well 118. Data for this station was available from 1931 through September 30, 1999. This data indicates that peak discharge occurred in January 1993 at a rate of 25,000 cubic feet per second (cfs), over 17 feet above gage datum. The daily mean discharge on days of flow in the 1990s has ranged from 0.1 to 7790 cfs with the last recorded date of no discharge being December 26, 1992 (USGS, 2001).

In a 1984 interview, the Chevron station owner reported that flood waters from Murrieta Creek have reached the level of Front Street west of the station (HLA, 1984a).

2.5 AREA GROUNDWATER USAGE

Historically, residents of Temecula Valley had to rely on many privately-owned production wells to supply water (Giessner et al, 1971). However, according to Mr. Craig Elitharp of the RCWD, there are currently no active private, domestic wells in the area. As a condition of permit approval, all new development in the area is required to utilize the RCWD as the sole supplier of potable water (Canonie, 1993). Historical private wells were reportedly abandoned when the area was developed for industrial and commercial purposes (PIC Environmental [PIC], 1997). However, TRC identified one active well during a preliminary reconnaissance of the area at the Kids World School, located at 41956 Third Street, Temecula. This well is approximately ¹/₂ mile south-southeast and upgradient of the Site. Mr. Jim Gillis, Well Permits Section, Riverside HMD, confirmed that this is an active well. Mr. Gillis was not aware of any other active wells in the area other than those operated by the RCWD (Gillis, 2001).

In addition to Mr. Gillis, TRC also contacted the CDWR, City of Temecula Planning Department and the Geotracker website to research the potential presence of groundwater wells in the vicinity of the Site (CDWR, 2001; Anders, 2001; Geotracker, 2001). Based on these sources, TRC has identified a number of historical and existing wells in the area (Figure 4). The RCWD operates a network of production wells north of the site to supply drinking water to the Temecula and surrounding communities. A number of historical, private drinking water wells were identified south of the site. The current status and exact location of most of these wells is not known. A drive-by field reconnaissance by TRC confirmed the locations of RCWD wells 118 and 137 as well as the Kids World School well. No other wells were observed during this driving survey of the area.

2.6 RCWD WELLS

The closest active production well to the Site is RCWD Well No. 118, located approximately 1,000 feet to the northwest (Figure 2). The well, used to provide drinking water to the City of Temecula, is identified by the CDWR as well number T08S/R03W-02J1, and is located at 28076 Diaz Road. The well was installed in 1986 to a depth of 1,110 feet below grade (fbg), and is screened from 320 to 400 feet, and from 460 to 1,100 feet, through the Temecula Aquifer. From July 1997 to August 2000, depth to water in the well has ranged from approximately 51 to 188 feet. The seal is set from grade to a depth of 68 feet, and the pump is positioned at 310 fbg. Production capacity is rated at approximately 2,119 gallons per minute. In the two years prior to shutdown in 2001, monthly production ranged from 82 to 139 acre-feet. Water from this well is continually chlorinated and is then blended with other water well sources before distribution to approximately 23,000 domestic connections (Geotracker, 2001; CRWQCB, 2001a).

Well 118 was taken out of service on September 10, 2000 (CRWQCB, 2001b). Based on conversations between Julie Chan, CRWQCB and Dan Fischman, Tosco, it is our understanding that well 118 was taken out of service for maintenance purposes. Prior to shut down, the well had been sampled for methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) in February, March, and April of 2000. The identified MTBE concentrations were 3.7 micrograms per liter (μ g/l) on February 29 and below the laboratory detection limits in March and April. On September 10, 2000, the MTBE concentration was 7.8 μ g/l. Additional sampling of the well in October and November 2000 and February 2001 identified MTBE concentrations below the laboratory detection limit, at 4.7 μ g/l, and 24 μ g/l, respectively. The well remains out of service with occasional test pumping and is being sampled for MTBE on a monthly basis (CRWQCB, 2001b).

In addition to the recent exceedance of the MTBE maximum contaminant level (MCL) in well 118, this well has also seen at least two instances of exceedances of the secondary MCL for manganese, which is 50 μ g/l. As reported in the Geotracker website, RCWD well 118 has been sampled for manganese a total of four times. Dissolved phase manganese concentrations were reported as 0.02 μ g/l, 40 μ g/l, 60 μ g/l and 100 μ g/l in the years 1990, 1993, 1996 and 1999, respectively (Geotracker, 2001).

An additional well, RCWD Well No. 102, is located approximately ½ mile northwest of the Site (Figure 4). The well (CDWR No. T8S/R3W-02Q1) is 1,275 feet deep and is screened from 348 to 396 feet, 424 to 466 feet, 563 to 663 feet, 703 to 773 feet, and 835 to 1,245 feet (PIC, 1997). The pump is set at 300 fbg and has a production capacity of 1,301 gallons per minute (Canonie

Environmental, 1993). According to Mr. Elitharp, RCWD, the well is pumped only during the summer due to hydrogen sulfide concentrations (PIC, 1997). A structure potentially housing this well was identified during the TRC field reconnaissance, but the well location could not be confirmed due to a lack of access.

Other active RCWD wells are located further northwest, north, and northeast of the Site. Typically, they are greater than 1,000 feet in depth and are screened at depths of 300 fbg and greater (Canonie Environmental, 1993).

2.7 HISTORIC OIL WELLS

One exploratory oil well was drilled in the Site vicinity by the Positive Oil Company in 1957. As mapped by a California Division of Mines and Geology publication, this oil well was located approximately 1,500 feet north of the Site, at the approximate current location of the Liberty Auto Center, 28903 Front Street, Temecula, and adjacent to the Narain Oil unauthorized release case (Figure 4). The well proved to be barren of oil and was abandoned. The method of abandonment is not described in records currently obtained by TRC, but may provide a hydrogeologic connection between the shallow and deeper aquifers. Total depth of the oil well, named "Berghofer", was approximately 4,050 feet, which was through Holocene and Pleistocene sediments. Basement rocks were reportedly not encountered (Kennedy, 1977; Munger, 1994). Other exploratory oil wells were attempted approximately 1.5 miles north of the Site.

3.0 BACKGROUND

3.1 PREVIOUS SITE ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES

In March 1989, HLA supervised the removal of one 6,000-gallon diesel and two 10,000-gallon gasoline USTs, and a 280-gallon waste oil tank from the Site. New replacement USTs consisted of one 10,000-gallon diesel and two 12,000-gallon gasoline, double-walled USTs, which were placed within the enlarged, original tank cavity. Information supplied to Alton Geoscience by Unocal Refining and Marketing Division indicates that gasoline- and diesel-impacted soil was reportedly encountered following tank removal. The highest total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) concentrations were detected below the southern end of the center gasoline tank at 1,813 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg). Soil samples beneath the diesel UST had total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbon (TRPH) concentrations of 961 mg/kg. The estimated lateral and vertical extent of gasoline- or diesel-range hydrocarbons were not assessed in the area of the gasoline storage tank cavity at that time. The soil sample collected beneath the waste oil UST was below laboratory detection limits for TRPH (HLA, 1989).

Alton Geoscience performed site characterization activities in March and October, 1992. These activities included excavation of six slanted hand auger borings, HA-1 through HA-6, installed to approximately 9 feet below existing dispenser islands, and drilling eight onsite and two offsite hollow-stem auger borings to a maximum depth of 30 fbg. These eight borings were converted to groundwater monitoring wells MW-2, MW-3, and MW-5 through MW-10 to approximately 24 fbg. One additional onsite groundwater monitoring well, MW-11, and four onsite vapor extraction wells, VEW-12 through VEW-15, were installed in September 1993.

Hydrocarbon concentrations up to 14,000 mg/kg total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPHg) and 5,100 mg/kg total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel (TPHd) were detected in soil samples collected in the vicinity of the tank cavity (Boring B-5 at 5 fbg), and up to 850 mg/kg TPHg in the vicinity of the center pump island (Boring HA-3 at 3.5 fbg). Soil samples from surrounding boring locations had concentrations ranging from below the laboratory detection limits to 240 mg/kg TPHg (VEW-14 at 6.5 fbg). Based on the results of these investigations, Alton Geoscience concluded that the lateral and vertical extent of adsorbed-phase hydrocarbons appeared to be adequately assessed, and the lateral extent of dissolved-phase hydrocarbons appeared adequately assessed in all directions except to the north-northwest in the downgradient direction. In this direction, it appeared that the dissolved-phase plume from the Site commingled with the dissolved-phase plume from the Chevron station. Alton Geoscience concluded that additional monitoring wells in Rancho California Road were not expected to provide any additional delineation of the dissolved-phase plumes since Chevron already had placed wells in this area (Alton Geoscience, 1992, 1993, and 1994a).

In March 1994, Alton Geoscience conducted a limited remedial excavation adjacent to and below the central dispenser island and the eastern dispenser island during station renovation activities. Soil samples collected at the limit of the excavation, representative of soil remaining at the site, had concentrations ranging from below the laboratory detection limits to 7,996 mg/kg TPHg (sample E5 at 10 fbg) (Alton Geoscience, 1994b).

In April 1996, additional soil sampling was performed as part of a complete station demolition and renovation. All existing structures were removed, a limited remedial excavation was conducted beneath the waste oil tank and one of the hydraulic lifts, and the current UST system and station structures were installed at the Site. The TPHg concentrations in the soil that was removed from beneath the underground fuel storage tanks ranged up to 9,500 mg/kg (sample T1N-12.5 at 12.5 fbg). Benzene concentrations ranged up to 9.4 mg/kg. The TPHg and benzene concentrations of soil samples collected from beneath the product lines were below the laboratory detection limits. Following remedial excavation, soil sample laboratory results for

TRPH from beneath the waste oil tank and the hydraulic lifts were below laboratory detection limits (Alton Geoscience, 1996).

On May 12, 1997, Alton Geoscience drilled five borings, B-18 through B-22, to 17 fbg to evaluate the progress of remedial activities at the Site. TPHg concentrations in soil samples ranged from below the laboratory detection limits to 3.6 mg/kg (boring B-19-17.0 at 17 fbg). Based on the results of this investigation, Alton Geoscience concluded that remedial activities had been successful in reducing hydrocarbon concentrations in soil and recommended closure of the unauthorized release case for this Site (Alton Geoscience, 1997a, 1997b).

In 1998, the Riverside County Hazardous Materials Division (HMD) stated that closure could not be granted because of increasing dissolved-phase MTBE concentrations in monitor well MW-16 and requested that the source of these increasing concentrations be investigated. Additionally, the HMD requested a 24-hour soil vapor extraction (SVE) test.

Tosco inspected the UST system at the Site and was unable to identify a specific source of the increasing MTBE concentrations. As of December 18, 2000, Tosco has started using ethanol to substitute for MTBE as a fuel additive at this station.

In January 2001, the CRWQCB informed Tosco and other UST owners of the MTBE impacts to RCWD well 118 and requested additional assessment for sites in the vicinity of well 118.

3.2 GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND SAMPLING

Groundwater monitoring has been performed quarterly in Site wells since 1992. The depth to groundwater at the Site has historically ranged from 11 to over 25 fbg with fluctuations in individual wells ranging from approximately 5 to 11 feet. The groundwater flow direction was initially measured for several quarters in 1992 and 1993 as flowing to the southeast at approximately 0.03 to 0.045 foot per foot. In the second quarter of 1993, however, the flow direction shifted to the northwest at approximately 0.016 foot per foot. Since then, the flow direction has consistently been to the west or northwest at gradients ranging from 0.01 foot per foot to 0.09 foot per foot (TRC, 2002a; Appendix A).

Historical dissolved-phase concentrations in wells adjacent to the UST cavity (MW-2, MW-3, MW-5 and MW-16) have ranged from below laboratory detection limits in well MW-3 to 3,120,000 μ g/l TPHg (MW-16), 26,000 μ g/l benzene (MW-5), and 717,000 μ g/l MTBE (MW-16). Concentrations in the other wells at the Site have ranged from below the laboratory detection limits to 130,000 μ g/l TPHg (MW-10), 6,507 μ g/l benzene (MW-6), and 2,200 μ g/l MTBE (MW-11). Since 1993, dissolved-phase TPHg and benzene concentrations have been

stable or have generally dropped by one to two orders of magnitude in all the Site wells, except for a temporary spike in concentrations in downgradient wells in 1998 and 1999 (TRC, 2002a; Appendix A).

Groundwater samples have only been analyzed for MTBE at the site since 1996. MTBE concentrations rose in well MW-16 to a peak of 717,000 μ g/l in February 1999 and have since dropped to 21,000 μ g/l in December 2001, likely as a result of ongoing SVE activities. Smaller rises in MTBE concentrations were detected in 1999 in wells MW-10, MW-11 and MW-17 (TRC, 2002a; Appendix A).

3.3 REMEDIAL TESTING ACTIVITIES

Two constant rate vapor injection tests were performed in October 1993. Monitoring wells MW-2, MW-3, MW-5, and MW-15 and vapor extraction wells VEW-12 through VEW-14 were used in the tests. The constant rate tests consisted of injecting air at 23.6 cubic feet per minute (cfm) and 15.5 cfm into VEW-14 (Test 1) and MW-2 (Test 2), respectively, and recording pressure responses in as many as three observation points. Based on this test, the radius of influence (ROI) at the Site was estimated to be approximately 40 to 80 feet. Based on laboratory analysis of vapor samples, VEW-14 and MW-2 had TPH concentrations of 2,790 and 670 parts per million per volume (ppmv) and benzene concentrations of 370 and 55 ppmv, respectively. Based on these successful results, SVE was selected as the remediation alternative and eventually implemented at the Site (Alton Geoscience, 1994c).

After the shutdown of the original SVE system and as a result of rising MTBE concentrations in soil, the HMD requested an additional SVE test. Alton Geoscience performed a 24-hour SVE test in September 1998. Trace levels of product were observed during the test in well MW-16 for the first time in any well at the Site. Based on the test results and data from previous SVE operations at the Site, Alton Geoscience concluded that SVE appeared to be a technically feasible approach for remediation of soil concentrations and free product at the Site. Alton Geoscience recommended resumption of SVE activities if measurable free product was observed at the Site (Alton Geoscience, 1999).

3.4 SOURCE REMOVAL AND REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES

In March 1989, one 6,000-gallon diesel UST, two 10,000-gallon gasoline USTs, and a 280-gallon waste oil tank were removed from the property, and replaced with one 10,000-gallon diesel and two new 12,000-gallon, double-walled, gasoline USTs within the enlarged, original tank cavity. The volume of hydrocarbon impacted soil removed during these activities was not reported to Alton Geoscience (HLA, 1989).

In March 1994 and April 1996, Alton Geoscience conducted limited remedial excavation around the dispenser islands, USTs, former clarifier, and former hydraulic lifts. The excavations removed approximately 130 cubic yards of hydrocarbon-affected soil in 1994 and 220 tons in 1996 (Alton Geoscience, 1994b; Alton Geoscience, 1996).

In addition to limited remedial excavation, an SVE remediation system has been operated at the Site. The first SVE system was started in February 1995 and shut down on June 30, 1995, under the direction of Unocal Corporation. The SVE system was disconnected on August 7, 1995 and removed from the Site on August 18, 1995. A second SVE system was installed onsite and started on February 15, 1996. The system was eventually shut off because of low benzene influent concentrations on November 21, 1996. The system was restarted on April 11, 1997, and shut off again on May 2, 1997, due to low influent concentrations. This system was subsequently removed from the Site. A total of 1,031 gallons (8,100 pounds) of hydrocarbons were recovered through SVE from February 1, 1995 through 1997 (Alton Geoscience, 1999).

Based on the rising dissolved-phase MTBE concentrations detected in well MW-16, the HMD requested in 1999 that remediation using SVE be resumed at the Site. TRC installed two new vapor extraction wells for this purpose in June 1999. ERI permitted and installed a new SVE system at the Site and SVE operations resumed on July 31, 2000. Since startup of this SVE system on July 31, 2000, the system has operated for a total of 10,469 hours and recovered approximately 8,033 pounds of hydrocarbons (Environ Strategy, 2002).

A groundwater extraction system, including seven extraction wells, was installed at the site and began operation on May 15, 2002. The system operated for the remainder of the second quarter. However, TRC has received notice from the sewer authority that our permit to discharge to the sanitary sewer has been suspended. The groundwater extraction system was temporarily turned off on July 22, 2002, while the suspension is appealed and other disposal alternatives are explored (Environ Strategy, 2002).

4.0 CHEVRON ASSESSMENT AND REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES

4.1 PREVIOUS SITE ASSESSMENT

At the Chevron station, across Rancho California Road from the Site (Figure 2), groundwater levels in monitoring wells have reportedly fluctuated by as much as 60 feet. At the time of initial investigation in 1984, groundwater was approximately 15 fbg. Groundwater levels dropped until reaching its low in 1990 and then rebounding to near 1984 levels (Groundwater Technology,

1995). Since 1992, groundwater levels in offsite Chevron wells have shown up to 10 feet in variation while wells on the Chevron station have shown up to 30 feet in variation. Chevron well B-15 appears to have anonymously high MTBE concentrations given the surrounding wells with lower MTBE concentrations. It is not clear if the MTBE concentrations are affected by the well construction. The range of variation for groundwater levels in well B-15 was at least 10 feet, but could not be determined because of inadequate screen depth, which has caused the well to become dry as the water table dropped. Groundwater flow directions have been inconsistent, but recently appear to flow to the northwest or north (BBC, 2000).

4.2 PREVIOUS REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES

Prior to 1986, free product was observed in Chevron wells B-2, B-3, B-5, B-6, and R-1, which were located adjacent to, and south of, the tank pit and pump islands. From 1984 to 1990, the thicknesses of free product and dissolved-phase hydrocarbon concentrations decreased, which was interpreted by Chevron consultants to be the result of smearing of contaminants through the vadose zone and later partial mass removal by SVE. However, SVE operations would not have removed the product trapped below groundwater, which had recovered to near 1984 levels by 1992. SVE was conducted intermittently from 1992 to 1993, 1994 to 1995, and 1996 to 1997. An estimated 9,400 pounds of hydrocarbons were recovered (Fluor Daniel GTI, 1998; Groundwater Technology, Inc., 1995).

4.3 RECENT SITE ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES

On November 27 through 30, 2001, ten Hydropunch groundwater sample locations were installed along the northern and southern sides of Rancho California Road. Groundwater samples collected from these locations ranged from below laboratory detection limits to 2,300 μ g/L TPHg, 390 μ g/L benzene, and 240 μ g/L MTBE (Holguin Fahan and Associates (HFA), 2001) (Appendix B). Additionally, site assessment activities including the destruction and installation of groundwater monitor wells has recently been performed for which data has not yet been received.

5.0 **OBJECTIVE**

The primary objective of the scope of work presented herein is to assess the lateral and vertical extent of dissolved-phase hydrocarbons in groundwater downgradient of the Site and between the Site and RCWD well 118. This assessment is also intended to serve as a basis for conducting additional more detailed assessments, conducting risk assessment, performing remediation feasibility evaluations, or establishing interim remedial actions, as necessary.

6.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES

A series of nine cone penetrometer test (CPT) borings were installed in May 2001 to determine subsurface conditions and to guide the placement of subsequent groundwater wells. Additionally, in May 2001, two groundwater monitor wells, MW-8 and MW-9, were destroyed due to insufficient water in the wells for sampling and MW-18 was installed to replace MW-9 (Figure 2).

From October through December 2001, a total of 16 groundwater monitor wells were installed using either hollow stem auger or rotosonic drilling techniques in predetermined locations and to depths based on the May 2001 CPT boring locations and geology encountered during drilling. An additional series of three CPT borings were also installed in October 2002 to assess groundwater north of well MW-25B along the river bank (Figure 2).

All CPT borings and 11 groundwater monitor wells located on the Murrieta Creek Channel right of way were installed under Encroachment Permit number 7-0-0020-2522 obtained from the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District. Wells in the street were installed under Encroachment Permit LD01-140CO obtained from the City of Temecula (Appendix C).

Prior to drilling, monitor well installation permits 25207 through 25209 and 25594 through 25611 were obtained from the Riverside County Department of Environmental Health (Appendix C). A geophysical survey was performed to verify the utility markout and finalize boring locations. The selected locations were additionally hand-augered to approximately 5 fbg prior to drilling to inspect for potential underground utilities.

6.1 CPT BORINGS

A total of nine CPT borings, CPT-1 through CPT-3, CPT-3A, CPT-4, CPT-4A, and CPT-5 through CPT-7 were advanced between May 10 to May 17, 2001 to depths of approximately 52 to 97 fbg (Figure 2). A total of 37 groundwater samples were collected from these CPT boring locations using a hydropunch type sampling technique. Soil samples were collected from these CPT borings using a piston type sampler. Soil samples were transported by BC Laboratories to Core Laboratories for physical parameter analysis. Pore pressure dissipation tests were performed at various depths on eight of the nine CPT borings (Table 1).

Three additional CPT borings, CPT-9 through CPT-11, were advanced on October 30 and 31, 2001 to depths of approximately 88 to 100 fbg (Figure 2). A total of 17 groundwater samples were collected from these CPT boring locations using a hydropunch type sampling technique. A copy of the driller's CPT reports for both drilling dates are attached in Appendix D.

6.2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER DRILLING ACTIVITIES

One groundwater monitor well, MW-18, was installed and two groundwater monitor wells, MW-8 and MW-9, were destroyed on May 24, 2001 using a 10-inch hollow stem auger. MW-8 was destroyed in anticipation of planned street expansion activities by the City of Temecula and MW-9 was destroyed due to insufficient well depth for proper sampling. Well MW-18 was intended to replace MW-9. MW-18 was drilled to approximately 30.5 fbg and the well was constructed at approximately 28 fbg using 4-inch diameter PVC. Soil samples were generally collected using a California Modified Split Spoon Sampler, screened for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) using a Bacharach combustible gas indicator, and described using the Unified Soil Classification System.

A total of five groundwater monitoring wells, MW-20A, MW-21A, MW-22A, MW-23A, and MW-24A were installed between November 27 and 28 and December 4 and 5, 2001 using 10inch hollow stem auger. The total depth of the monitoring wells ranged between 20.5 to 27 fbg. Soil samples were generally collected using a California Modified Split Spoon sampler, screened for VOCs using a photo-ionization detector (PID), and described using the Unified Soil Classification System. Groundwater monitor wells were constructed of 4-inch diameter PVC. A drill-rig mounted surge block was typically used to develop the monitoring wells after the filter pack was installed in order to settle the filter pack and disperse fine sediment from the borehole. A drill-rig mounted bailer was typically used to remove groundwater from the wells after they were surged. In other cases a well development rig with a downhole pump was used to fully develop the well. A summary of well construction details is included in Table 2. General field procedures, the well and encroachment permits, boring logs, and well construction details are in Appendix C.

Soil samples were transported by courier to state-certified BC Laboratories, Inc. for chemical analysis. Selected samples were analyzed for TPHg, in accordance with EPA Method 8015, and for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX), MTBE, and other oxygenates in accordance with modified EPA Method 8260B. In addition, selected soil samples were transported by courier to PTS Laboratories and analyzed for various physical parameters. Copies of the official laboratory reports and chain of custody protocol are included in Appendix E.

6.3 ROTOSONIC DRILLING ACTIVITIES

A total of eleven groundwater monitoring wells, MW-19B, MW-20B, MW-21B, MW-22B, MW-23B, MW-23C, MW-24AB, MW-24B, MW-24C, MW-25B, and MW-26C, were installed between October 23 and December 9, 2001 utilizing a rotosonic drilling technique. Monitoring wells were installed to between 60 and 214 fbg.

The rotosonic drilling technique utilized a 6-inch core barrel advanced by rotation and ultra-sonic vibrations. Various size conductor casings were advanced behind the core barrel to ensure the integrity of the boring walls as the core barrel was advanced. As many as three sizes of conductor casings, 10-inch, 9-inch, and 8-inch, were used to seal off zones of low permeability soil and limit hydraulic communication between the separate zones. The 10-inch conductor casing was typically advanced first and keyed into the first zone of low permeability soil. The 9-inch conductor casing was then advanced inside the 10-inch conductor casing and was keyed into the second zone of low permeability soil. The 8-inch conductor casing was advanced inside the 9-inch conductor casing and was typically advanced to the total depth of the boring. A bentonite grout slurry was placed between the various sized conductor casings to further limit hydraulic communication between various water producing zones.

The monitoring wells were then constructed inside the 8-inch conductor casing using 4-inch diameter PVC casing. Monitoring wells were screened at discreet depths between or below zones of low permeability soil. As the conductor casings were removed from the boring, the sand pack and other well construction materials settled due to the vibration of the casing. A well development rig with a downhole pump was used to remove groundwater from the wells after they were installed in order to fully develop the wells.

Soil from the core barrel was typically collected in polyurethane bags, screened for hydrocarbon vapors using a PID, and described in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System. Soil samples were either collected in-situ using a California Modified Split Spoon sampler or were collected from the core after it was brought to the surface by driving a brass sleeve into the core at the desired depth. A summary of well construction details is included in Table 2. General field procedures, the well and encroachment permits, boring logs, and well construction details are in Appendix C.

Soil samples were transported by courier to state-certified BC Laboratories, Inc. for chemical analysis. Selected samples were analyzed for TPHg in accordance with EPA Method 8015, and for BTEX, MTBE, and other oxygenates in accordance with modified EPA Method 8260B. In addition, selected soil samples were transported by courier to PTS Laboratories and analyzed for

various physical parameters. Copies of the official laboratory reports and chain of custody protocol are included in Appendix E.

6.4 INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER SAMPLING ACTIVITIES

Groundwater samples were collected from selected borings during drilling activities by one of two methods. In the first method, groundwater samples of the static water table were collected by allowing the groundwater inside the boring to equilibrate and then obtaining groundwater samples using a disposable bailer. Groundwater samples collected from discreet depths within selected borings were collected by using either a Hydropunch type or Simulprobe sampler. These sampling devices were advanced beyond the bottom of the boring to obtain undisturbed groundwater samples at desired depths.

In addition, completed groundwater monitoring wells MW-22B, MW-24B, and MW-25B were purged and sampled on November 12, 2001 prior to the completion of other site assessment activities, in order to obtain preliminary data.

Groundwater samples either were analyzed onsite by various state-certified mobile laboratories, including Baseline On-Site Analysis Laboratories, HP Laboratories, or Jones Environmental Inc., or they were transported to state-certified BC Laboratories, Inc. Groundwater samples were analyzed for TPHg in accordance with EPA Method 8015B, and for BTEX, MTBE, and other oxygenates in accordance with EPA Method 8260B. Copies of the official laboratory reports and chain of custody protocol are included in Appendix E.

6.5 MONITORING WELL GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND SAMPLING ACTIVITIES

On December 17 and 18, 2001 all site monitor wells were monitored, purged and sampled. This sampling event could not be coordinated with Fourth Quarter sampling of Chevron's wells due to differing assessment needs. The First and Second Quarter 2002 monitoring and sampling events on January 28 and 29 and May 29 through 31, however, were coordinated with Chevron. In accordance with the approved workplan dated April 3, 2002, TRC performed pre-purge and post-purge sampling of all 76 station groundwater wells during the Second Quarter 2002 sampling event. Monitoring and sampling was performed in accordance with the general field procedures in Appendix C.

Groundwater samples were transported to BC Laboratories and analyzed for TPHg in accordance with EPA Method 8015B, and for BTEX, MTBE and other oxygenates in accordance with EPA

Method 8260B. Copies of the official laboratory reports and chain of custody protocol are included in Appendix E.

6.6 WASTE MANAGEMENT

A total of 154 drums of soil cuttings and 235 drums of purged groundwater, rinse water, and well construction water were generated during site assessment activities and stored in 55-gallon drums. The drums were transported by Filter Recycling, Inc. for offsite disposal. Copies of the manifests are attached in Appendix F.

7.0 SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATION RESULTS

7.1 GEOLOGY AND SOIL SAMPLE PHYSICAL PARAMETER RESULTS

Soils identified during drilling included fine to coarse grained sands, silts, sand/silt mixtures, clayey silts, gravelly sands, and minor clays and gravels. During drilling, TRC generally observed three distinct geologic zones. The shallow soil, up to 30 feet deep, generally consisted of a mixture of brown colored sands and silts. From between approximately 25 to 105 feet deep, a low permeability soil zone consisting of gray or green silts with some sand lenses was encountered. From below approximately 40 to 105 feet, a deeper sand unit with minor silt lenses was encountered that appears to be part of the deeper aquifer drawn by RCWD well 118 (Figures 5 through 8).

This interpretation of three distinct geologic zones is supported by the results of current and previous laboratory physical parameter analysis. Table 3 presents all physical parameter data to date by boring. Table 4 presents physical parameter data for soil samples collected during rotosonic drilling and sorted by the field geologist's interpretation of the soil type. Table 5 presents physical parameter data for soil samples collected during rotosonic drilling and sorted by the soil type. Based on a review of this data, several conclusions can be drawn. It appears that many of the geologists field classifications of silty sands, clayey sands, and clays are actually silts. Therefore, it appears that previous field geologists may have similarly misinterpreted the silt content and soils classified as silty sands during previous investigations may actually be silts. Further, hydraulic conductivities of these silts are very low, averaging 1.45×10^{-6} centimeters per second (cm/s) horizontally and 3.7×10^{-6} cm/s vertically. These silts appear to act as aquitards and as barriers to contamination migration. Lastly, these laboratory results consistently show the presence of this low permeability silt in the locations tested.

Therefore, TRC believes this intermediate silt zone is an aquitard that appears to be protecting the deeper groundwater aquifer pumped by well 118 from the shallow petroleum hydrocarbons at the 76 station. This silt layer has consistently been observed at the 76 station as well as in offsite drilling. Within this silt layer, TRC observed sand lenses with thicknesses ranging from approximately one to 8 feet. However, the underlying silt layers are as thick as 22 feet. No evidence of a break in the silt layer, i.e. a natural vertical conduit through the silt layer, was identified during this investigation, nor did any boring of adequate depth fail to encounter the silt lithology. This consistency and continuity support the conclusion that the silt appears to be serving as an aquitard, limiting the vertical migration of hydrocarbons downward.

7.2 SOIL SAMPLE CHEMICAL ANALYSIS RESULTS

A total of 74 soil samples were submitted for chemical analysis during this investigation. TPHg concentrations were below laboratory detection limits in all soil samples analyzed for chemical analysis. Concentrations of other constituents ranged from below laboratory detection limits in most samples to 0.14 mg/kg benzene, 1.2 mg/kg MTBE, and 8.4 mg/kg tertiary butyl alcohol (TBA). Detected soil concentrations were identified only in the same areas as impacted groundwater (Table 6, Appendix E).

7.3 PHOTOIONIZATION DETECTOR (PID) READINGS

PID readings were used to guide selection of the screen intervals for each well location. In general, small fluctuation in PID readings did not correlate with detections of petroleum hydrocarbons in soil or groundwater, but large spikes in concentrations did. PID readings were generally collected by inserting the tip of the PID into each end of the polyurethane bag, in which the rotosonic drilling dispensed the approximately 2.5 foot long soil core. Therefore, PID readings were used to identify volatile hydrocarbons generated from the entire 2.5 foot length of the each core, and not to link the hydrocarbons to more precise depths. PID readings are listed on the boring logs and the depth measurement for each reading indicates the location at which the PID was inserted into the polyurethane bag (Appendix E).

7.4 INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER SAMPLE RESULTS

A total of 54 groundwater samples collected from 11 CPT borings were below laboratory detection limits for TPHg and TBA. Concentrations of other constituents ranged from below laboratory detection limits to $4.1\mu g/l$ benzene and $510 \mu g/l$ MTBE (Table 7; Appendix E). These results were used to guide the location and depths of new wells installed during this investigation.

A total of 30 groundwater samples collected from six rotosonic borings were below laboratory detection limits for TPHg. Concentrations of other constituents ranged from below laboratory detection limits to 53 μ g/l benzene, 180 μ g/l MTBE, and 42 μ g/l TBA (Table 7; Appendix E). These results were used to guide selection of the screen intervals for each well location.

On November 12, 2001, TRC collected groundwater samples from wells MW-22B, MW-24B, and MW-25B to obtain preliminary groundwater data in order to guide the ongoing investigation. The samples were not analyzed for TPHg and were below laboratory detection limits for TBA. Concentrations of other constituents ranged from 34 μ g/l to 190 μ g/l benzene and 0.69 μ g/l to 3.6 μ g/l MTBE (Table 7; Appendix E). However, this data was not and is not being used as part of our interpretation of the groundwater impacts at the site because TRC suspects cross-contamination of the samples as discussed in Section 7.7.4 below.

7.5 GROUNDWATER GRADIENT

The depth to water during the Second Quarter groundwater sampling event ranged from 11.71 to 23.78 fbg (Table 8). Historically, the groundwater gradient in the onsite shallow zone generally has been to the north until reaching a mounding of groundwater around Chevron well B-13. The groundwater gradient across the Chevron station in the shallow zone generally has been to the northeast. During the Second Quarter 2002 sampling event, the groundwater gradient onsite in the shallow zone was interpreted to be toward the groundwater wells being used for groundwater extraction, MW-10, MW-11, and MW-16 (Figure 9).

Within the aquitard, the groundwater gradient as contoured flows to the northwest at approximately 0.006 foot per foot (Figure 10). However, this may be deceptive. It is not clear that all of the wells screened within the aquitard are hydrologically connected. TRC excluded well R-1D from its gradient contour because it is screened entirely within silt, whereas all the other wells have reported a sand lens within the screen interval. It is not clear whether or not each sand lens is hydrologically connected to the other.

Below the aquitard, the groundwater gradient appears to flow southwest and then change direction to the northwest toward well 118 (Figure 11). This also may be somewhat deceptive in that some of the wells are screened considerably deeper than others so that differences in measured water levels may reflect change in pressure with depth, but, if real, it may be the result of RCWD pumping from well 118 and/or 102.

7.6 MONITORING WELL GROUNDWATER SAMPLE RESULTS

Concentrations in groundwater samples collected from groundwater monitoring wells screened through the static water table on December 17 and 18, 2001 ranged from below laboratory detection limits to 35,000 μ g/L TPHg, 890 μ g/L benzene, 21,000 μ g/L MTBE, and 180,000 μ g/L TBA. Concentrations in groundwater samples collected from groundwater monitoring wells screened at discreet intervals between or below zones of low permeability soil ranged from below laboratory detection limits to 9,100 μ g/L TPHg, 2,200 μ g/L benzene, 3,800 μ g/L MTBE, and 2,800 μ g/L TBA (Appendix A; TRC 2002b).

Concentrations in groundwater samples collected from groundwater monitoring wells screened through the static water table on January 28 and 29, 2002 ranged from below laboratory detection limits to 41,000 μ g/L TPHg, 590 μ g/L benzene, 16,000 μ g/L MTBE, and 130,000 μ g/L TBA. Concentrations in groundwater samples collected from groundwater monitoring wells screened at discreet intervals between or below zones of low permeability soil ranged from below laboratory detection limits to 18,000 μ g/L TPHg, 5,100 μ g/L benzene, 14,000 μ g/L MTBE, and 5,100 μ g/L TBA (Appendix A; TRC 2002c).

Concentrations of groundwater samples collected on May 29 through 31, 2002 were generally consistent with previous sampling events. Pre-purge and post-purge sample results were generally consistent with minor differences, except in one well. In MW-20B, dissolved-phase concentrations changed from 5,500 ug/l to 12,000 ug/l for TPHg, 350 ug/l to 2,400 ug/l for benzene, 9,300 ug/l to 20,000 ug/l for MTBE, and from 3,000 ug/l to 28,000 ug/l for TBA (Table 8, Figures 12 through 23, Appendix A; TRC 2002a).

7.7 ANOMALOUS DATA

Several instances of anomalous data were identified during this investigation and a review of previous investigations. At this time, TRC does not believe these data points are significant in assessing the release from the 76 station.

7.7.1 Monitoring Well MW-24AB

During the installation of groundwater well MW-24B, a coarse sand lens with elevated PID readings was encountered at approximately 66 to 68 fbg. However, during the installation of MW-24AB approximately five feet south of MW-24B, which was intended to be screened in this coarse sand lens, the coarse sand lens was not encountered and PID readings remained low. Subsequently, during the installation of MW-24C, approximately five feet east of MW-24B, the coarse sand lens with elevated PID readings was again encountered. Soil and groundwater data

collected from MW-24B and MW-24C contained MTBE concentrations as high as 1.2 mg/kg and 180 μ g/L, respectively. Concentrations of MTBE in groundwater well MW-24AB are significantly lower (Table 7; Appendix A; TRC 2002a). The close proximately of the wells and the differences in chemical analysis results and lithology may indicate that preferential pathways for lateral contamination migration, particularly from east to west, may exist. However, there are no indications that these lenses or channels represent conduits for vertical migration of contaminants through the silt aquitard.

7.7.2 <u>Historical Change in Groundwater Flow Direction</u>

During the first three 76 station monitoring events in 1992 and 1993, the groundwater flow direction appeared to be to the south or southeast. However, since the installation of additional monitoring wells in February 1993, the flow direction has consistently been to the northwest. It is not clear whether there was an actual change in flow direction or, as appears more likely, more complete data facilitated a more comprehensive interpretation of the flow direction (Appendix A; Alton Geoscience, 1993).

7.7.3 Anomalous Groundwater Elevations

A mounding of groundwater, i.e. higher groundwater elevations, has consistently been observed in well B-13 relative to other nearby wells (Appendix A). The cause of this mounding is unclear, but may be the result of irrigation in the nearby planter or leaks from a nearby Rancho California Water District water line. The water line is located within two feet of well B-13.

Well MW-18 and former well MW-9 have generally had groundwater elevations a few feet lower than the rest of the 76 station (Appendix A). The cause of this is not clear.

7.7.4 Suspect Chemical Concentrations

On November 12, 2001, TRC collected groundwater samples from wells MW-22B, MW-24B, and MW-25B to obtain preliminary groundwater data in order to guide the ongoing investigation. Detected hydrocarbon concentrations ranged from 34 μ g/L to 190 μ g/L benzene and 0.69 μ g/L to 3.6 μ g/L MTBE. However, this data was not and is not being used as part of our interpretation of the groundwater impacts at the site because TRC suspects cross-contamination of the samples. This conclusion is based on:

• The elevated concentrations are inconsistent with all other chemical data from these same wells and other previous wells in the area, which have generally been below laboratory detection limits (Table 7; Appendix A).

• The highest reported concentrations are from the well sampled first and the lowest concentrations are from the well sampled last (Table 7). This pattern of cleansing from well to well is also indicative of cross contamination.

The source of the cross contamination could have been either the laboratory or field activities. The laboratory has indicated that laboratory contamination does not appear to be the source of the cross contamination. Other potential sources of cross contamination are the well development equipment or the equipment used to purge and sample the wells. After this apparent cross contamination incident, TRC reevaluated its procedures for well development, well monitoring and sampling and for QA/QC to ensure the collection of quality data at this site. A more aggressive decontamination procedure has been implemented for all equipment used in wells at the site (Appendix C). A new groundwater pump is dedicated for use only in wells known to be non-impacted. The groundwater pump is limited to use within the upper ten feet of the water column in each well to limit the surface are of the equipment exposed to groundwater. Additionally, a more rigorous equipment blank sampling protocol has been incorporated into the groundwater sampling program (Appendix C and E; Table 9).

8.0 RECEPTOR PATHWAY EVALUATION

8.1 SOURCES

8.1.1 <u>Release Scenario</u>

The exact location and time of the gasoline release(s) from the 76 station can not be determined from available data. However, general conclusions regarding the source of hydrocarbons at the site can be made. Based on available data, it appears that a release of gasoline range hydrocarbons from the USTs and/or piping occurred in the 1980s. This release was stopped either by ongoing maintenance at the station or by replacement of the USTs in 1989 and piping in 1996.

A significant rise in dissolved-phase hydrocarbons, and particularly MTBE, was observed in well MW-16, located near the USTs, beginning between September and October 1997. Dissolved-phase concentrations in well MW-16 reached a peak of 523,000 μ g/l MTBE in February 1999 before dropping to 22,000 μ g/l in September 2000, which is similar in magnitude to the most recent MTBE concentration in this well.

The cause of this rise remains unclear, although one possible explanation is that disturbance of the soil during station renovation activities in 1996 facilitated the migration of hydrocarbons from

soil to groundwater. Repeated inspections of the UST system have not located a leak in the existing UST system. Tosco stopped using MTBE at this station in December 2000 (replaced by ethanol), and began using an SVE system in July 2001 with limited affect on dissolved-phase concentrations in well MW-16. It is not clear what affect the recent groundwater pumping remediation system has had on dissolved-phase concentrations because of the short time of operation.

8.1.2 <u>Contaminants of Concern</u>

Based on the identified release(s) at this site, the primary contaminants of concern are petroleum hydrocarbons typically associated with a gasoline release, including benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, MTBE, and TBA.

8.1.3 <u>Distribution of Hydrocarbons</u>

On the 76 station site, the petroleum hydrocarbons appear limited in distribution to the shallow soil and groundwater. Impacts to soil are primarily located below previous source areas, i.e. in the vicinity of the USTs and dispensers. Impacts to groundwater on the station are highest in well MW-16, which is located near and directly downgradient of the USTs. Dissolved-phase hydrocarbons appear to have migrated laterally with the groundwater gradient to the north side of the station and Rancho California Road. What appears to be a laterally continuous geologic layer of low permeability silt has been identified below the shallow groundwater table on- and off-site. The silt appears to be acting as an aquitard limiting the vertical migration of the hydrocarbons downward.

Except for some anomalous data points discussed in Section 7.7, this pattern of hydrocarbon distribution has been consistently identified during current and previous site investigations and groundwater sampling events. The potential for hydrocarbons originating at the 76 station to affect identified receptors is discussed below.

8.2 RECEPTORS

TRC identified several potential receptors in the vicinity of the site including Murrieta Creek, drinking water wells, and occupants of buildings located over impacted soil or groundwater (Table 10). These receptors are described in more detail below.

The flood channel embankment of Murrieta Creek begins approximately 100 feet southwest of the site, across Front Street. The primary stream channel is approximately 200 feet southwest of the site (Figure 2). The flow of the creek varies with rainfall and aquifer recharge, but appears to have flowed continuously since 1992 based on USGS gauging data.

The closest drinking water well is RCWD well 118, which is approximately 1,000 northwest of the site. Water from this well is blended with water from other wells to provide drinking water to approximately 23,000 connections to their system. The next closest RCWD wells are almost 1/2 mile northwest and over 3/4 mile northeast of the site (Figure 4).

A smaller production drinking water well was identified at the Kids World School, approximately 1/2 mile south-southeast and upgradient of the site (Figure 4).

The closest surface structures to the site are the 76 station building, the Chevron station building to the north across Rancho California Road, the Denny's restaurant adjacent to the east, and the retail strip mall and restaurants to the south across Moreno Road (Figure 2).

The closest residences are located approximately 1/4 mile to the south of the site (Figure 1).

Numerous utilities have been identified in all of the adjacent roadways near the site. Of particular size, is the electrical utility vault on the west side of the Chevron station that appears to be approximately 15 feet deep, 10 feet wide and 20 feet long. No other utilities are known to extend so close to the shallow groundwater table in the area (Figure 24).

Other than the previously mentioned wells, residences and a daycare/school approximately 1/2 mile to the south, no other sensitive receptors were identified in the vicinity of the site.

8.3 PATHWAY EVALUATION

This discussion evaluates the exposure pathways (Table 11) through which ecological receptors, such as groundwater and surface water, can be impacted by chemicals and through which human receptors can potentially be exposed to chemicals. Typical exposure pathways as specified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) have been considered and are described below (EPA, 1991).

The purpose of this evaluation is to identify potential pathways requiring further consideration in order to adequately evaluate the risk posed to human health and the environment by petroleum hydrocarbons at the site. Pathways that do not exist at the site or have a low potential for causing exposure are excluded from further consideration. Pathways with greater potential for causing exposure to contaminants are recommended for further evaluation.

8.3.1 Groundwater

Groundwater is an ecological receptor and is also a medium through which contaminants can impact other ecological and human receptors such as surface waters or drinking water. Groundwater beneath the 76 station has been impacted by a release of gasoline from the site as evidenced by the dissolved-phase concentrations identified in wells onsite. Therefore, groundwater has the potential to act as a medium for exposure to other receptors, which are discussed further below.

8.3.2 <u>Murrieta Creek</u>

Based on the available data, there is no indication that impacted groundwater is affecting Murrieta Creek. Shallow wells on the west side of Front Street across from the 76 station have consistently shown low concentrations of dissolved-phase hydrocarbons, generally below the detection limits. Previous creek water sampling and soil sampling from hand auger borings in the creek by Chevron consultants and drilling on the embankment have also shown concentrations below the laboratory detection limits (HLA, 1984a).

8.3.3 <u>RCWD Well 118</u>

Petroleum hydrocarbon impacts to RCWD well 118 have the potential to cause dermal and ingestion exposures to residents of the area who utilize RCWD water. However, it is not clear whether petroleum hydrocarbons released at the 76 station have contributed to detections of MTBE in RCWD well 118. As reported to Tosco by the CRWQCB, the RCWD has reportedly determined that the regional groundwater flow direction is to the south. Using RCWD data, CRWQCB staff performed a preliminary evaluation of the capture zone of RCWD well 118, which appears to indicate that the Chevron and 76 stations are on the edge or outside of the capture zone. Also, several other sources of MTBE exist in the area, including some that are upgradient of RCWD well 118.

In addition, a silt aquitard with silt layers up to 22 feet thick appears to be protecting the deeper groundwater aquifer pumped by well 118 from the shallow petroleum hydrocarbons at the 76 station. This silt layer has consistently been observed at the 76 station as well as in offsite drilling. Although occasional sand lenses were observed within the silt layer, the thickness, continuity, and low permeability of the silt appear adequately defined by TRC's recent offsite drilling. No evidence of a break in the silt layer, i.e. a natural vertical conduit through the silt layer, was identified during this investigation, nor did any boring of adequate depth fail to encounter the silt aquitard.

The only known man-made, vertical conduits through this silt layer are four former Chevron wells screened across the silt layer and possibly into the lower aquifer, i.e. wells R-1, GT-1, GT-2

and GT-3. The available data does not clearly identify whether these wells extended all the way through the silt aquitard into the deeper aquifer. The boring log for R-1, for example, ends in a silty sand that appears likely to represent the same soil demonstrated by recent drilling to be a silt aquitard, not a sand. Since the silt aquitard identified by TRC has occasional sand lenses and drilling was not performed deeper than the bottom of the wells during their installation by Chevron consultants, it is not possible to determine whether any sand identified at the bottom of these borings represent the deeper aquifer or a sand lens within the aquitard without additional subsurface investigations in the same areas.

Therefore, it appears possible that hydrocarbons reaching these Chevron wells may have migrated down to the sand lens, but not into the deeper aquifer. This would also explain the elevated concentrations detected in well MW-20B and the high PID readings detected at a similar depth during Chevron's installation of well R-1D. It is also not clear whether impacts to the sand lens within the silt layer have the potential to impact RCWD well 118 instead of merely remaining trapped within the sand lens. Based on the available data, there appears to be approximately 4 to 6 feet of silt below this particular sand lens that would protect the deeper aquifer drawn by RCWD well 118.

Evaluation of the potential migration pathway of hydrocarbons near the Chevron site is complicated by the fact that Chevron has had it's own release of petroleum hydrocarbons, including significant quantities of free product. This released product was potentially smeared across a large area when water levels below the Chevron site dropped more than 60 feet during the late 1980s, or the product may have migrated preferentially down conduits such as the four deep Chevron wells. This product was then likely trapped below groundwater when groundwater levels recharged in the early 1990s and may continue to act as an ongoing source of hydrocarbons, including MTBE.

Based on this data, it is not known whether or not hydrocarbons from the 76 station reached any of these four Chevron wells and, if they did, whether the hydrocarbons reached the deeper aquifer via these potential conduits.

8.3.4 Other Wells

The other active drinking water wells identified in the area are over 1/2 mile away from the 76 station and, therefore, appear unlikely to be affected by the petroleum hydrocarbon impacts identified in the vicinity of the 76 station.

8.3.5 <u>Occupants of Structures</u>

The risk to occupants from migration of vapor-phase hydrocarbons into nearby structures has not been evaluated. However, based on the assessment to date, the only structures located close enough to the hydrocarbon release to be a potential concern appear to be the 76 station building and possibly the Denny's restaurant. However, Tosco has been operating an SVE system onsite to remediate vapor-phase hydrocarbons at the site, which will likely limit vapor-phase hydrocarbons from migrating to these potential receptors.

8.3.6 <u>Utilities</u>

Underground utility trenches can at times act as preferential pathways for contaminant migration because the backfill material may facilitate the migration of hydrocarbons along the trench line. Underground utilities may also be considered potential receptors of contamination in that petroleum hydrocarbons may enter into the interior of the utility line. This is most likely to occur where utility trenches and utilities intersect impacted groundwater. Based on the depth to groundwater at the site, underground utilities do not appear to intersect the groundwater table, with the possible exception of a large electric vault on the west side of the Chevron Station (Figure 24). However, it appears unlikely that petroleum hydrocarbons from the 76 Station have impacted this electric vault.

9.0 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the available data, the following is concluded:

- There appears to be a laterally continuous silt aquitard limiting the vertical migration of hydrocarbons beneath the Site and immediate vicinity.
- The extent of hydrocarbon-impacted soil and groundwater in the shallow-zone appears adequately assessed.

- There is evidence to suggest a narrow sand lens in the vicinity of well MW-24B may be impacted with significant concentrations of hydrocarbons. However, the source of these hydrocarbons appears unlikely to be the 76 station based on the distance from the site, apparent orientation of the sand lens, lack of identified pathways from the 76 station and the presence of other potential sources in the area.
- The source of hydrocarbons in well MW-20B and other deeper wells is not clear. The only conduits through the silt aquitard identified to date are four former Chevron wells, R-1, GT-1, GT-2, and GT-3.
- Evaluation of the potential migration pathway of hydrocarbons near the Chevron site is complicated by the previous Chevron release, in which free product appears to have been smeared across a large area near wells R-1 and MW-20B when water levels dropped more than 60 feet in the late 1980s. This Chevron release has the potential to be acting as an ongoing source of hydrocarbons, including MTBE.
- The potential for the former conduits on the Chevron site to have affected hydrocarbon migration and the potential for the previous Chevron release to act as a continuing source for the hydrocarbons identified in the vicinity of well MW-20B needs further assessment by Chevron.

10.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the conclusions presented herein, the following is recommended:

- Tosco should continue remediation of petroleum hydrocarbons in shallow soil and groundwater at the 76 station.
- The planned aquifer test in cooperation with the RCWD and consultants for other sites should be performed.
- Chevron should continue to assess the potential affects their four deep wells may have had on hydrocarbon migration and the potential for historical Chevron releases to act as an ongoing source of impacts to deeper groundwater.
- After the results of these additional investigations are known, TRC will modify the Site Conceptual Model and make additional recommendations, as appropriate.

11.0 LIMITATIONS

The site assessment activities summarized in this report have been performed in accordance with current practice and the standard of care exercised by geologists and engineers performing similar tasks in this area.

No warranty, express or implied, is made regarding the conclusions, recommendations, and professional opinions presented in this report. The conclusions and recommendations are based solely upon analysis of the observed conditions. If actual conditions differ from those described in this report, our office should be notified and additional recommendations, if required, will be provided.

12.0 REFERENCES

- Alton Geoscience, 1992, Site Characterization Report, Unocal Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California, June 5, 1992.
- Alton Geoscience, 1993, Report on Additional Site Characterization, Unocal Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California, January 26, 1993.
- Alton Geoscience, 1994a, Additional Site Characterization Report, Unocal Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California, February 11, 1994.
- Alton Geoscience, 1994b, Limited Remedial Excavation Report, Unocal Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California, July 22, 1994.
- Alton Geoscience, 1994c, Remedial Action Plan, Unocal Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California, September 16, 1994.
- Alton Geoscience, 1996, Tank Removal and Product Line Sampling Report, Unocal Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California, August 23, 1996.
- Alton Geoscience, 1997a, Progress Boring Report, 76 Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California, May 9, 1997.
- Alton Geoscience, 1997b, Progress Boring Report, 76 Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California, August 15, 1997.

76 Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California August 28, 2002

- Alton Geoscience, 1999, Soil Vapor Extraction Feasibility Test, 76 Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California, January 4, 1999.
- Anders, Patricia, 2001, Associate Planner, City of Temecula, 43200 Business Park Drive, Temecula, California, (909) 694-6400, telephone conversation regarding the potential presence of wells in the site vicinity, January 16, 2001.
- BBC Environmental, Inc., 2000, Quarterly Monitoring Report, Third Quarter 2000, Chevron Service Station # 9-1870, 28900 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California, October 5, 2000.
- California Department of Water Resources (CDWR), 1975, California's Ground Water, Bulletin No. 118, The Resources Agency, State of California.
- CDWR, 2001, maps and tables of wells installed, organized by township, section and range as provided by Gary Gilbreath, Engineer, CDWR, January 17, 2001.
- California Regional Water Quality Control Board (CRWQCB), San Diego Region, 1994, Water Quality Plan for the San Diego Basin (9), San Diego Region.
- CRWQCB, 1996, San Diego Regional Board Supplemental Instructions to State Water Board December 8, 1995, Interim Guidance on Required Cleanup at Low-Risk Fuel Contaminated Sites, April 1.
- CRWQCB, 2000, Definition of Areas Most Vulnerable to Ground-Water Contamination in the San Diego Region, July 5, 2000.
- CRWQCB, 2001a, Data package provided by the San Diego Region on February 22, 2001, containing well logs, analytical data, and historical records of Rancho California Water District Well Numbers 102 and 118.
- CRWQCB, 2001b, Letter to RCWD Well No. 118 Mailing List, regarding MTBE Impacted Municipal Drinking Water Well, Rancho California Water District, Temecula, January 2, 2001.
- California State Water Resources Control Board (CSWRCB), 2000, Guidelines for Investigation and Cleanup of MTBE and Other Ether-Based Oxygenates, Final Draft, April 11, 2000.

August 28, 2002

- Canonie Environmental, 1993, Corrective Action Plan, Former Rancho California Airport Site, Temecula, California, August 1993.
- Environ Strategy Consultants, Inc., 2002, Second Quarter 2002, Vapor Extraction and Ground Water Treatment System O&M Report, Tosco/76 Service Station No. 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California, July 15.
- Fluor Daniel GTI, 1998, Request for Soil Closure and Groundwater Monitoring Reduction, Chevron Service Station No. 9-1870, 28900 Ranchero California Road, Temecula, California, February 20, 1998.
- Geo-Hydro-Data, Inc., 1986, Electric Well Log, Well No. 118, for the Rancho California Water Company, March 12, 1986.
- Geotracker, 2001, review of well and unauthorized release information provided on Geotracker website, <u>http://geotracker.llnl.gov</u>, January 2 and 15, 2001.
- Giessner, F.W., Winters, B.A., and McLean, J.S., 1971, Water Wells and Springs in the Western Part of the Upper Santa Margarita Watershed, Riverside and San Diego Counties, California, State of California Department of Water Resources, Bulletin No. 91-20, August 1971.
- Gillis, Jim, 2001, Well Permits Section, Riverside County HMD, telephone call regarding wells in vicinity of site, (909) 955-8980, January 24, 2001.
- Groundwater Technology, Inc., 1995, Site Assessment and Soil Vapor Extraction Report, Chevron Service Station No. 9-1870, 28900 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California, October 6, 1995.
- Holguin Fahan and Associates, Inc. (HFA), 2001, Hydropunch Groundwater Sampling Letter Report for Chevron Products Company, Service Station 9-1870, 28900 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California, December 4, 2001.
- Harding Lawson Associates (HLA), 1984a, Initial Hydrogeologic Investigation, Gasoline Leak, Chevron Station 1870, Temecula, California, June 28, 1984.
- HLA, 1984b, Supplementary Hydrogeologic Investigation, Gasoline Leak, Chevron Service Station No. 1870, Temecula, California, October 22, 1984.
Site Assessment Report

76 Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California August 28, 2002

- HLA, 1986, Additional Hydrogeologic Investigation and Remedial Action Alternatives Evaluation, Chevron Service Station No. 1870, Temecula, California, September 25, 1986.
- HLA, 1989, Underground Storage Tank Removal, Unocal Service Station No. 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Rancho California, California, August 4.
- Kennedy, Michael P., 1977, Recency and Character of Faulting along the Elsinore Fault Zone in Southern Riverside County, California, California Division of Mines and Geology, Special Report 131, Sacramento, California.
- Munger, Averill H., (ed.), 1994, Munger Map Book: California Alaska Oil And Gas Fields, 38th ed., June 1994.
- PIC Environmental Services, 1997, Letter to the CRWQCB dated March 13, 1997 regarding the Former Rancho Airport, CRWQCB Cleanup and Abatement Order No. 93-35.
- State of California Teale Data Center (Teale), Maps of County Water Authority and Water Districts overlain Groundwater Basins in California as presented in CDWR (1975), undated.
- TRC, 2002a, 76 Service Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California 92390, Fluid Level Monitoring and Groundwater Sampling Report, Second Quarter 2002, HMD Case No. 89382, July 15, 2002.
- TRC, 2002b, 76 Service Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California 92390, Fluid Level Monitoring and Groundwater Sampling Report, Fourth Quarter 2001, HMD Case No. 89382, January 30.
- TRC, 2002c, 76 Service Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California 92390, Revised Fluid Level Monitoring and Groundwater Sampling Report, First Quarter 2002, HMD Case No. 89382, July 3.
- United States Geological Survey (USGS), 1979, Murrieta, California 7.5-Minute Topographic Quadrangle, 1953, Photorevised 1979.
- USGS, 1975, Temecula, California 7.5-Minute Topographic Quadrangle, 1968, Photorevised 1975.

Site Assessment Report 76 Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California August 28, 2002

USGS, 2001, download of Gauging data available on USGS website http://waterdata.usgs.gov/, January 16, 2001.



600121; g: \projects \76prod \6519 \graphics \6519_im.dwg 12/20/00 rmh



PS=1: 60



g: \project \76prod \6519 \graphics \vicmap.dwg 9/24/02 rmh



g: \project \76prod \6519 \graphics \vicmap.dwg 9/24/02 rmh

PS=1:2,400







TRC

NOTES:

* = Lithology from MW-20A and R-1 boring logs not used in stratigraphic interpretation. ** = groundwater being pumped from MW-16, groundwater elevation interpreted to be at bottom of well. Elevations are in feet above mean sea level. See Figure 5 for location of Cross Section. This is one interpretation of the data; other interpretations are possible. All dimensions and locations are estimated.



Α'

MW-7



CROSS SECTION A-A'

76 Station 6519 28903 Rancho California Road Temecula, California

FIGURE 6

n: \projects \76prod \6519 \graphics \6519XSectA.dwg 8/22/02 rmh



n: \projects \76prod \6519 \graphics \6519XSectB.dwg 8/22/02 rmh



n: \projects \76prod \6519 \graphics \6519XSectC_Csize.dwg 8/27/02 rmh



i: \projects\76prod\6519\graphics\2ndqtr2002\2nd2002.dwg 7/22/02 rmh











i: \projects\76prod\6519\graphics\2ndqtr2002\2nd2002.dwg 7/22/02 rmh











i: \projects\76prod\6519\graphics\2ndqtr2002\2nd2002.dwg 7/22/02 rmh





i: \projects\76prod\6519\graphics\2ndqtr2002\2nd2002.dwg 7/22/02 rmh







i: \projects \76prod \6519 \graphics \SITE_MAP.dwg 4/22/02 rmh

CPT PORE PRESSURE DISSIPATION TEST RESULTS 76 STATION 6519

BORING	DATE	GROUND ELEVATION	DEPTH OF CONE	ELEVATION OF CONE	PORE PRESSURE	DEPTH TO WATER TABLE	ELEVATION OF WATER	COMMENTS
NUMBER	DRILLED	(feet above msl)	(feet)	(feet above msl)	(psi)	(feet)	(feet above msl)	
CPT-1	5/12/2001	1005.47	54.13	951.34	15.15	19	986	
CPT-2	5/12/2001	1005.07	59.38	945.69	17.01	20	985	
CPT-3	5/10/2001	1003.37	27.40	975.97	6.12	13	990	
CPT-3a	5/17/2001	1003.37	42.16	961.21	11.8	15	988	Elevation for
CPT-3a	5/17/2001	1003.37	58.07	945.30	16.42	20	983	CPT-3 used
0.57.4	- / /		07.40					
CPT-4a	5/16/2001	1005.02	35.10	969.92	8.32	16	989	Elevation for
CPT-4a	5/16/2001	1005.02	51.02	954.00	15.16	16	989	CPT-4 used
CPT-5	5/10/2001	1006.33	28.87	977.46	5.09	17	989	
CPT-5	5/10/2001	1006 33	52.82	953 51	14 93	18	988	
0	0, 10, 2001		02:02					
CPT-6	5/11/2001	1007.27	28.22	979.05	4.68	17	990	
CPT-6	5/11/2001	1007.27	40.35	966.92	11	15	992	
CPT-6	5/11/2001	1007.27	60.70	946.57	18.53	18	989	
CPT-6	5/11/2001	1007.27	77.43	929.84	25.9	18	990	
CPT-7	5/17/2001	1005.75	28.71	977.04	5.33	16	989	
CPT-7	5/17/2001	1005.75	50.03	955.72	16.36	12	994	(1)
CPT-7	5/17/2001	1005.75	53.81	951.94	16.05	17	989	
Notes:								
10100.	Conversion fac	ctor psi to feet of wa	ter =		2.3	1		
	msl = mean se	a level						
	psi = pounds p	er square inch						
	(1) = test halte	d before dissipation	stabilized due to tim	e constraints				
	Reference: Gr	egg In Situ, Inc., 200	1, Presentation of C	one Penetration Tes	t Data, Unocal #6	519, Temecula, Calif	ornia, May 21, 2001.	

WELL CONSTRUCTION DATA 76 Station 6519 and Chevron 9-1870

		Boring	Boring	Casing	Casing								
Well	Date	Depth	Diameter	Depth	Diameter	Screen I	nterval (ft)	Sand Pack	Interval (ft)	Seal In	terval (ft)	Elevation	Comments
ID	Installed	(feet)	(inches)	(feet)	(inches)	Тор	Bottom	Тор	Bottom	Тор	Bottom	(ft above msl)	
76 Station:													
MW-2	3/25/1992	24	10	24	4	14	24	12	24	3	12	1006.24^{+}	Destroyed
MW-3	3/26/1992	24	10	24	4	14	24	12	24	3	12	1006.58	
MW-5	3/25/1992	24	10	24	4	14	24	12	24	3	12	1005.99^{+}	Destroyed
MW-6	3/26/1992	24	10	24	4	14	24	12	24	3	12	1005.65	
MW-7	10/27/1992	25	10	25	4	15	25	13	25	3	13	1006.10	
MW-8	10/28/1992	25	10	25	4	15	25	13	25	3	13	1005.9^{+}	Destroyed
MW-9	10/27/1992	25	10	25	4	15	25	13	25	2	13	1008.17^{+}	Destroyed
MW-10	10/27/1992	25	10	25	4	15	25	13	25	2	13	1005.57	
MW-11	9/28/1993	25.5	10	25	4	10	25	8	25.5	3	8	1006.18	
MW-16	8/14/1996	25	10	25	4	15	25	13	25	2	13	1006.28	
MW-17	8/14/1996	25	10	25	4	15	25	13	25	2	13	1006.92	
MW-18	5/24/2001	30.5	10	28	4	8	28	6	30.5	1.5	6	1008.00	
MW-19B	11/27/2001	70.0	8	48	4	43	48	40.8	49.7	2.5	40.8	1006.03	
MW-20A	11/28/2001	27.0	10	27	4	12	27	9.5	27	3	9.5	1006.47	
MW-20B	11/20/2001	80.0	8	47	4	42	47	39.5	48	3	39.5	1006.70	
MW-21A	11/27/2001	27.0	10	26	4	11	26	9	27	3	9	1006.08	
MW-21B	11/15/2001	85.0	8	84.5	4	79.5	84.5	76	85	4	76	1006.18	
MW-22A	12/5/2001	22.0	10	20.5	4	10	20	9	21	5	9	1004.23	
MW-22B	10/24/2001	60.2	8	60.2	4	55.2	60.2	51.8	60.2	5	51.8	1004.07	
MW-23A	12/4/2001	25.5	10	25	4	10	25	8	25	4	8	1003.01	
MW-23B	10/31/2001	60.0	8	60	4	55	60	52	60	5	52	1003.00	
MW-23C	11/11/2001	215.0	8	214	4	209	214	206	215	2	206	1002.94	
MW-24A	12/4/2001	24.5	10	24.5	4	9.5	24.5	8	24.5	5	8	1005.79	
MW-24AB	11/17/2001	69.0	8	69	4	64	69	63	69	3	63	1006.00	
MW-24B	10/29/2001	98.0	8	98	4	93	98	89.7	98	5	89.7	1006.03	
MW-24C	12/2/2001	181.5	8	179.5	4	174.5	179.5	172	179.5	3	172	1006.14	
MW-25B	12/26/2001	113.0	8	112.5	4	107.5	112.5	104.8	112.5	3	104.8	1005.20	
MW-26C	12/8/2001	209.0	8	160	4	150	160	147	160	3	147	1007.50	
VEW-12	9/28/1993	19.0	10	17	4	12	17	12	19	2	12	1006.00^{++}	
VEW-13	9/28/1993	17.5	10	17	4	12	17	12	17.5	1	12	1006.00^{++}	
VEW-14	9/28/1993	8.0	8	8	4	3	8	3	8	1	3	1006.00++	
VEW-15	9/28/1993	8.0	8	8	4	3	8	3	8	1	3	1006.00^{++}	
VFW-18	6/28/1999	25.0	10	25	4	10	25	8	25	3	8	1006.00**	
VEW 10	6/20/1000	25.0	10	25	т 1	10	25	0	25	3	0	1006.00	
VEW-19	0/20/1999	23.0	10	23	4	10	23	0	23	3	0	1000.00	
EW-1	2/4/2002	21.5	10	21.5	4	14	21.5	13	21.5	2	11	1006.00	

WELL CONSTRUCTION DATA 76 Station 6519 and Chevron 9-1870

		Boring	Boring	Casing	Casing								
Well	Date	Depth	Diameter	Depth	Diameter	Screen li	nterval (ft)	Sand Pack	Interval (ft)	Seal In	terval (ft)	Elevation	Comments
ID	Installed	(feet)	(inches)	(feet)	(inches)	Тор	Bottom	Тор	Bottom	Тор	Bottom	(ft above msl)	
			X 7			•		• •		• •		· · · ·	
EW-2	2/4/2002	23.0	10	21.5	4	14	21.5	13	21.5	2	13	1006.00++	
EW-3	2/5/2002	21.0	10	21	4	13.5	21	12.5	21	2	12.5	1006.00^{++}	
EW-4	2/6/2002	23.0	10	22.66	4	12.66	22.66	11 33	22.66	2	11 33	1006.00++	
EW-5	2/7/2002	26.0	10	25.5	4	13	25.5	12	25.5	2	12	1006.00**	
EW 6	2/6/2002	20.0	10	23.5	4	13.5	23.5	11.5	23.5	2	11.5	1006.00	
EW-0	2/0/2002	24.0	10	23.5	4	13.5	23.5	11.5	23.5	2	11.5	1000.00	
EW-/	2/7/2002	27.0	10	27	4	14.5	27	13	27	2	13	1006.00	
Chevron:													
B1B	6/4/1984	35	12	29	4	9	29	6.5	14	6	6.5	1012.40**	Destroyed 6/15/84
B2	6/26/1984	29	12	29	4	15	29	15	29	0	15	1011.00**	Destroyed 9/11/98
В3	6/5/1984	20.5	12	20	4	10	20	9	20	0	9	1011.50**	Destroyed 9/11/98
B4	6/15/1984	17.5	12	17	4	4	17	3.5	20	0	3.5	1011.95**	Destroyed 11/5/98
В5	6/15/1984	17	12	16	4	3	16	2.5	16.5	0	2.5	1011.20**	Destroyed 9/11/98
B6	7/16/1984	28	10	20	4	5	20	4.5	20	3	4.5	1011.00**	Destroyed 11/5/98
B7	7/16/1984	18.5	10	18	4	5	18	4.5	18.5	0	4.5	1009.40**	Destroyed 11/5/98
B12	8/24/1984	21	10	20.5	4	7	20.5	4.5	21	3	4	1004.40**	Destroyed
B13	6/28/1984	19.5	10	18	4	3.5	18.5	3	19.5	2	3	1004.17	
B14	8/28/1984	24.5	10	24.5	4	4.5	24.5	4	24.5	3	4	1011.00**	Destroyed 11/5/98
B15	8/28/1984	24	10	24	4	4	24	3	24	2	3	1007.27	
B16	5/12/1986	28.5	10	27	4	7	27	5.5	28.5	4.5	5.5	1005.36	Destroyed 1/02
B17	5/19/1986	25	10	24.5	4	4.5	24.5	3	24.5	2	3	1004.30**	Destroyed
B18	5/14/1986	46	10	40	4	30	40	28	40	0	28	1010.00**	Destroyed 11/5/98
B19	5/19/1986	34	10	33	4	28	33	27	33	0	27	1004.40**	Destroyed
B20	5/13/1986	24	10	23	4	3	23	2	23	0	2	1010.00**	Destroyed 9/11/98
B21	9/26/1987	35.5	10	35	4	15	35	12.5	35.5	2	12.5	1004.38	Destroyed 1/02
B22	9/25/1987	35.5	10	35	4	15	35	13.5	35.5	2	13.5	1013.00**	Destroyed 11/5/98
B23	9/25/1987	35.5	10	35	4	15	35	13	35.5	2	13	1008.00**	Destroyed 11/5/98
B24	9/25/1987	35.5	10	35	4	15	35	13.5	35.5	2	13.5	1010.00**	Destroyed 9/11/98
GT-1	4/5/1989	70	9	70	4	10	70	8	70	6	8	1006.33	Destroyed 1/02
GT-2	4/6/1989	85	9	76	4	16	76	14	>76	11	14	1006.49*	Destroyed 1/02
GT-3	4/13/1989	80	9	80	4	15	80	12.5	80	8.5	12.5	1012.00**	Destroyed 1/02
R-1	4/20/1985	63		61	6	6	56	5	63	4	5	1007.26*	Destroyed 1/02
VW-1	5/11/1995	34	10	34	2,2	8 & 24	20.5 & 34	7 & 23	21 & 34	1 & 21	7 & 23	1011.20**	Destroyed 9/11/98
VW-2	5/10/1995	29	10	28	2,2	8 & 19	15.5 & 28	7 & 18	16 & 29	4 & 16	7 & 18	1010.00**	Destroyed 9/11/98
VW-3	5/10/1995	30	10	30	2,2	10.5 & 20	15.5 & 30	9 & 19	16 & 30	1 & 16	9&19	1011.50**	Destroyed 9/11/98
B27	10/30/1998	67.5	8	67.5	2	62.5	67.5					1011.00**	Destroyed same day

WELL CONSTRUCTION DATA 76 Station 6519 and Chevron 9-1870

		Boring	Boring	Casing	Casing								
Well	Date	Depth	Diameter	Depth	Diameter	Screen	Interval (ft)	Sand Pack	Interval (ft)	Seal In	terval (ft)	Elevation	Comments
ID	Installed	(feet)	(inches)	(feet)	(inches)	Тор	Bottom	Тор	Bottom	Тор	Bottom	(ft above msl)	
B28	11/2/1998	70	8	70	2	65	70					1009.00**	Destroyed same day
GT-1S	12/31/2001	35	10	35	4	10	35	8	35	1.5	8	1011.41	Replaces GT-1
GT-1D	12/28/2001	75	10	75	4	65	75	63	75	1.5	63	1011.35	Replaces GT-1
GT-2S	12/31/2001	35	10	35	4	10	35	8	35	1.5	35	1008.86	Replaces GT-2
GT-2D	12/27/2001	76	10	76	4	66	76	64	76	1.5	64	1009.30	Replaces GT-2
GT-3S	12/31/2001	35	10	35	4	10	35	8	35	1.5	8	1012.47	Replaces GT-3
GT-3D	1/3/2002	80	10	80	4	70	80	68	80	1.5	68	1012.39	Replaces GT-3
R-1S	12/26/2001	38	10	38	4	18	38	16	38	1.5	16	1009.93	Replaces R-1
R-1D	12/26/2001	58	10	58	4	48	58	46	58	1.5	46	1010.00	Replaces R-1
Notes:	msl = mean = informat * = elevatior ** = well not	sea level tion not ava ns calculate yet surveyo	ilable d with respec	t to GT-1 ar s estimated	nd Chevron dat	a	+ = well dest ** = well des	troyed prior to elevation ch troyed prior to available ele	professional ange in other professional evation data	survey in wells fror survey ir	2001; elev n previous n 2002; elev	ation was estimated survey. vation was estimate	d based on average d based on

CUMULATIVE SOIL PHYSICAL PARAMETER RESULTS 76 Station 6519 and Chevron 9-1870

												Matrix				Median	Total
Sample		Sample	Hydraulic Co	onductivity	Intrinsic P	ermeability	Soil	Specific	Moisture	Bulk	Density	(Grain)	Total	Effective	Air Filled	Grain	Organic
ID	Depth	Date	Horizontal	Vertical	Horizontal	Vertical	Description	Retention	Content	Dry	Natural	Density	Porosity	Porosity	Porosity	Size	Carbon
	(feet)		(cm/s)	(cm/s)	(millidarcy)	(millidarcy)	*	%	(% wt)	(g/cc)	(g/cc)	(g/cc)	(%Vb)	(%Vb)	(%Vb)	(mm)	%
Chevron PB1-15	15	05/10/95	1.27E-04	5.17E-05	120	51.5	fine sand		11.5	1.58		2.65					
Chevron PB1-40	40	05/10/95	2.77E-04	1.65E-04	280	170	fine sand		16.0	1.49		2.67					
B18-5.0	5								13.2	1.82				32.4			<100
B18-9.0	9								16.5	1.78				33.6			730
B20-17.0	17								10.9	1.61				39.2			420
B21-14.0	14								7.8	1.51				43.2			<100
B22-10.5	10.5								14.9	1.70				36.3			280
B22-17.0	17								8.6	1.59				40.4			120
ODT 1.45		05/10/01		1.005.07		1.54	vf-c sandy silt w/		160	1.00	a 10		20.0	F 0	0.10	0.0200	
CPT-1-45	45	05/12/01		1.90E-06		1.76	sl clay	80.3	16.3	1.88	2.19	2.72	30.8	5.8	0.10	0.0390	ND
CDT 1 (0	0.0	05/10/01	2.265.06		20.15			10.5	17.0	1.02	0.14	0 (7	21.6	24.4	0.02	0 4020	
CPT-1-60	80	05/12/01	3.26E-06		30.15		sl silty vf-c sand	18.5	17.2	1.83	2.14	2.67	31.6	24.4	0.02	0.4030	ND
CDT 2 10	10	05/10/01	1 42 E 04		121 50		sl silty, sl clayey vi	11.0	17.2	1 76	2.06	260	24.5	20.2	4 20	0.4200	ND
CP1-3-19	19	03/10/01	1.42E-04		131.50			11.9	17.2	1.70	2.06	2.08	34.3	30.5	4.39	0.4300	ND
CPT 2 25	25	05/10/01		1.05E.05		18 20	vf-f/c silty sand w/	25.2	25.6	1.61	2.02	2 75	41.4	24.0	0.10	0.0018	ND
CF1-5-55	33	03/10/01		1.95E-05		16.59		33.5	23.0	1.01	2.05	2.15	41.4	24.0	0.10	0.0918	ND
CPT-3-46	46	05/10/01	Insuff	2 29E-06	Incuff	2 16	vi-i/m slity sand	13 7	24.7	1.63	2.04	2 75	40.5	21.2	0.16	0.0565	ND
CI 1-5-40	40	03/10/01	ilisuit.	2.2712-00	ilisuit.	2.10	w/ mica	43.7	24.7	1.05	2.04	2.15	H0.5	21.2	0.10	0.0505	ND
CPT-3-51	51	05/10/01	Insuff	2 52E-08	Insuff	0.023	silt	94.1	21.7	1 71	2.08	2 73	373	2.2	0.14	0.0160	0.057
011-5-51	51	03/10/01	ilisuit.	2.521-00	msuri.	0.025	5110	74.1	21.7	1.71	2.00	2.15	57.5	2.2	0.14	0.0100	0.057
							el cilty yf-yc cand										
CPT-5-70	70	05/10/01	Insuff	2.58E-05	Insuff	23.91	w/ phl	14.8	19.0	1.78	2.11	2.69	33.9	27.5	0.12	0.4393	ND
							P		-,			,		_,			
							clavey vf sandy silt										
CPT-5-82	82	05/10/01	Insuff.	3.06E-08	Insuff.	0.028	w/ mica	85.5	20.0	1.77	2.12	2.73	35.4	5.2	0.15	0.0281	ND
							sl silty vf-vc sand										
CPT-6-53	53	05/11/01	Insuff.	1.45E-04	Insuff.	134.40	w/ pbl	23.4	15.0	1.91	2.20	2.68	28.7	18.8	0.10	0.4479	0.066
MW-18@30	30	05/24/01					silt									0.032	
MW-19B-9	9	11/26/01					silt									0.039	
MW-19B-26.5	26.5	11/26/01					medium sand									0.655	

CUMULATIVE SOIL PHYSICAL PARAMETER RESULTS 76 Station 6519 and Chevron 9-1870

												Matrix				Median	Total
Sample		Sample	Hydraulic Co	onductivity	Intrinsic P	ermeability	Soil	Specific	Moisture	Bulk	Density	(Grain)	Total	Effective	Air Filled	Grain	Organic
	Denth	Date	Horizontal	Vertical	Horizontal	Vertical	Description	Retention	Content	Drv	Natural	Density	Porosity	Porosity	Porosity	Size	Carbon
10	(feet)	Duto	(cm/s)	(cm/s)	(millidarcy)	(millidarcy)	*	%	(% wt)	(g/cc)	(g/cc)		(%Vh)	(%Vh)	(%Vh)	(mm)	%
	(1001)		(0111/07	(01120)	(initial of)	(initial of)		70	(70 110)	(9,00)	(9,00)	(9,00)	(/010)	(/000)	(/010)	()	70
MW-19B-27.5	27.5	11/27/01					silt									0.034	
MW-19B-34	34	11/26/01	4.06E-05	4.22E-05	43.3	45.9	fine sand		24.7	1.45		2.73		46.8	10.9	0.070	
MW-19B-36	36	11/27/01	2.49E-07	3.97E-07	0.26	0.43	silt		30.0	1.35		2.66		49.2	8.7	0.019	
MW-19B-43.5	43.5	11/27/01					fine sand									0.246	
MW-20A-23	23	11/28/01	2.71E-03	3.10E-04	2,895	331	medium sand		16.7	1.67		2.69		37.8	9.8	0.679	
MW-20B-38	38	11/18/01	1 18E-07	4 90F-07	0 124	0 514	silt		25.1	1.66		2 72		38.8	38.8	0.024	
MW-20B-49 5	49.5	11/19/01					silt									0.041	
1111 200 19:5	17.5	11/19/01					Silt									0.011	
MW-21A-25	25	11/27/01	4.71E-03	3.12E-04	5.054	335	medium sand		19.0	1.57		2.67		41.2	11.3	0.426	
					-,												
MW-21B-46	46	11/15/01					medium sand									0.489	
MW-22B-15	15	10/24/01					medium sand									0.446	
MW-22B-24.5	24.5	10/23/01	1.31E-06	3.95E-07	1.34	0.40	silt		19.0	1.74		2.72		36.0	2.8	0.057	
MW-22B-26	26	10/24/01	1.09E-06	8.05E-07	1.12	0.83	silt		20.4	1.71		2.73		37.3	2.4	0.042	
MW-22B-32	32	10/24/01					fine sand									0.186	
MW-22B-34.5	34.5	10/24/01					silt									0.020	
MW-22B-48	48	10/27/01					fine sand									0.040	
MW-22B-50	50	10/27/01					medium sand									0.386	
MW-22B-58.5	58.5	10/24/01	1.55E-04	2.54E-04	159	261	medium sand		14.0	1.74		2.67		34.8	10.4	0.387	
MW-23B-37.5	37.5	10/30/01					silt									0.044	
MW-23B-47.5	47.5	10/30/01	1.79E-06	1.21E-06	1.85	1.26	silt		18.8	1.70		2.73		37.9	5.9	0.031	
MW-23B-49	49	10/31/01					silt									0.032	
MW-23B-57	57	10/31/01	2.55E-04	1.07E-03	265	1,108	fine sand		19.5	1.63		2.69		39.3	7.5	0.188	
MW-24B-22.5	22.5	10/27/01	2.32E-06	2.20E-07	2.39	0.23	silt		46.3	1.05		2.62		60.0	11.6	0.011	
MW-24B-37	37	10/28/01					silt									0.036	
MW-24B-56.5	56.5	10/28/01					silt									0.035	
MW-24B-68.25	68.25	10/28/01					medium sand									0.413	
MW-24B-71.5	71.5	10/29/01	3.20E-07	2.26E-07	0.34	0.23	fine sand		16.0	1.78		2.70		34.2	5.6	0.036	
MW-24B-73.5	73.5	10/29/01					silt									0.020	
MW-24B-79.75	79.75	10/29/01					silt									0.018	
MW-24B-83.5	83.5	10/29/01					silt									0.015	
MW-24B-94	94	10/29/01	1.23E-04	7.21E-05	128	75.6	fine sand		16.4	1.66		2.69		38.4	11.2	0.251	
MW-25B-40 5	40.5	10/25/01					fine sand									0.077	
MW-25B-42.5	42.5	10/25/01	3.27E-06	2.53E-06	3 32	2.58	silt		26.6	1.52		2.72		44.2	37	0.042	
MW-25B-59 25	59.25	10/25/01	4 05E-06	1 36E-06	4 11	1 39	fine sand		20.0	1.65		2.72		39.2	59	0.063	
11111-2010-09.20	57.25	10/23/01	4.05L-00	1.501-00	7.11	1.57	inte sund		20.1	1.05		2.12		57.2	5.7	0.005	

CUMULATIVE SOIL PHYSICAL PARAMETER RESULTS 76 Station 6519 and Chevron 9-1870

Sample		Sample	Hydraulic Co	onductivity	Intrinsic P	ermeability	Soil	Specific	Moisture	Bulk [Density	Matrix (Grain)	Total	Effective	Air Filled	Median Grain	Total Organic
ID	Depth	Date	Horizontal	Vertical	Horizontal	Vertical	Description	Retention	Content	Dry	Natural	Density	Porosity	Porosity	Porosity	Size	Carbon
	(feet)		(cm/s)	(cm/s)	(millidarcy)	(millidarcy)	*	%	(% wt)	(g/cc)	(g/cc)	(g/cc)	(%Vb)	(%Vb)	(%Vb)	(mm)	%
MW-25B-67	67	10/25/01					fine sand									0 149	
MW-25B-69.75	69.75	10/25/01					silt									0.026	
MW-25B-76	76	10/25/01					silt									0.027	
MW-25B-87.75	87.75	10/25/01					silt									0.032	
MW-25B-89.5	89.5	10/25/01					fine sand									0.047	
MW-25B-90.5	90.5	10/26/01	7.77E-07	6.12E-07	0.79	0.62	fine sand		20.8	1.61		2.71		40.4	6.8	0.044	
MW-25B-91.5	91.5	10/26/01	7.16E-07	6.61E-07	0.73	0.67	fine sand		18.4	1.63		2.71		39.8	9.8	0.043	
MW-25B-101.5	101.5	10/26/01	8.99E-07	5.45E-07	0.91	0.55	silt		29.4	1.38		2.68		48.5	7.8	0.026	
MW-25B-108.5	108.5	10/26/01					fine sand									0.313	
MW-25B-111.5	111.5	10/26/01	2.54E-04	2.90E-04	258	296	medium sand		15.6	1.56		2.66		41.5	17.2	0.402	
MW-26C-88	88	12/05/01					silt									0.037	
MW-26C-142	142	12/06/01					silt									0.023	
EW-1-21.5	21.5	02/04/02		7.00E-07		0.72	silt		18.0	1.75		2.71		35.5	4.1	0.036	
EW-2-23	23	02/04/02		7.15E-07		0.76	silt		18.2	1.77		2.70		34.7	2.6	0.032	
EW-3-21	21	02/05/02		6.87E-07		0.70	silt		18.7	1.69		2.71		37.8	6.1	0.028	
EW-4-23	23	02/06/02		5.40E-06		5.56	silt		20.9	1.63		2.72		40.3	6.3	0.044	
EW-5-26	26	02/07/02		5.07E-06		5.21	fine sand		16.8	1.72		2.70		36.3	7.5	0.044	
EW-6-24	24	02/06/02		2.81E-06		2.97	silt		11.6	1.86		2.68		30.8	9.2	0.043	
EW-7-27	27	02/07/02		5.51E-06		5.83	fine sand		27.3	1.46		2.68		45.4	5.6	0.056	
Notes:	% wt = p	ercent weigh	nt		= not analyz	ed								f = fine grai	ned		
	g/cc = gr	rams per cub	ic centimeter		ND = not dete	cted within instr	ument parameter	rs (< 0.050 %)	1					m = mediur	n grained		
	%Vb = p	ercent bulk v	olume		Insuff. = insuff	icient sample fo	r analysis							c = coarse	grained		
	mg/kg =	milligrams p	er kilogram		* = refer to get	otechnical analy	tical report for gr	ain size distrit	oution					vc = verv co	- barse graine	d	
	md = mil	llidarcys	5		sl = slightly, sl	ight								pbl = pebbl	e(s)		
	mm = mi	illimeters			vf = very fine of	grained								cm/s = cent	imeters per	second	
					. ,												

SOIL PHYSICAL PARAMETER RESULTS SORTED BY FIELD GEOLOGIST'S SOIL CLASSIFICATION

76 Station 6519

							MedCoarse	Fine						
Sample		Sample	Soil Description	USCS	Soil Description	Gravel	Sand	Sand	Silt	Clay	Silt & Clay	Median	Hydraulic C	onductivity
ID	Depth	Date	(Geologist)	Symbol	(Interpreted from	Content	Content	Content	Content	Content	Content	Grain Size	Horizontal	Vertical
	(feet)			(Geologist)	lab analysis)		(% wt)	(% wt)	(% wt)	(% wt)	(% wt)	(mm)	(cm/s)	(cm/s)
MW-18@30	30	05/24/01	Sand	SP	Silt		7.39	26.66	53.32	12.63	65.95	0.032		
MW-19B-43 5	43 5	11/27/01	Sand	SP	Sand		29.71	47 69	193	3 30	22.60	0 246		
MW-214-25	25	11/27/01	Sand	SP	Sand		50.79	40.81	Not distinguishe	d silt vs. clav	8 39	0.426	4 71E-03	3 12E-04
MW-22R-15	15	10/24/01	Sand	SP	Sand		53 39	39.03	Not distinguishe	d silt vs. clay	7.58	0.426	4.71L-05	5.122-04
MW-23B-57	57	10/31/01	Sand	SP	Sand		15.89	58.20	21 29	4 63	25.91	0.188	2 55E-04	1.07E-03
MW-24B-68 25	68 25	10/28/01	Sand	SP	Sand	11.16	38.55	32.24	Not distinguishe	d silt vs. clav	18.06	0.413	2.552 01	
MW-24B-94	94	10/29/01	Sand	SP	Sand		34.55	41.67	20.04	3 74	23 79	0.251	1 23E-04	721E-05
MW-25B-108 5	108.5	10/26/01	Sand	SP	Sand		38.46	46.87	Not distinguishe	d silt vs. clav	14 68	0.313		
MW-25B-111.5	111.5	10/26/01	Sand	SP	Sand	0.76	47.55	44.07	Not distinguishe	d silt vs. clay	7.61	0.402	2 54E-04	2 90F-04
averages	111.5	10/20/01	Sund	51	Sund	1 32	39.88	43 04			16.27	0.34	1 34E-03	4 36E-04
ureruges						1.52	57.00	15.01			10.27	0.07	1.572 05	1.502 01
MW-20A-23	23	11/28/01	Sand	SW	Sand	5.23	58.91	28.52	Not distinguishe	d silt vs. clay	7.34	0.679	2.71E-03	3.10E-04
MW-22B-50	50	10/27/01	Sand	SW	Sand		47.91	44.16	Not distinguishe	d silt vs. clay	8.65	0.386		
MW-22B-58.5	58.5	10/24/01	Sand	SW	Sand	4.81	42.61	39.17	Not distinguishe	d silt vs. clay	13.42	0.387	1.55E-04	2.54E-04
averages						3.35	49.81	39.17			11.04	0.48	1.43E-03	2.82E-04
MW-22B-24.5	24.5	10/23/01	Silty Sand	SM	Silt		1.44	41.07	48.17	9.32	57.49	0.057	1.31E-06	3.95E-07
MW-22B-32	32	10/24/01	Sand	SM/SC	Sand		16.21	55.38	24.21	4.20	28.41	0.186		
MW-22B-48	48	10/27/01	Silty Sand	SM	Silt		8.48	28.34	51.73	11.45	63.19	0.040		
MW-23B-37.5	37.5	10/30/01	Silty Sand	SM	Silt		5.30	29.33	55.68	9.70	65.38	0.044		
MW-24B-37	37	10/28/01	Silty Sand	SM	Silt		1.07	25.96	62.12	10.86	72.98	0.036		
MW-25B-40.5	40.5	10/25/01	Sand and Silt	SM	Silt/Sand		12.58	38.33	42.88	6.21	49.09	0.077		
MW-25B-42.5	42.5	10/25/01	Silty Sand	SM	Silt		0.68	31.83	57.31	10.17	67.48	0.042	3.27E-06	2.53E-06
MW-25B-67	67	10/25/01	Sand	SM	Sand		18.20	48.94	29.30	3.57	32.86	0.149		
MW-25B-69.75	69.75	10/25/01	Silty Sand	SM	Silt		0.36	20.36	65.99	13.30	79.28	0.026		
MW-25B-89.5	89.5	10/25/01	Silty Sand	SM	Silt		2.24	37.81	49.02	10.93	59.95	0.047		
averages						0.00	6.66	37.82	47.10	8.97	57.61	0.07	2.29E-06	1.46E-06
MW-19B-9	9	11/26/01	Silt	ML	Silt		8.02	26.08	55.84	10.07	65.91	0.039		
MW-19B-26.5	26.5	11/26/01	Silt	ML	Sand	5.92	58.75	26.89	Not distinguishe	d silt vs. clay	8.44	0.655		
MW-19B-27.5	27.5	11/27/01	Silt	ML	Silt		1.9	30.42	56.13	11.55	67.68	0.034		
MW-19B-34	34	11/26/01	Silt and Sand	ML	Silt/Sand		0.06	47.07	47.16	5.71	52.87	0.070	4.06E-05	4.22E-05
MW-19B-36	36	11/27/01	Silt and Clay	ML	Silt			5.04	76.76	18.20	94.96	0.019	2.49E-07	3.97E-07
MW-20B-38	38	11/18/01	Silt and Clay	ML	Silt			18.07	67.37	14.56	81.93	0.024	1.18E-07	4.90E-07
MW-21B-46	46	11/15/01	Silt and Sand	ML	Sand	0.09	57.13	32.95	Not distinguishe	d silt vs. clay	9.83	0.489		
MW-23B-47.5	47.5	10/30/01	Silt and Clay	ML	Silt		1.28	28.61	56.34	13.77	70.12	0.031	1.79E-06	1.21E-06
MW-23B-49	49	10/31/01	Silt and Clay	ML	Silt		0.15	28.11	58.92	12.82	71.73	0.032		
MW-24B-56.5	56.5	10/28/01	Silt	ML	Silt		0.03	21.85	68.56	9.56	78.12	0.035		
MW-24B-79.75	79.75	10/29/01	Clayey Silt	ML	Silt			5.46	74.76	19.79	94.54	0.018		

6519r4c.xls Physical Parameter (3)

SOIL PHYSICAL PARAMETER RESULTS SORTED BY FIELD GEOLOGIST'S SOIL CLASSIFICATION

76	Station	6519

							MedCoarse	Fine						
Sample		Sample	Soil Description	USCS	Soil Description	Gravel	Sand	Sand	Silt	Clay	Silt & Clay	Median	Hydraulic C	Conductivity
ID.	Depth	Date	(Geologist)	Symbol	(Interpreted from	Content	Content	Content	Content	Content	Content	Grain Size	Horizontal	Vertical
	(feet)			(Geologist)	lab analysis)		(% wt)	(% wt)	(% wt)	(% wt)	(% wt)	(mm)	(cm/s)	(cm/s)
MW-25B-59.25	59.25	10/25/01	Silt	ML	Silt		10.31	35.51	46.70	7.48	54.18	0.063	4.05E-06	1.36E-06
MW-26C-88	88	12/05/01	Silt	ML	Silt		0.01	26.20	64.24	9.55	73.79	0.037		
averages						0.46	10.59	24.29	61.59	12.10	74.92	0.12	9.36E-06	9.13E-06
MW-20B-49.5	49.5	11/19/01	Clay	CL	Silt		1.09	28.73	58.63	11.55	70.18	0.041		
MW-22B-26	26	10/24/01	Silty Clay	CL	Silt		0.07	34.43	52.89	12.62	65.50	0.042	1.09E-06	8.05E-07
MW-22B-34.5	34.5	10/24/01	Clay	CH	Silt			10.14	72.01	17.85	89.86	0.020		
MW-24B-22.5	22.5	10/27/01	Clay	CL	Silt			.06	72.87	27.07	99.94	0.011	2.32E-06	2.20E-07
MW-24B-71.5	71.5	10/29/01	Clay	CL	Silt		3.99	33.14	50.60	12.26	62.86	0.036	3.20E-07	2.26E-07
MW-24B-73.5	73.5	10/29/01	Clay	CL	Silt		0.37	20.73	61.02	17.89	78.91	0.020		
MW-24B-83.5	83.5	10/29/01	Silty Clay	CL	Silt			8.86	71.13	20.01	91.14	0.015		
MW-25B-76	76	10/25/01	Clay	CL	Silt		0.28	15.20	71.90	12.62	84.52	0.027		
MW-25B-87.75	87.75	10/25/01	Silty Clay	CL	Silt		2.11	23.65	61.77	12.48	74.24	0.032		
MW-25B-90.5	90.5	10/26/01	Clay	CL	Silt		3.59	34.82	51.12	10.47	61.59	0.044	7.77E-07	6.12E-07
MW-25B-91.5	91.5	10/26/01	Clay	CL	Silt		3.04	36.76	48.92	11.28	60.20	0.043	7.16E-07	6.61E-07
MW-25B-101.5	101.5	10/26/01	Silty Clay	CL	Silt		0.03	13.20	74.25	12.52	86.77	0.026	8.99E-07	5.45E-07
MW-26C-142	142	12/06/01	Silty Clay	CL	Silt		0.39	17.03	67.45	15.14	82.59	0.023		
averages							1.15	22.54	62.10	14.90	77.56	0.03	1.02E-06	5.12E-07
Notes:	% wt =	percent wei	ght					= not analyz	zed					
	g/cc = g	grams per cu	ubic centimeter					ND = not dete	cted within instr	ument parame	ters (< 0.050	%)		
	%Vb =	percent bulk	volume					Insuff. = insuff	ficient sample fo	or analysis				
	mg/kg =	= milligrams	per kilogram					* = refer to ge	otechnical analy	tical report for	grain size dis	tribution		
	md = m	illidarcys						sl = slightly, sl	light					
	mm = n	nillimeters						vf = very fine g	grained					
	Silt - bo	olded soil de	scriptions indicate w	here geologis	ts field interpretations	were differe	ent from the labo	ratory results						

SOIL PHYSICAL PARAMETER RESULTS SORTED BY LABORATORY'S SOIL CLASSIFICATION 76 Station 6519

		- ·				- ·	MedCoarse	Fine						
Sample		Sample	Soil Description	Soil Description	USCS	Gravel	Sand	Sand	Silt	Clay	Silt & Clay	Median	Hydraulic C	onductivity
ID	Depth	Date	(Interpreted from	(Geologist)	Symbol	Content	Content	Content	Content	Content	Content	Grain Size	Horizontal	Vertical
	(feet)		lab analysis)		(Geologist)		(% wt)	(% wt)	(% wt)	(% wt)	(% wt)	(mm)	(cm/s)	(cm/s)
MW-19B-26.5	26.5	11/26/01	Sand	Silt	ML	5.92	58.75	26.89	Not distinguished	d silt vs. clay	8.44	0.655		
MW-19B-43.5	43.5	11/27/01	Sand	Sand	SP		29.71	47.69	19.3	3.30	22.60	0.246		
MW-20A-23	23	11/28/01	Sand	Sand	SW	5.23	58.91	28.52	Not distinguished	d silt vs. clay	7.34	0.679	2.71E-03	3.10E-04
MW-21A-25	25	11/27/01	Sand	Sand	SP		50.79	40.81	Not distinguished	d silt vs. clay	8.39	0.426	4.71E-03	3.12E-04
MW-21B-46	46	11/15/01	Sand	Silt and Sand	ML	0.09	57.13	32.95	Not distinguished	d silt vs. clay	9.83	0.489		
MW-22B-15	15	10/24/01	Sand	Sand	SP		53.39	39.03	Not distinguished	d silt vs. clay	7.58	0.446		
MW-22B-32	32	10/24/01	Sand	Sand	SM/SC		16.21	55.38	24.21	4.20	28.41	0.186		
MW-22B-50	50	10/27/01	Sand	Sand	SW		47.91	44.16	Not distinguished	d silt vs. clay	8.65	0.386		
MW-22B-58.5	58.5	10/24/01	Sand	Sand	SW	4.81	42.61	39.17	Not distinguished	d silt vs. clay	13.42	0.387	1.55E-04	2.54E-04
MW-23B-57	57	10/31/01	Sand	Sand	SP		15.89	58.20	21.29	4.63	25.91	0.188	2.55E-04	1.07E-03
MW-24B-68.25	68.25	10/28/01	Sand	Sand	SP	11.16	38.55	32.24	Not distinguished	d silt vs. clay	18.06	0.413		
MW-24B-94	94	10/29/01	Sand	Sand	SP		34.55	41.67	20.04	3.74	23.79	0.251	1.23E-04	7.21E-05
MW-25B-67	67	10/25/01	Sand	Sand	SM		18.20	48.94	29.30	3.57	32.86	0.149		
MW-25B-108.5	108.5	10/26/01	Sand	Sand	SP		38.46	46.87	Not distinguished	d silt vs. clay	14.68	0.313		
MW-25B-111.5	111.5	10/26/01	Sand	Sand	SP	0.76	47.55	44.07	Not distinguished	d silt vs. clay	7.61	0.402	2.54E-04	2.90E-04
averages						5.49	38.59	44.84			18.10	0.37	1.37E-03	3.85E-04
-														
MW-19B-34	34	11/26/01	Silt/Sand	Silt and Sand	ML		0.06	47.07	47.16	5.71	52.87	0.070	4.06E-05	4.22E-05
MW-25B-40.5	40.5	10/25/01	Silt/Sand	Sand and Silt	SM		12.58	38.33	42.88	6.21	49.09	0.077		
averages							6.32	42.70	45.02	5.96	49.09	0.07	4.06E-05	4.22E-05
-														
MW-18@30	30	05/24/01	Silt	Sand	SP		7.39	26.66	53.32	12.63	65.95	0.032		
MW-19B-9	9	11/26/01	Silt	Silt	ML		8.02	26.08	55.84	10.07	65.91	0.039		
MW-19B-27.5	27.5	11/27/01	Silt	Silt	ML		1.9	30.42	56.13	11.55	67.68	0.034		
MW-19B-36	36	11/27/01	Silt	Silt and Clay	ML			5.04	76.76	18.20	94.96	0.019	2.49E-07	3.97E-07
MW-20B-38	38	11/18/01	Silt	Silt and Clay	ML			18.07	67.37	14.56	81.93	0.024	1.18E-07	4.90E-07
MW-20B-49.5	49.5	11/19/01	Silt	Clay	CL		1.09	28.73	58.63	11.55	70.18	0.041		
MW-22B-24.5	24.5	10/23/01	Silt	Silty Sand	SM		1.44	41.07	48.17	9.32	57.49	0.057	1.31E-06	3.95E-07
MW-22B-26	26	10/24/01	Silt	Silty Clay	CL		0.07	34.43	52.89	12.62	65.50	0.042	1.09E-06	8.05E-07
MW-22B-34.5	34.5	10/24/01	Silt	Clay	СН			10.14	72.01	17.85	89.86	0.020		
MW-22B-48	48	10/27/01	Silt	Silty Sand	SM		8.48	28.34	51.73	11.45	63.19	0.040		
MW-23B-37.5	37.5	10/30/01	Silt	Silty Sand	SM		5.30	29.33	55.68	9.70	65.38	0.044		
MW-23B-47.5	47.5	10/30/01	Silt	Silt and Clay	ML		1.28	28.61	56.34	13.77	70.12	0.031	1.79E-06	1.21E-06
MW-23B-49	49	10/31/01	Silt	Silt and Clay	ML		0.15	28.11	58.92	12.82	71.73	0.032		
MW-24B-22.5	22.5	10/27/01	Silt	Clav	CL			.06	72.87	27.07	99.94	0.011	2.32E-06	2.20E-07
MW-24B-37	37	10/28/01	Silt	Silty Sand	SM		1.07	25.96	62.12	10.86	72.98	0.036		
MW-24B-56.5	56.5	10/28/01	Silt	Silt	ML		0.03	21.85	68.56	9.56	78.12	0.035		
SOIL PHYSICAL PARAMETER RESULTS SORTED BY LABORATORY'S SOIL CLASSIFICATION 76 Station 6519

		- ·				. .	MedCoarse	Fine		•							
Sample		Sample	Soil Description	Soil Description	USCS	Gravel	Sand	Sand	Silt	Clay	Silt & Clay	Median	Hydraulic C	onductivity			
ID	Depth	Date	(Interpreted from	(Geologist)	Symbol	Content	Content	Content	Content	Content	Content	Grain Size	Horizontal	Vertical			
	(feet)		lab analysis)		(Geologist)		(% wt)	(% wt)	(% wt)	(% wt)	(% wt)	(mm)	(cm/s)	(cm/s)			
MW-24B-71.5	71.5	10/29/01	Silt	Clay	CL		3.99	33.14	50.60	12.26	62.86	0.036	3.20E-07	2.26E-07			
MW-24B-73.5	73.5	10/29/01	Silt	Clay	CL		0.37	20.73	61.02	17.89	78.91	0.020					
MW-24B-79.75	79.75	10/29/01	Silt	Clayey Silt	ML			5.46	74.76	19.79	94.54	0.018					
MW-24B-83.5	83.5	10/29/01	Silt	Silty Clay	CL			8.86	71.13	20.01	91.14	0.015					
MW-25B-42.5	42.5	10/25/01	Silt	Silty Sand	SM		0.68	31.83	57.31	10.17	67.48	0.042	3.27E-06	2.53E-06			
MW-25B-59.25	59.25	10/25/01	Silt	Silt	ML		10.31	35.51	46.70	7.48	54.18	0.063	4.05E-06	1.36E-06			
MW-25B-69.75	69.75	10/25/01	Silt	Silty Sand	SM		0.36	20.36	65.99	13.30	79.28	0.026					
MW-25B-76	76	10/25/01	Silt	Clay	CL		0.28	15.20	71.90	12.62	84.52	0.027					
MW-25B-87.75	87.75	10/25/01	Silt	Silty Clay	CL		2.11	23.65	61.77	12.48	74.24	0.032					
MW-25B-89.5	89.5	10/25/01	Silt	Silty Sand	SM		2.24	37.81	49.02	10.93	59.95	0.047					
MW-25B-90.5	90.5	10/26/01	Silt	Clay	CL		3.59	34.82	51.12	10.47	61.59	0.044	7.77E-07	6.12E-07			
MW-25B-91.5	91.5	10/26/01	Silt	Clay	CL		3.04	36.76	48.92	11.28	60.20	0.043	7.16E-07	6.61E-07			
MW-25B-101.5	101.5	10/26/01	Silt	Silty Clay	CL		0.03	13.20	74.25	12.52	86.77	0.026	8.99E-07	5.45E-07			
MW-26C-88	88	12/05/01	Silt	Silt	ML		0.01	26.20	64.24	9.55	73.79	0.037					
MW-26C-142	142	12/06/01	Silt	Silty Clay	CL		0.39	17.03	67.45	15.14	82.59	0.023					
averages							2.11	25.19	60.40	13.21	74.02	0.03	1.41E-06	7.88E-07			
Notes:	% wt = p	ercent weig	jht					= not analyz	red								
	g/cc = gr	rams per cu	bic centimeter					ND = not dete	cted within instr	ument parame	ters (< 0.050 %	%)					
	%Vb = p	ercent bulk	volume					Insuff. = insuff	icient sample fo	r analysis							
	mg/kg =	milligrams	per kilogram					* = refer to geotechnical analytical report for grain size distribution									
	md = mi	llidarcys						sl = slightly, slight									
	mm = m ⁱ	illimeters						vf = very fine g	grained								
	Silt - bol	ded soil des	scriptions indicate whe	ere geologists field ir	terpretations v	were differe	nt from the labor	atory results									

TABLE 6
SOIL SAMPLE LABORATORY RESULTS FOR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
TOSCO STATION 6519

Sample	Depth	Date	TPHg	TPHd	TRPH	В	Т	E	Х	MTBE	TBA	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	TOC	ETHANOL
ID.	(fbg)		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)										
	(0/		(0 0/	(0 0/			(0 0/			(0 0/	(0 0/	(0 0/	(0 0 /			
B-1-14.5	14.5	03/25/92	ND													
B-1-17.5	17.5	03/25/92	ND													
B-1-30	30	03/25/92	ND													
B-2/MW-2-15.5	15.5	03/25/92	ND													
B-2/MW-2-17.5**	17.5	03/25/92	11,000			16	21	160	770							
B-2/MW-2-25.5	25.5	03/25/92	ND													
B-3/MW-3-15.5	15.5	03/25/92	ND													
B-3/MW-3-19	19	03/25/92	ND													
B-4-16	16	03/26/92	ND													
B-4-20	20	03/26/92	ND													
B-5/MW-5-15.5**	15.5	03/25/92	14,000	5,100		180	660	220	1200							
B-5/MW-5-20	20	03/25/92	11													
B-6/MW-6-16.5	16.5	03/26/92	ND													
B-6/MW-6-19	19	03/26/92	ND													
HA-1-4.5	4.5	03/25/92	ND													
HA-2-3.5	3.5	03/25/92	830													
HA-2-8	8	03/25/92	74													
HA-3-3.5	3.5	03/25/92	850													
HA-3-8	8	03/25/92	420													
		00/05/00														
HA-4-4.5	4.5	03/25/92	ND													
	4.5	00/05/00														
HA-5-4.5	4.5	03/25/92														
HA-6-4.5	4.5	03/25/92	ND													
	14	10/27/02														
D-7/10100-7-14	14	10/27/92														
D-/-IVIVV-/-I/ D-7 M/M/7 10**	10	10/27/92														
B-7-M\M/-7-19	10 5	10/27/02														
B_7_M\\/_7_97	27	10/27/02														
D-1-IVIVV-1-21	21	10/21/92	ND													

TABLE 6	
SOIL SAMPLE LABORATORY RESULTS FOR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS	
TOSCO STATION 6519	

ID (fbg) (mg/kg) (mg/kg) <t< th=""><th>Sample</th><th>Depth</th><th>Date</th><th>TPHg</th><th>TPHd</th><th>TRPH</th><th>В</th><th>Т</th><th>E</th><th>Х</th><th>MTBE</th><th>TBA</th><th>DIPE</th><th>ETBE</th><th>TAME</th><th>TOC</th><th>ETHANOL</th></t<>	Sample	Depth	Date	TPHg	TPHd	TRPH	В	Т	E	Х	MTBE	TBA	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	TOC	ETHANOL
B-8-MW-8-12 12 10/28/92 ND	ID	(fbg)		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)						
B-8-MW-8-12 12 10/28/92 ND																	
B-8-MW-8-12 12 10/28/92 ND																	
B-8-MW-8-18*** 18 10/28/92 ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND <th< td=""><td>B-8-MW-8-12</td><td>12</td><td>10/28/92</td><td>ND</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	B-8-MW-8-12	12	10/28/92	ND													
B-8-MW-8-21.5 21.5 10/28/92 ND <t< td=""><td>B-8-MW-8-18**</td><td>18</td><td>10/28/92</td><td>ND</td><td></td><td></td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td>ND</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	B-8-MW-8-18**	18	10/28/92	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B-8-MW-8-26 26 10/28/92 ND	B-8-MW-8-21.5	21.5	10/28/92	ND													
B-9/MW-9-12 12 10/27/92 ND ND ND ND ND ND	B-8-MW-8-26	26	10/28/92	ND													
B-9/MW-9-12 12 10/27/92 ND																	
B-9/MW-9-18** 18 10/27/92 ND ND ND ND ND ND B-9/MW-9-19.5 19.5 10/27/92 ND	B-9/MW-9-12	12	10/27/92	ND													
B-9/MW-9-19.5 19.5 10/27/92 ND	B-9/MW-9-18**	18	10/27/92	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B-9/MW-9-21 21 10/27/92 ND	B-9/MW-9-19.5	19.5	10/27/92	ND													
B-9/MW-9-26 26 10/27/92 ND	B-9/MW-9-21	21	10/27/92	ND													
B-10/MW-10-15 5 15 5 10/27/92 ND	B-9/MW-9-26	26	10/27/92	ND													
B-10/MW-10-15.5 15.5 10/27/92 ND																	
	B-10/MW-10-15.5	15.5	10/27/92	ND													
B-10/MW-10-17 17 10/27/92 ND	B-10/MW-10-17	17	10/27/92	ND													
B-10/MW-10-21.5** 21.5 10/27/92 ND 0.157 0.922 0.207 1.124	B-10/MW-10-21.5**	21.5	10/27/92	ND			0.157	0.922	0.207	1.124							
B-11/MW-11-13 13 09/28/93 ND	B-11/MW-11-13	13	09/28/93	ND													
B-11/MW-11-15 15 09/28/93 6	B-11/MW-11-15	15	09/28/93	6													
B-11/MW-11-17 17 09/28/93 ND	B-11/MW-11-17	17	09/28/93	ND													
B-12/VEW-12-13 13 09/28/93 ND	B-12/VEW-12-13	13	09/28/93	ND													
B-12/VEW-12-17.5 17.5 09/28/93 ND	B-12/VEW-12-17.5	17.5	09/28/93	ND													
B-12/VEW-12-19 19 09/28/93 5	B-12/VEW-12-19	19	09/28/93	5													
B-13/VEW-13-13 13 09/28/93 ND	B-13/VEW-13-13	13	09/28/93	ND													
B-13/VEW-13-16 16 09/28/93 ND	B-13/VEW-13-16	16	09/28/93	ND													
		<u> </u>	00/00/00	0.40				0.00		<u> </u>							
B-14/VEW-14-6.5 6.5 09/28/93 240 0.086 0.83 1.1 9.5	B-14/VEW-14-6.5	6.5	09/28/93	240			0.086	0.83	1.1	9.5							
B-14/VEW-14-8 8 09/28/93 110	B-14/VEVV-14-8	8	09/28/93	110													
		65	00/28/03														
B-15/VEW-15-8 8 09/28/93 ND	B-15/VEW-15-0.5	0.5 8	09/28/03														
	D-15/VLVV-15-0	0	09/20/93	ND													
F1_12 12 03/09/94 5698 ND	F1-12	12	03/09/94	5698	ND												
F2-10.5 10.5 03/09/94 ND ND	F2-10 5	10.5	03/09/04	ND													
E3-10.5 10.5 03/09/94 816 ND	E2-10.5	10.5	03/09/04	816	ND		-			-			-	-			
F4-10 10 03/09/94 6919 ND	F4_10	10.0	03/00/04	6010													
E5-10 10 03/09/94 7996 ND 48.3 394.1 105.7 807	E5-10	10	03/09/94	7996	ND	-	48.3	394 1	105 7	807							

TABLE 6
SOIL SAMPLE LABORATORY RESULTS FOR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
TOSCO STATION 6519

Sample	Depth	Date	TPHg	TPHd	TRPH	В	Т	E	Х	MTBE	TBA	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	TOC	ETHANOL
ID	(fbg)		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
SS-1-3	3	03/09/94	ND	ND												
SS-2-3	3	03/09/94	3100	ND												
SS-3-3	3	03/09/94	5496	ND												
SS-4-3	3	03/09/94	10516	ND		69.9	408.6	94	797.1							
SS-5-3	3	03/09/94	ND	ND												
SS-6-3	3	03/09/94	ND	ND												
SS-7-3.5	3.5	03/09/94	20	ND												
SS-8-3.5	3.5	03/09/94	1600	ND												
SS-9-2	2	03/09/94	ND	ND												
SS-10-2	2	03/09/94	ND	ND												
SS-11-2	2	03/09/94	53	ND												
SS-12-2	2	03/09/94	ND	ND												
SS-13-2	2	03/09/94	ND	ND												
SS-14-2	2	03/09/94	ND	ND												
2EX-1-9.5	9.5	03/09/94	94	ND												
CL1-5.5	5.5	04/24/96	ND		ND											
	40	0.4/0.4/00			110											
L1-10	10	04/24/96			110											
L1-12	12	04/24/96														
L2-10	10	04/24/96			ND											
CD1 1		04/04/06														
5P1-1 SD2 1		04/24/90			ND 1 100											
SF2-1		04/24/90	94		1,100	ND	0.23	ND	ND							
353-1		04/24/90			120											
PI 1-3 5	35	01/25/06							ND							
PI 2-3 5	3.5	04/25/06														
PI 3-3 5	3.5	04/25/06														
PI 4-3 5	3.5	04/25/96							ND							
1 24-0.0	0.0	04/20/00														
T1N-12.5	12.5	04/25/96	700			ND	14	18	150							
T1N-16 5	16.5	04/25/96	9.500			9.4	530	200	1,100	ND*						
T1S-16.5	16.5	04/25/96	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
T2S-12.5	12.5	04/25/96	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
T2S-16.5	16.5	04/25/96	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							

ID (fbg) (mg/kg)	/kg) (mg/kg)
T3S-12.5 12.5 04/25/96 ND ND ND ND ND	
T3S-16.5 16.5 04/25/96 ND ND ND ND ND	
WT-8 8 04/25/96 19,000 ND*	·
WT-12 12 04/25/96 9,400	
WT-14 14 04/25/96 ND	·
WT-16 16 04/25/96 ND	
SP4-1 04/25/96 ND	
SP4-2 04/25/96 ND	
SP4-3 04/25/96 ND	
E1-15 15 04/26/96 ND	
E2-10 10 04/26/96 ND	·
E3-10 10 04/26/96 ND	·
SP5-1 04/26/96 110 ND ND 0.11 0.11 0.19	
SP5-2 04/26/96 100 ND	
SP6-1 04/26/96 ND 18,000	
SP6-2 04/26/96 14,000	·
SP7-1 04/26/96 ND ND	·
SP7-2 04/26/96 ND ND	·
SP7-3 04/26/96 ND ND	
SP8-1 04/26/96 11,000 ND 7.3 220 85 600	
SP8-2 04/26/96 69 ND	
SP9-1 04/26/96 ND ND	·
SP9-2 04/26/96 440 370 ND 0.16 0.67 2.7	·
SP10-1 05/06/96 ND	·
SP10-2 05/06/96 ND	·
SP11-1 05/06/96 8000 29 570 190 1150	·
SP-10 1 05/08/96 ND 320 ND ND ND ND	·
SP-11 0.5 05/08/96 ND 280 ND ND ND ND	·
SP-12 1 05/08/96 ND ND ND ND ND ND	
SS-13-2 2 05/15/96 ND ND ND ND ND ND ND*	. <u></u>
SFW-1.0 1 06/18/96 ND ND ND ND ND ND ND ND*	

TABLE 6
SOIL SAMPLE LABORATORY RESULTS FOR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
TOSCO STATION 6519

Sample	Depth	Date	TPHg	TPHd	TRPH	В	T	E	X	MTBE	TBA	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	TOC	ETHANOL
ID	(fbg)		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
SFN-0.25	0.25	06/18/96	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND*						
SFN-1.0	1	06/18/96	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND*						
SFE-0.25	0.25	06/18/96	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND*						
SFE-1.0	1	06/18/96	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND*						
SFS-0.25	0.25	06/18/96	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND*						
SFS-1.0	1	06/18/96	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND*						
B18-6.5	6.5	05/12/97	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B18-10.5	10.5	05/12/97	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B18-14.0	14	05/12/97	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B18-17.0	17	05/12/97	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B19-6.5	6.5	05/12/97	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B19-14.0	14	05/12/97	1.0			0.07	0.17	0.01	0.12							
B19-17.0	17	05/12/97	3.6			0.47	0.47	0.04	0.47							
B19-10.5	10.5	05/12/97	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B20-6.5	6.5	05/12/97	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B20-10.5	10.5	05/12/97	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B20-14.0	14	05/12/97	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B20-17.0	17	05/12/97	ND			0.013	0.018	ND	0.023							
B21-6.5	6.5	05/12/97	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B21-10.5	10.5	05/12/97	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B21-14.0	14	05/12/97	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B21-17.0	17	05/12/97	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B22-6.5	6.5	05/12/97	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B22-10.5	10.5	05/12/97	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B22-14.0	14	05/12/97	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
B22-17.0	17	05/12/97	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND							
VEW-18-5	5	06/28/99	ND<1			ND<0.005	0.009	ND<0.005	0.022	0.67*						
VEW-18-10	10	06/28/99	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	0.3*						
VEW-18-15	15	06/28/99	ND<1			0.0078	0.067	0.0073	0	0.53*						
VEW-18-19	19	06/28/99	4.3			0.049	0.041	0.012	0.092	7.7*						
VEW-18-20	20	06/28/99	1800			ND<0.1	17	9.9	82	3.8						
VEW-18-21	21	06/28/99	140			0.25	1.3	1.3	9.7	4*						

TABLE 6 SOIL SAMPLE LABORATORY RESULTS FOR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS TOSCO STATION 6519

TABLE 6 SOIL SAMPLE LABORATORY RESULTS FOR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS TOSCO STATION 6519

Sample	Depth	Date	TPHg	TPHd	TRPH	В	Т	E	Х	MTBE	TBA	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	TOC	ETHANOL
ID	(fbg)		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
VEW-18-25	25	06/28/99	ND<1			0.022	0.021	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	0.47*						
VEW-19-5	5	06/28/99	1.2			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	1.6*						
VEW-19-10	10	06/28/99	ND<1			0.0057	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	0.28*						
VEW-19-15	15	06/28/99	ND<1			0.0062	0.018	ND<0.005	0.016	1.2*						
VEW-19-19	19	06/28/99	8700			6.3	280	200	1300	6.8*						
VEW-19-20	20	06/28/99	2.4			0.013	0.024	0.097	0.083	1.7						
VEW-19-21	21	06/28/99	3.5			0.073	0.094	0.08	0.25	8.9*						
VEW-19-25	25	06/28/99	1.7			1	1.3	0.01	0.085	4.1*						
MW-18-6	6	05/24/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.015	ND<0.01						
MW-18-10	10	05/24/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.015	ND<0.01						
MW-18-14.5	14.5	05/24/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.015	ND<0.01						
MW-18-17	17	05/24/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.015	ND<0.01						
MW-18-18.5	18.5	05/24/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.015	ND<0.01						
MW-18-20	20	05/24/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.015	ND<0.01						
MW-18-21.5	21.5	05/24/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.015	ND<0.01						
MW-18-24.5	24.5	05/24/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.015	ND<0.01						
MW-18-30	30	05/24/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.015	ND<0.01						
	10	4.4.100.10.4														
MW-19B-18	18	11/26/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
MW-19B-22	22	11/26/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	1	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
MW 10B 26	24	11/26/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
IVIVV-19B-20	20	11/20/01				ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005		ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
10100-190-33.5	33.5	11/20/01	NDS1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
MW 200 17 5	17.5	11/22/01														
MW-20A-17.5	10	11/20/01										ND<0.005				
MW 20A 20 5	20.5	11/20/01										ND<0.005			34	
MW-20A-20.5	20.5	11/20/01				ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		ND<0.005		ND<0.005	ND<0.005		ND<30	
10100-207-20	20	11/20/01				ND<0.005	ND~0.005	ND<0.005		ND<0.005	NDN0.2	ND~0.005	ND~0.005	ND<0.005		
M\M_20B_28	28	11/16/01							ND<0.01							
MW_20R_30	30	11/18/01				ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
MW-20B-46	46	11/19/01	ND<1			0 022	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	0.82	0.69	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
MW-20B-50 5	-,0 50 5	11/19/01	ND<1	-		ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	0.011	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
MW-20B-53	53	11/19/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
	00	11/10/01		-	-	110 -0.000	110 -0.000	110 -0.000	110 -0.01	10.000	110 -0.2	110 -0.000		110 -0.000	-	

TABLE 6 SOIL SAMPLE LABORATORY RESULTS FOR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS TOSCO STATION 6519

Sample	Depth	Date	TPHa	TPHd	TRPH	В	Т	E	Х	MTBE	TBA	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	TOC	ETHANOL
ID.	(fbg)		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
MW-20B-55	55	11/19/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
MW-20B-66	66	11/19/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
MW-20B-80	80	11/19/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
MW-21A-18	18	11/27/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	35	
MW-21A-19.5	19.5	11/27/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
MW-21A-21	21	11/27/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
MW-21A-27	27	11/27/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
MW-21B-49	49	11/13/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
MW-21B-52.5	52.5	11/14/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
MW-21B-61.5	61.5	11/14/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
MW-21B-82.5	82.5	11/15/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
MW-22B-19	19	10/23/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
MW-22B-21.5	21.5	10/23/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		
MW-22B-24	24	10/23/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	110	
MW-22B-26.5	26.5	10/24/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
MW-22B-43	43	10/24/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
MW-22B-50.5	50.5	10/24/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
MW-22B-59	59	10/24/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
MW-23B-12	12	10/30/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	570	
MW-23B-13	13	10/30/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	2,000	
MW-23B-14.5	14.5	10/30/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	330	
MW-23B-32.5	32.5	10/30/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
MW-23B-34	34	10/30/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
MW-23B-42.5	42.5	10/30/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
MW-23B-47	47	10/30/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	74	
MW-23B-54	54	10/31/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	0.0068	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	170	
MW-23B-57.5	57.5	10/31/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
MW-23C-113	113	11/08/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
MW-23C-187	187	11/11/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
		4010710 1													4 0 0 0	
MW-24B-22	22	10/27/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	1,800	
MW-24B-35	35	10/28/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
WW-24B-50	50	10/28/01				ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005		

TABLE 6	
SOIL SAMPLE LABORATORY RESULTS FOR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS	
TOSCO STATION 6519	

Sample	Depth	Date	TPHg	TPHd	TRPH	В	Т	E	Х	MTBE	TBA	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	TOC	ETHANOL
ID	(fbg)		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
MW-24B-57	57	10/28/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	74	
MW-24B-65	65	10/28/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	37	
MW-24B-68	68	10/28/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	0.081	0.38	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
MW-24B-69.5	69.5	10/28/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	0.02	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	110	
MW-24B-71	71	10/29/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	320	
MW-24B-83	83	10/29/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	370	
MW-24B-94.5	94.5	10/29/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	40	
MW-24C-69	69	11/29/01	ND<1			0.053	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	1.2	8.4	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	0.0053	ND<30	
MW-24C-68.75	68.75	11/29/01	ND<1			0.027	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	0.36	5	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
MW-24C-69.25	69.25	11/29/01	ND<1			0.14	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	0.63	2.5	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	0.0052	280	
MW-25B-57.25	57.25	10/25/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
MW-25B-58.5	58.5	10/25/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	92	
MW-25B-60.5	60.5	10/25/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
MW-25B-86	86	10/25/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	91	
MW-25B-88	88	10/25/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	120	
MW-25B-90.5	90.5	10/26/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	280	
MW-25B-101	101	10/26/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	310	
MW-25B-105.25	105.25	10/26/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	47	
MW-25B-111	111	10/26/01	ND<1			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.2	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<30	
EW-1@17	17	02/04/02	ND<0.50			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.05	ND<0.01	ND<0.01	ND<0.01		ND<0.10
EW-1@19	19	02/04/02	ND<0.50			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.05	ND<0.01	ND<0.01	ND<0.01		ND<0.10
EW-1@21	21	02/04/02	ND<0.50			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	0.13	ND<0.01	ND<0.01	ND<0.01		ND<0.10
EW-2@17	17	02/04/02	ND<0.50			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	0.19	0.82	ND<0.01	ND<0.01	ND<0.01		ND<0.10
EW-2@19.5	19.5	02/04/02	3,200			1.2	5.5	1.6	8.5	1.7	1.9	ND<0.02	ND<0.02	ND<0.02		ND<0.10
EW-2@22.5	22.5	02/04/02	11			0.14	0.35	0.033	0.26	0.99	0.44	ND<0.02	ND<0.02	ND<0.02		ND<0.10
EW-3@19.5	19.5	02/05/02	ND<0.50			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	0.0059	6.7	ND<0.01	ND<0.01	ND<0.01		ND<0.10
EW-3@20.5	20.5	02/05/02	ND<0.50			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.05	ND<0.01	ND<0.01	ND<0.01		ND<0.10
EW-4@19	19	02/06/02	ND<0.50			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	12	480	ND<0.01	ND<0.01	ND<0.01		ND<0.10
EW-4@22.5	22.5	02/06/02	ND<0.50			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	9.6	2,400	ND<0.01	ND<0.01	ND<0.01		ND<0.10
EW-5@19	19	02/07/02	ND<0.50			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	22	1,800	ND<0.01	ND<0.01	ND<0.01		ND<0.10
EW-5@25	25	02/07/02	1.4			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	350	6,700	ND<0.01	ND<0.01	ND<0.01		ND<0.10

TABLE 6	
SOIL SAMPLE LABORATORY RESULTS FOR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS	
TOSCO STATION 6519	

Sample	Depth	Date	TPHg	TPHd	TRPH	B	T (()	E	X	MTBE	ТВА	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	TOC	ETHANOL
	(fbg)		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
EW-6@19	19	02/06/02	ND<0.50			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	ND<0.05	ND<0.01	ND<0.01	ND<0.01		ND<0.10
EW-6@22	22	02/06/02	ND<0.50			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	430	ND<0.01	ND<0.01	ND<0.01		ND<0.10
EW-6@23.5	23.5	02/06/02	ND<0.50			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	660	ND<0.01	ND<0.01	ND<0.01		ND<0.10
EW-7@19	19	02/07/02	ND<0.50			ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	ND<0.005	240	ND<0.01	ND<0.01	ND<0.01		ND<0.10
EW-7@25.5	25.5	02/07/02	2.0			13	ND<0.005	ND<0.005	ND<0.01	270	3,200	ND<0.01	ND<0.01	ND<0.01		ND<0.10
-																l

TABLE 6 SOIL SAMPLE LABORATORY RESULTS FOR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS TOSCO STATION 6519

Sa	ample	Depth	Date	TPHg	TPHd	TRPH	В	Т	Е	Х	MTBE	TBA	DIPE	ETBE	TAME	TOC	ETHANOL
	ID	(fbg)		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
N	lotes:																
		Depths are	e in feet be	elow grade			ND = not de	tected above	indicated lab	oratory deter	ction limit	TOC = tota	l organic car	bon			
		TPHg = to	tal petrole	um hydroca	arbons as	gasoline	NA = not an	alyzed				* = Analyze	d by EPA m	ethod 8020			
		TPHd = to	tal petrole	um hydroca	arbons as	diesel	TBA = tertia	ry butyl alcoh	ol			** = Sample	e analyzed fo	or organic lea	d but not rep	ported in thi	s table
		TRPH = to	otal recove	erable petrol	eum hydro	ocarbons	DIPE = di-is	opropyl ether									
		B = benze	ne				ETBE = ethy	/I-tertiary-buty	/I ether								
		T = toluen	е				TAME = tert	iary-amyl-me	thyl-ether								
		E = ethylb	enzene				mg/kg = mill	igrams per kil	ogram								
		X = xylene	es				MTBE = me	thyl tertiary bu	utyl ether								
									-								

INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER SAMPLE LABORATORY RESULTS

76 STATION 6519

SAMPLE	DEPTH	DATE	TPHg	В	Т	E	Х	MTBE	ETHANOL	TBA	DIPE	ETBE	TAME
ID	(feet)	SAMPLED	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L						
CPT-1-19	19	05/14/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-1-49	49	05/14/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-1-60	60	05/14/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-1-71	71	05/14/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-2-22	22	05/16/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-2-29	29	05/16/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-2-62	62	05/16/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-2-74	74	05/16/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-3-15	15	05/16/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-3-30	30	05/16/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-3-40	40	05/15/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-3-43	43	05/16/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-3-57	57	05/15/01	ND<500	1.7	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	510	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	2.5
CPT-3-72	72	05/15/01	ND<500	4.1	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	4.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-4-19	19	05/15/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-4-35	35	05/15/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-4-50	50	05/15/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	5.3	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-4-82	82	05/16/01	ND<500	14	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-4-87	87	05/15/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-5-20	20	05/14/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-5-33	33	05/14/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-5-52	52	05/14/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-5-70	70	05/14/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-5-95	95	05/14/01	ND<500	1.3	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	31	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-6-20	20	05/15/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-6-30	30	05/15/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-6-45	45	05/15/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0

INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER SAMPLE LABORATORY RESULTS 76 STATION 6519

SAMPLE ID	DEPTH (feet)	DATE SAMPLED	TPHg μg/L	Β μg/L	Τ μg/L	Ε μg/L	Χ μg/L	MTBE μg/L	ETHANOL μg/L	TBA μg/L	DIPE μg/L	ETBE μg/L	TAME μg/L
CPT-6-68	68	05/15/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-6-77	77	05/15/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-6-85	85	05/15/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-7-19	19	05/17/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-7-30	30	05/17/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-7-40	40	05/17/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-7-51	51	05/17/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-7-63	63	05/17/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-7-83	83	05/17/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<2.0	ND<3.0	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-8-22	22	05/17/01	ND<500	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	1.8	97	ND<250	ND<5.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0
CPT-9-23.5-28.5	23.5-28.5	11/30/01	ND<50	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2
CPT-9-47-52	47-52	11/30/01	ND<50	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2
CPT-9-60-62	60-62	11/30/01	ND<50	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2
CPT-9-73.5-78.5	73.5-78.5	11/30/01	ND<50	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2
CPT-9-81-85	81-85	11/30/01	ND<50	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2
CPT-9-88-90	88-90	11/30/01	ND<50	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2
CPT-10-24-29	24-29	11/31/01	ND<50	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2
CPT-10-39-44	39-44	11/31/01	ND<50	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2
CPT-10-50-55	50-55	11/31/01	ND<50	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2
CPT-10-61-66	61-66	11/31/01	ND<50	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2
CPT-10-74-79	74-79	11/31/01	ND<50	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2
CPT-11-22-24	22-24	11/31/01	ND<50	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2
CPT-11-37-39	37-39	11/31/01	ND<50	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2
CPT-11-59-64	59-64	11/31/01	ND<50	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2
CPT-11-66-71	66-71	11/31/01	ND<50	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2
CPT-11-73-76	73-76	11/31/01	ND<50	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2
CPT-11-97-99	97-99	11/31/01	ND<50	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0	ND<1.0		ND<10	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2

INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER SAMPLE LABORATORY RESULTS 76 STATION 6519

SAMPLE	DEPTH	DATE	TPHg	В	т	E	Х	MTBE	ETHANOL	ТВА	DIPE	ETBE	TAME
ID	(feet)	SAMPLED	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L						
MW-24B-GW54	54	10/28/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	1.1		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-24B-GW59	59	10/28/01	ND<50	0.77	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	1.4		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-24B-GW63	63	10/28/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	0.77		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-23C-GW80	80	11/06/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1.5	ND<1					
MW-23C-GW90	90	11/07/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1.5	ND<1					
MW-23C-GW90 Dup	90	11/07/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1.5	ND<1					
MW-23C-GW97.5	97.5	11/07/01	ND<50	1.6	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1.5	24					
MW-23C-GW110	110	11/07/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1.5	1.7					
MW-23C-GW120	120	11/08/01	ND<50	0.6	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1.5	18					
MW-23C-GW140	140	11/08/01	ND<50	1.2	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1.5	12					
MW-23C-160B	160	11/09/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1.5	ND<1					
MW-23C-GW180	180	11/10/01	ND<50	0.8	0.8	ND<0.5	ND<1.5	1.5					
MW-23C-GW180 Dup	180	11/10/01	ND<50	0.7	0.6	ND<0.5	ND<1.5	ND<1					
MW-22B		11/12/01		190	230	72	230	3.6		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-25B		11/12/01		87	140	53	170	2.0		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-24B		11/12/01		34	80	36	110	0.69		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-21B-GW55	55	11/14/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	1.0	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-24C-GW67.5	67.5	11/29/01	ND<500	53	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	180		42	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0
MW-24C-GW111.5	111.5	11/30/01	ND<500	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0
MW-24C-GW131.5	131.5	11/30/01	ND<500	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0
MW-24C-GW153.5	153.5	12/01/01		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0
MW-24C-GW181.5	181.5	12/01/01		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0
MW-26C-GW22	22	12/03/01	ND<500	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0
MW-26C-GW46.5	46.5	12/04/01	ND<500	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0
MW-26C-GW81.5	81.5	12/05/01	ND<500	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0

INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER SAMPLE LABORATORY RESULTS 76 STATION 6519

SAMPLE	DEPTH (feet)	DATE SAMPLED	TPHg μg/L	Β μg/L	Τ μg/L	Ε μg/L	Χ μg/L	MTBE μg/L	ETHANOL μg/L	TBA μg/L	DIPE µg/L	ETBE μg/L	TAME μg/L
	(40/05/04											
MW-26C-GW111.5	111.5	12/05/01	ND<200	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0
MW-26C-GW141.5	141.5	12/06/01	ND<200	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0
MW-26C-GW156.5	156.5	12/06/01	ND<200	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	7.0		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0
MW-26C-GW186.5	186.5	12/07/01	ND<200	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0
MW-26C-GW209	209	12/07/01	ND<200	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0
Notes:													
	Depths are	in feet below a	rade			TBA = tertia	arv butvl alco	hol					
	TPHa = tot	al petroleum hy	drocarbons a	s gasoline		DIPF = di-is	sopropyl ethe	er .					
	B = benzer	ne		gueenne		FTBF = eth	vl-tertiarv-bu	tvl ether					
	T = toluene	<i>.</i>				TAMF = ter	tiarv-amvl-m	ethvl-ether					
	E = ethvlbe	enzene				$\mu q/L = micro$	ograms per li	ter					
	X = xylene	s				ND = not de	etected above	e indicated la	boratory detect	ion limit			
	MTBE = m	ethyl tertiary bu	tyl ether			= not ana	lyzed		,				

GROUNDWATER WELL GAUGING AND ANALYTICAL RESULTS Second Quarter 2002 76 STATION 6519

Well No.	Monitoring Date	Depth to Water (feet)	LPH Thickness (feet)	Well Elevation	Ground- water Elevation	TPH-g (μg/l)	Benzene (µg/l)	Toluene (μg/l)	Ethyl- benzene (μg/l)	Total Xylenes (μg/l)	MTBE 8260B (μg/l)	Ethanol 8260Β (μg/l)	ΤΒΑ 8260Β (μg/l)	DIPE 8260Β (μg/l)	ETBE 8260Β (μg/l)	TAME 8260Β (μg/l)	Comments
76 Station:																	
MW-3	05/29/02					ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	1100	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-3	05/29/02	15.53	0	1006.58	991.05	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	0.91	ND<2000	2400	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	i i e puige
MW-6	05/29/02					ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-6	05/29/02	14.61	0	1005.65	991.04	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	1 0
MW-7	05/29/02					ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-7	05/29/02	11.71	0	1006.1	994.39	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	1 0
MW-10	05/29/02			1005.57													Obstruction in well
MW-11	05/29/02			1006.18													Obstruction in well
MW-16	05/29/02			1006.28													Obstruction in well
MW-17	05/29/02	16.01	0	1006.92	990.91	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	26	ND<2000	1800	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	
MW-17	05/29/02					ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	17	ND<2000	1600	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-18	05/29/02					ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-18	05/29/02	22.79	0	1008	985.21	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	
MW-19B	05/31/02	21.1	0	1006.03	984.93	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	0.94	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	
MW-19B	05/31/02					ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	0.86	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-20A	05/29/02					110	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	19	ND<2000	1900	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-20A	05/29/02	18.92	0	1006.47	987.55	68	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	19	ND<2000	2900	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	
MW-20B	05/29/02					5500	330	ND<0.5	7.8	9.5	9300	ND<2000	3000	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-20B	05/29/02	20.03	0	1006.7	986.67	12000	2400	4.9	1100	1300	20000	ND<2000	28000	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	
MW-21A	05/29/02					ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-21A	05/29/02	19.36	0	1006.08	986.72	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	
MW-21B	05/29/02					ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-21B	05/29/02	21.79	0	1006.18	984.39	ND<50	0.81	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	
MW-22A	05/30/02					ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-22A	05/30/02	15.25	0	1004.23	988.98	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	
MW-22B	05/30/02					ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-22B	05/30/02	18.91	0	1004.07	985.16	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	
MW-23A	05/30/02					ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-23A	05/30/02	14.58	0	1003.01	988.43	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	
MW-23B	05/29/02					680	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	1000	ND<2000	390	ND<1	ND<1	3.9	Pre-purge
MW-23B	05/29/02	16.84	0	1003	986.16	680	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	1000	ND<2000	480	ND<1	ND<1	4.3	
MW-23C	05/30/02	18.52	0	1002.94	984.42	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	
MW-23C	05/30/02					ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-24A	05/30/02					ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-24A	05/30/02	17.94	0	1005.79	987.85	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	
MW-24B	05/30/02					ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge

GROUNDWATER WELL GAUGING AND ANALYTICAL RESULTS Second Quarter 2002 76 STATION 6519

Well No.	Monitoring Date	Depth to Water (feet)	LPH Thickness (feet)	Well Elevation	Ground- water Elevation	TPH-g (μg/l)	Benzene (µg/l)	Toluene (μg/l)	Ethyl- benzene (μg/l)	Total Xylenes (μg/l)	MTBE 8260B (μg/l)	Ethanol 8260Β (μg/l)	ΤΒΑ 8260Β (μg/l)	DIPE 8260Β (μg/l)	ETBE 8260Β (μg/l)	TAME 8260Β (μg/l)	Comments
MW 24P	05/30/02	21 77	0	1006.03	984 26	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	
MW-24C	05/30/02	22.77	0	1006.03	984.13	ND<50	ND < 0.5	ND<0.5	ND < 0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND < 50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	
MW-24C	05/30/02					ND<50	ND < 0.5	ND<0.5	ND < 0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-nurge
MW-24C	05/30/02					ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	11	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-25B	05/30/02	21.18	0	1005.2	984 02	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	13	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	rie puige
MW-26C	05/31/02					ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	6.5	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-26C	05/31/02	23.78	0	1007.5	983.72	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	7.2	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	F 8
MW-24AB	05/31/02					ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	0.81	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	Pre-purge
MW-24AB	05/31/02	20.94	0	1006	985.06	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	1	ND<2000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1	· · · · ·
Chevron Sta	tion:																
B-13	05/29/02	7.13	0	1006.45	999.32	ND<50	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.6	ND<1.0	ND<0.5	ND<25	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2	
B-15	05/29/02	19.99	0	1009.54	989.55	270	3.8	0.49	ND<0.3	0.65	ND<10	ND<0.5	15,000	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	
GT-1D	05/29/02	26.81	0	1013.62	986.81	ND<50	ND<0.3	0.33	ND<0.3	1.4	ND<10	ND<0.5	ND<250	ND<20	ND<20	ND<20	
GT-1S	05/29/02	26.13	0	1013.67	987.54	ND<50	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.6	3.2	ND<0.5	ND<25	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2	
GT-2D	05/29/02	24.84	0	1011.57	986.73	ND<50	0.57	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.6	3.8	ND<0.5	ND<25	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2	
GT-2S	05/29/02	23.15	0	1011.13	987.98	ND<50	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.6	290	ND<0.5	290	ND<2	ND<2	2.8	
GT-3D	05/29/02	27.71	0	1014.65	986.94	ND<50	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.6	1.6	ND<0.5	ND<25	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2	
GT-3S	05/29/02	27.03	0	1014.73	987.70	ND<50	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.6	6.8	ND<0.5	ND<25	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2	
MW-25	05/29/02	28.32	0	1015.49	987.17	ND<50	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	0.74	1.5	ND<0.5	ND<25	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2	
MW-26A	05/29/02	42.22	0	1030.28	988.06	ND<50	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.6	ND<1.0	ND<0.5	ND<25	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2	
R-1D	05/29/02	24.72	0	1012.27	987.55	ND<50	9.3	ND<0.3	2.0	ND<0.6	3.5	ND<0.5	ND<25	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2	
R-1S	05/29/02	23.08	0	1012.20	989.12	ND<50	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.3	ND<0.6	1.7	ND<0.5	ND<25	ND<2	ND<2	ND<2	
Notes:	Oxygenates analyzed for the first time on this date.TBA = tertiary butyl alcoholµg/l = micrograms per literDIPE = diisopropyl etherTPH-g = total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasolineETBE = ethyl tertiary-butyl etherMTBE = methyl tertiary butyl ether = not analyzed for this constituent																
	TAME = tertia	ary-amyl me	ethyl ether						* = elevatio	ns calculate	ed with res	pect to GT-1	and Chev	ron data			

QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL SAMPLE LABORATORY RESULTS

76 STATION 6519

SAMPLE	SAMPLE	SAMPLE	DATE	TPHg	B	т	E	x	MTBE	ETHANOL	TBA	DIPE	ETBE	TAME
ID	PHASE	DESCRIPTION	SAMPLED	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L						
MW-22B-TW1	Sonic Drilling	Equip blank- drill rig water tank	10/24/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	2.0	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
EQB-1	Sonic Drilling	Equip blank- box 1, brass sleeves	10/26/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
EQB-2	Sonic Drilling	Equip blank- split spoon, MW-25B	10/26/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-25B-TW1	Sonic Drilling	Equip blank- drill rig water tank	10/26/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	1.1	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
		Trip blank- samples collected												ľ
TRAVEL BLANK	Sonic Drilling	10/26/01-10/29/01	10/26/01	ND<50										/
EQB-3	Sonic Drilling	Equip blank- hydropunch, MW-24B	10/28/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
Field Blank	Sonic Drilling	Field blank- MW-23C-90	11/07/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1.5	ND<1		NA	NA	NA	NA
Equipment Blank	Sonic Drilling	Equip blank- hydropunch, MW-23C	11/07/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1.5	ND<1		NA	NA	NA	NA
MW-23C-TW1	Sonic Drilling	Equip blank- drill rig water tank	11/08/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1.5	ND<1		NA	NA	NA	NA
Field Blank	Sonic Drilling	Field blank- MW-23C-180	11/10/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	0.6	ND<0.5	ND<1.5	1.1		NA	NA	NA	NA
EQB-6	Sonic Drilling	Equip blank- hydropunch, MW-21B	11/14/01	110	0.74	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
EQB-7	Sonic Drilling	Equip blank, box 2, brass sleeves	11/26/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
FB-3	Sonic Drilling	Field blank- MW-24C-67.5	11/29/01	ND<500	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0
EQB-8	Sonic Drilling	Equip blank- simul probe, MW-24C	11/29/01	ND<500	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0
EB-1	Auger Drilling	Equip blank- bailer, MW-21A	11/30/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
EB-2	Auger Drilling	Equip blank- pump, MW-21A	11/30/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
EB-3	Auger Drilling	Equip blank- surge block, MW-21A	11/30/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
Bottle blank	Sonic Drilling	Equip blank- VOAs batch 101101	11/30/01	ND<500	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0
FB-12	Sonic Drilling	Field blank- MW-26C-156.5	12/06/01	ND<200	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0
EQB-18	Sonic Drilling	Equip blank- simul probe, MW-26C	12/06/01	ND<200	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0		ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0	ND<2.0
EB-19	Well Development	Equip blank- surge block, MW-20B	12/11/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
EB-20	Well Development	Equip blank- bailer, MW-20B	12/11/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
EB-21	Well Development	Equip blank- pump, MW-20B	12/11/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
EB-33	Well Development	Equip blank- pump, MW-19B	12/13/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-26C-TW1	Well Development	Equip blank- decon water tank	12/13/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
EB-34	Well Development	Equip blank- surge block, MW-26C	12/13/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
EB-35	Well Development	Equip blank- pump, MW-26C	12/13/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5		ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-19B	GW Sampling	Field Blank	12/17/01		ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-19B	GW Sampling	Equip Blank	12/17/01		ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-20A	GW Sampling	Field Blank	12/17/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-20A	GW Sampling	Equip Blank	12/17/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-20B	GW Sampling	Field Blank	12/17/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1

6519R4.XLS QA-QC Samples

QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL SAMPLE LABORATORY RESULTS

				76 STAT	ION 651	9								
SAMPLE	SAMPLE	SAMPLE	DATE	TPHg	В	т	Е	Х	MTBE	ETHANOL	TBA	DIPE	ETBE	TAME
ID	PHASE	DESCRIPTION	SAMPLED	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L	μg/L
MW-20B	GW Sampling	Equip Blank	12/17/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-21B	GW Sampling	Field Blank	12/17/01		ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-21B	GW Sampling	Equip Blank	12/17/01		ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-22A	GW Sampling	Field Blank	12/17/01		ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-22A	GW Sampling	Equip Blank	12/17/01		ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-23B	GW Sampling	Field Blank	12/17/01	ND<50	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-23B	GW Sampling	Equip Blank	12/17/01		ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-23C	GW Sampling	Field Blank	12/17/01		ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-23C	GW Sampling	Equip Blank	12/17/01		ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-24AB	GW Sampling	Field Blank	12/17/01		ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-24AB	GW Sampling	Equip Blank	12/17/01		ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-24B	GW Sampling	Field Blank	12/17/01		ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-24B	GW Sampling	Equip Blank	12/17/01		ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-25B	GW Sampling	Field Blank	12/17/01		ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-25B	GW Sampling	Equip Blank	12/17/01		ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-26C	GW Sampling	Field Blank	12/17/01		ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
MW-26C	GW Sampling	Equip Blank	12/17/01		ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<0.5	ND<1	ND<0.5	ND<2,000	ND<50	ND<1	ND<1	ND<1
Notes:														
	Depths are in feet below	w grade					TBA = ter	tiary butyl	lalcohol					
	TPHg = total petroleum	hydrocarbons as gasoline					DIPE = di	-isopropy	l ether					
	B = benzene	, ,					ETBE = e	thvl-tertia	rv-butvl eth	er				
	T = toluene						TAME = t	ertiarv-an	vl-methvl-e	ether				
	F = ethylbenzene						ua/l = mi	crograms	per liter					
	X = xylenes						ND = not	detected	above indic	ated laborato	rv detectio	on limit		
	MTRF = methyl tertiary	butyl ether					NA = not	analyzed			.,			
		buty other					101	anaryzeu						

POTENTIAL RECEPTORS Tosco 76 Station 6519

Potential Receptors	Explanation
Residential Land Use	The nearest residential structure is located approximately 1/4 mile south of the site.
Commercial/Industrial Land Use	A Denny's Restaurant is adjacent to the east, a Chevron gas station is across Rancho California Road to the north, and a several restaurants and retail shops are located across Moreno Road to the south.
Surface Water	The nearest water body is Murrieta Creek. The banks of the creek are less than 150 feet southwest of the station across Front Street. The main creek channel is approximately 250 feet southwest of the site.
Groundwater	Groundwater occurs at approximately 14 to 24 feet below grade at the site. Groundwater is an ecological receptor and is also a medium through which contaminants can impact other ecological and human receptors such as surface waters or drinking water.
Utilities	Underground utilities beneath the site do not appear to intersect the groundwater table, with the possible exception of a large electric vault on the west side of the Chevron station.
Other Sensitive Receptors	The closest identified sensitive receptors are the Rancho California Water District well 118 located 1,000 feet northwest of the site and a well at the Kids World Child Care Center located 1/2 mile southeast of the site.

Note: Sensitive receptors consist of people or environments with greater than normal vulnerability to adverse reactions from exposure to contaminants. Typical examples are the elderly, children, and people with illnesses.

EXPOSURE PATHWAY BY MEDIUM Tosco 76 Station 6519

	Rec	ceptor / Exposure Path	way		
Medium	Residential Land Use ¹	Commercial / Industrial Land Use ^l	Ecological and Other Non-Human Receptors	Comments	Requiring Further Evaluation?
	Ingestion from drinking	Ingestion from drinking		It is not clear whether petroleum hydrocarbons released at the 76 station have contributed to detections of MTBE in RCWD well 118, a municipal drinking water supply well.	Yes
	Inhalation of volatiles	Inhalation of volatiles		Petroleum hydrocarbon-impacted groundwater is present beneath the site. However, active soil vapor extraction activities are likely to limit the potential for exposure to human occupants of buildings over or adjacent to the impacted groundwater.	No
Groundwater	Dermal absorption from bathing	Dermal absorption		It is not clear whether petroleum hydrocarbons released at the 76 station have contributed to detections of MTBE in RCWD well 118, a municipal drinking water supply well.	Yes
			Underground Utilities	Impacted groundwater occurs at approximately 14 to 24 feet below grade (fbg). No utilities in the area are known to be located this deep.	No
			Surface Water	Based on a review of historical groundwater monitoring data, soil data and creek sampling performed by Tosco and/or Chevron, it appears unlikely that dissolved-phase hydrocarbons have migrated and impacted Murrietta Creek Further evaluation of this receptor pathway does not appear warranted.	No

EXPOSURE PATHWAY BY MEDIUM Tosco 76 Station 6519

	Rec	eptor / Exposure Path	iway		
Medium	Residential Land Use ¹	Commercial / Industrial Land Use ¹	Ecological and Other Non-Human Receptors	Comments	Requiring Further Evaluation?
	Ingestion from drinking	Ingestion from drinking		Surface water is not known to be impacted.	No
	Inhalation of volatiles	Inhalation of volatiles		Surface water is not known to be impacted.	No
	Dermal absorption from bathing	Dermal absorption		Surface water is not known to be impacted.	No
Surface water	Ingestion during recreational swimming	Ingestion		Surface water is not known to be impacted.	No
	Ingestion of contaminated fish	Ingestion		Surface water is not known to be impacted.	No
			Reservoir or Marine Surface Water Body	Surface water is not known to be impacted.	No

EXPOSURE PATHWAY BY MEDIUM Tosco 76 Station 6519

	Rec	eptor / Exposure Path	iway		
Medium	Residential Land Use ¹	Commercial / Industrial Land Use	Ecological and Other Non-Human Receptors	Comments	Requiring Further Evaluation?
	Ingestion	Ingestion		The entire site is paved and therefore there is a low likelihood of exposure through ingestion of hydrocarbon impacted soil.	No
	Inhalation of particulates	Inhalation of particulates		The entire site is paved and therefore there is a low likelihood of exposure through inhalation of particulates.	No
	Inhalation of volatiles	Inhalation of volatiles		Inhalation of volatiles is possible due to vapor migration from impacted soil into onsite and offsite buildings. However, active soil vapor extraction activities are likely to limit the potential for exposure to human occupants or buildings over or adjacent to the impacted soil.	, No
Soil	Ingestion via plant uptake	Ingestion		This exposure is only possible from residential lawns/gardens directly over shallow impacted soil. However, there is a low likelihood of exposure at this site because the site and vicinity are developed for commercial purposes.	No
	Dermal absorption from gardening	Dermal absorption		This exposure is only possible from residential lawns/gardens directly over shallow impacted soil. However, there is a low likelihood of exposure at this site because the site and vicinity are developed for commercial purposes.	No
			Beneficial Use Groundwater	Groundwater has been impacted and groundwater is used in the vicinity. Th closest well is RCWD well 118.	Yes
			Non-Beneficial Use Groundwater	Not applicable; groundwater is beneficial.	No
Note: ¹ Exposure pathw	vay by medium from 1	EPA, 1991			

APPENDIX A

SELECTED TABLES FROM PREVIOUS REPORTS

APPENDIX B

SELECTED TABLES AND FIGURES FROM CHEVRON REPORTS

APPENDIX C GENERAL FIELD PROCEDURES, WELL AND ENCROACHMENT PERMITS, BORING LOGS, AND SURVEY DATA

APPENDIX C

FIELD PROCEDURES

A description of the field procedures used during this phase of site assessment activities is presented below.

1.0 WELL INSTALLATION

1.1 HOLLOW STEM AUGER DRILLING AND SOIL SAMPLING

Soil borings were drilled using continuous-flight, hollow-stem augers. Soil samples were obtained from each boring for soil description, field hydrocarbon vapor screening, and possible laboratory analysis. Soil samples were collected at 5-foot intervals from approximately 5 feet below grade to groundwater and then continuously from the depth of groundwater to the base of the boring. Soil samples were collected using a standard split-spoon sampler with sample rings. The split-spoon sampler was driven approximately 18 inches beyond the lead auger with a 140-pound hammer dropped from a height of 30 inches.

Upon retrieval, soil samples selected for laboratory analysis were covered with teflon strips and end caps. Each soil sample was labeled with the project number and/or station ID, boring/well number, sample depth, geologist's initials, and date of collection. After the soil samples were labeled and documented in the chain of custody record, they were placed in a cooler with ice at approximately 4 degrees Celsius prior to and during transport to a state-certified laboratory for analysis. Chain of custody protocol was followed for all soil samples collected for laboratory analysis. The chain of custody form(s) accompanied the samples from the sampling locality to the laboratory, providing a continuous record of possession prior to analysis. Soil samples were transported to the laboratory within 32 hours of sample collection.

Soil adjacent to the laboratory sample was screened for hydrocarbon vapors using a Mini-Rae 2000TM photo-ionization detector (PID). For each hydrocarbon vapor-screening event, a small plastic, zip-lock bag or a 6-inch-long by 2.5-inch-diameter sample insert was filled approximately 1/3 full with soil, closed or capped at both ends, and shaken. The PID probe was inserted through a small opening in the cap or bag, and a reading was taken after approximately 15 seconds and recorded on the boring log. The remaining soil recovered in the split spoon sampler was described in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System. For each sampling interval, field estimates of soil type, color, density/consistency, moisture, and other descriptions were recorded on the boring logs.

1.2 ROTOSONIC DRILLING AND SOIL SAMPLING

Soil borings were drilled using a rotosonic method of advancing casing. Soil samples were obtained from each boring for soil description, field hydrocarbon vapor screening, and possible laboratory analysis. Soil samples were generally collected continuously from approximately 5 feet below grade to the base of the boring. Soil samples were either collected using a standard split-spoon sampler with sample rings or by continuous coring in advance of the casing being advanced. The split-spoon sampler was driven approximately 18 inches beyond the total core depth with a 140-pound hammer dropped from a height of 30 inches.

Upon retrieval, soil samples from the split spoon sampler selected for laboratory analysis were covered with teflon strips and end caps. For the continuous core, a clean sample ring was manually inserted into the core and then covered with teflon strips and end caps. Each soil sample was labeled with the project number, boring/well number, sample depth, geologist's initials, and date of collection. After the soil samples were labeled and documented in the chain of custody record, they were placed in a cooler with ice at approximately 4 degrees Celsius prior to and during transport to a state-certified laboratory for analysis. Chain of custody protocol was followed for all soil samples collected for laboratory analysis. The chain of custody form(s) accompanied the samples from the sampling locality to the laboratory, providing a continuous record of possession prior to analysis. Soil samples were transported to the laboratory within 32 hours of sample collection.

Soil adjacent to the laboratory sample was screened for hydrocarbon vapors using a Mini-Rae 2000TM photo-ionization detector (PID). For each hydrocarbon vapor-screening event the PID probe was inserted through a small opening in the bag in which the cores were placed as they were brought to the surface and a reading was taken after approximately 15 seconds and recorded on the boring log. The remaining soil recovered in the split spoon sampler was described in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System. For each sampling interval, field estimates of soil type, color, density/consistency, moisture, and other descriptions were recorded on the boring logs.

1.3 MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION

Groundwater monitoring wells were constructed with 4-inch-diameter, flush-threaded Schedule 40 or Schedule 80 PVC blank and screened (0.020-inch slot size) casing. All well casing used in constructing the monitoring wells was new and in factory-sealed plastic enclosures. Prior to well casing installation the boring annulus was drilled approximately one foot below the proposed screen interval. During well installation, the well casing was suspended and centralized within the hollow-stem augers or sonic casing and did not rest against the sides or bottom of the annulus

Site Assessment Report 76 Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temcula, California August 28, 2002

prior to being fixed in place. Simultaneously with the removal of the augers from the borehole, the filter pack, seal, or grout was emplaced, thereby centralizing the casing.

A filter pack (No. 3 Monterey or No. 2/12 sand) was installed from the total depth of the boring to approximately two to five feet above the screen interval. The screened interval extended approximately 10 feet below the top of the groundwater table in shallow wells and was up to 10 feet total in wells screened below the water table. Following installation of the filter pack in the wells installed using hollow stem auger, the well was surged using a surge block for wells installed using hollow stem auger drilling. Surging was performed by running the surge block up and down the entire length of the screen interval in one continuous motion. After surging activities, a 3-foot-thick bentonite annular seal was placed on top of the filter pack. The remaining annular space was grouted with volclay grout to the surface. Utility access boxes were installed slightly above grade, locking watertight caps and locks were installed to prevent unauthorized access to the well and to limit infiltration of surface fluids.

1.4 EQUIPMENT DECONTAMINATION

Drilling equipment was decontaminated by high pressure, hot water cleaning before being brought onsite. The drilling equipment was also washed before each new boring was commenced. Prior to initial use at the site and before each sample was collected, the split spoon sampler was brush-scrubbed in a Liqui-NoxTM and potable water solution, rinsed twice with potable water, and then rinsed with laboratory provided de-ionized water.

2.0 WELL DEVELOPMENT

Well sealing materials were allowed to set for at least 48 hours prior to well development activities. Wells were developed such that water samples would be representative of the formation water.

Groundwater monitoring wells were developed by surging and bailing and/or pumping. Prior to well development, fluid levels were measured in the wells using a water level meter (electronic interface probe with conductance sensors). The depth to water and total well depth were measured relative to the top of the well casing.

The well was then surged using a surge block appropriate for the diameter of the well. Surging was performed by running the surge block along the length of the well screen that penetrated the current groundwater level. Following surging activities, all water introduced into the well during installation activities plus three borehole volumes were removed. Suspended silt was purged using

Site Assessment Report 76 Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temcula, California August 28, 2002

a cleaned 4-inch diameter PVC or stainless steel bailer. When purged water appeared visibly clean of silt, a 2- or 3-inch downhole pump with a one way check valve was used to remove the water. Temperature, electric conductivity (EC), and pH measurements were measured using a HydacTM Model 910 meter and recorded on well development logs during bailing activities.

All development equipment that may contact the groundwater was decontaminated prior to being brought onsite and before commencing development of each monitoring well. Development equipment was brush-scrubbed in a Liqui-NoxTM and potable water solution, rinsed with potable water, rinsed again with potable water and then sprayed with laboratory provided de-ionized water. For pumps and tubing systems, both the pumps and tubing were submerged in each successive wash or rinse drum to the extent that their external surfaces would come into contact with standing water in the well. While submerged, the pump was switched on and soapy water or rinse water was allowed to circulate through the equipment back into the same drum until at least three pump and tubing volumes had fully circulated. When solid piping was used in place of tubing for bringing pumped well water to the surface, the piping was decontaminated using a high pressure, hot water cleaning system in a decontamination trailer. The exterior of the pipe was scrubbed with soapy water and soapy water was sprayed inside the pipe prior to the high pressure, hot water cleaning.

3.0 FLUID LEVEL MONITORING

Fluid levels were measured in the wells using a water level meter (electronic interface probe with conductance sensors). The depth to water was measured relative to the top of the well casing. The interface probe was decontaminated prior to being brought onsite and before use in each monitoring well. The interface probe was brush-scrubbed in a Liqui-NoxTM and potable water solution, rinsed with potable water, and then rinsed with laboratory provided de-ionized water.

4.0 GROUNDWATER PURGING AND SAMPLING

After development activities, groundwater monitoring wells were allowed to re-equilibrate for a minimum of 24 hours prior to conducting groundwater purging and sampling activities. Groundwater monitoring wells were purged and sampled in accordance with standard regulatory protocol. Monitoring wells were purged of groundwater prior to sampling so that fluids collected were representative of fluids within the formation. Fluids were purged from the monitoring wells by using a down-hole pump, which was decontaminated prior to being brought onsite and before use in each monitoring well. Temperature, EC, and pH were measured after each well casing volume was removed. Temperature, EC, and pH were measured using a HydacTM Model 910 meter. Purging was considered complete when three casing volumes of fluid had been removed.

Site Assessment Report 76 Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temcula, California August 28, 2002

If the well was purged dry and did not recharge to 80% of its prepurge volume within 2 hours, then samples for laboratory analysis were collected without further purging.

Groundwater samples were collected by lowering a 1.5-inch-diameter, bottom-fill, disposable polyethylene bailer just below the static water level in the well. The samples were carefully transferred from the check-valve-equipped bailer to 40-milliliter glass containers. The sample containers were filled to zero headspace and fitted with Teflon-sealed caps. Each sample was labeled with the project number, well number, sample date, and sampler's initials. After the groundwater samples were labeled and documented in the chain of custody record, they were placed in a cooler with ice at approximately 4 degrees Celsius prior to and during transport to a state-certified laboratory for analysis. Chain of custody protocol was followed for all groundwater samples. The chain of custody form(s) accompanied the samples from the sampling locality to the laboratory, providing a continuous record of possession prior to analysis. Groundwater samples were transported to the laboratory within 24 hours.

PROJECT NO.: LOCATION:	600121 76 Station 6 28903 Rand Temecula, 0	6519 cho Cal Californ	ifornia	a Roa	d		DATE DRILLED: Novem LOGGED BY: Lonnel APPROVED BY: Gary J DRILLING CO.: Boart L	ber 26-2 Griffith McCue ongyear	7, 2001	
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	M⊤BE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:19.5 feetTOTAL DEPTH:70 feetDESCRIPTION	nscs	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
						- - - - - - - - -	Asphalt Fill, very dark grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2), moist, sand and gravel, medium grained sand fill, some concrete debris			
						- 2 - - - 3 -	Fill, dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2), clay, silt and sand, medium plastic to low plasticity			
						- - 4 - - 5	Sand, light olive brown (2.5Y 5/3), damp, medium and fine grained sand, some silt, some clay, non-plastic			
4.2						- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Sand, olive brown (2.5Y 4/3), wet, fine, medium and coarse grained sand with gravel, with silt, some clay, water from drill rig	SP		
6.5 5.7		:	55.84	10.07	65.91	- - - - - - - - - - - - - 9	Silt, olive brown (2.5Y 4/3), damp, silt with clay with medium and fine grained sand, medium plastic, trace gravel	ML		
10.9						- 10	Sand, olive brown (2.5Y 4/3), damp, medium and fine grained sand with clay, low plasticity Sand, dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2), wet, medium and fine grained sand with gravel,	SC SP		
12.6						- - 11 -	some silt Sand, light olive brown (2.5Y 5/3), wet, coarse and medium grained sand	SP		
15.3						- 12 	Sand and Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist, fine grained sand, non-plastic Sand, olive brown (2.5Y 4/3), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel with medium	SM		
14.4						- 13 - - - 14 -	grained sand Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine and medium graind sand Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand with gravel with coarse grained sand, some fine grained sand, trace silt	SP SP		
19.8 120						- - 15 - - - 16	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, some coarse grained sand, some gravel	SP		
191						_ 17 	some gravel, some fine grained sand, some silt			
478	ND<0.005					- 18 - 18 - 19 - 19				
223 58						- 20 - - 21 - 21	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand with gravel, some silt	SP SP		
241 63.5	ND<0.005					- 22 - - 23 - 23	sand, some fine grained sand Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with gravel, some fine grained sand Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist, fine grained sand, non-plastic, non-cohesive Silt and Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp/moist, fine grained sand.	SP SM ML		V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V
70.8	ND<0.005					- - 24 - - - 25	some clay, cohesive			
28.3	ND<0.005					25 26	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, trace silt Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist, silt with clay with fine grained sand, low plasticity, cohesive	SP ML		
TR	C	I			L	DG C	OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-1 PAGE 1	1 9B OF 3	

PROJECT LOCATIO	Γ NO.: DN:	600121 76 Statio 28903 R Temecu	on 6519 ancho Ca la, Califoi	aliforni rnia	a Roa	ad		DATE DRILLED: Novemb LOGGED BY: Lonnell (APPROVED BY: Gary J. I DRILLING CO.: Boart Lo	er 26-2 ∋riffith ∕IcCue ∩gyear	7, 2001	
LOWS PER NCHES	(mdd) MVC	ITBE soil (mg/kg)	ITBE groundwater ug/L)	It Content %	lay Content %	t and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:19.5 feetTOTAL DEPTH:70 feet	USCS	SRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
61 B1	0	Σ	ΣĴ	ت *	ں *	5 8.44		DESCRIPTION			
7	2.6			56.13	11.55	67.68	- 27 - - - 28	Silt and Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist, fine grained sand, some clay, non-plastic, cohesive	ML		
							 29	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist, fine grained sand with silt, trace clay, non-cohesive	SM		
4	5.2 3.3						- 30 	Silt and Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist, fine grained sand, trace clay	ML		
							- 31 - -				
1	2.6 8						- 32 - - - 32	Sand and Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, some clay, low plasticity, non-cohesive	SM		
		ND<0.005		47 16	5 71	52 87	- 33 - - - - 34	Silt and Sand your dark groupich gray (Gloy 1.2/1) maint/damp, find grained sand with			
4	.2			41.10	0.71	02.07	- - 35	Silt and Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), mois/damp, inte grained sand with Silt and Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp, with fine grained sand, low	ML		
1	.4			76.76	18.2	94.96	- - 36 -	Silt and Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist/damp, with fine grained sand, low-medium plasticity, cohesive	ML		
1	.5						- 37 -				
5	.5						- 38 - -	Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist, silt with clay with fine grained sand, cohesive, non-plastic	ML		
1	2.6						- 39 - - - 40	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist/damp, fine grained sand with silt, trace clay, non-plastic, non-cohesive	SM		
1	0.4						- - - - 41	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), damp/moist, fine grained sand with medium grained sand, some silt	SP		
1	9.7						- - 42 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), damp/moist, fine grained sand and medium grained sand, non-cohesive	SP		
6	5.5			10.0	2.2	22.0	- 43 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand and fine grained sand, trace siltSand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained	SP SP		
2	2.8 0			19.3	3.3	22.6	- - 44 -	sand, some coarse grained sand Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand with coarse grained sand	SP		
3	9						- 45 - -				
7	.6						46 - - - 47	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, trace silt	SP		
							- - 48	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand, some fine grained sand, trace coarse grained sand	SP		
4	.1 .7						- - 49 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand, some fine grained sand	SP		
							- - 50 -				
4	.4						- 51 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand and fine grained sand, trace silt	SP		
4							- 52 - -	sand, dark greenish gray (Grey 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand, trace fine grained sand			
		5				L	OG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	VIVV-1 PAGE 2	1 9B OF 3	

PROJEC	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Statio 28903 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ila, Califor	aliforni mia	a Roa	ıd		DATE DRILLED: November LOGGED BY: Lonnell Grit APPROVED BY: Gary J. Mc DRILLING CO.: Boart Long	26-27 ffith Cue year	, 2001	
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:19.5 feetTOTAL DEPTH:70 feetDESCRIPTION	nscs	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
6 IN	7.3 6.0 2.2 4.1 4.8 5.5 5.8 4.7 10.7 7.3 5.8 3.1 8.1 5.3		Sin)	SIE		Silt	-53 -54 -55 -56 -57 -58 -59 -60 -61 -61 -62 -63 -64 -65 -64 -65 -66 -67 -68 -69	DESCRIPTION Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand and medium grained sand, trace fine grained sand, trace gravel Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, trace fine grained sand, trace gravel Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, trace gravel Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, trace fine grained sand Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand, trace fine grained sand Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand and coarse grained sand, some fine grained sand Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand with gravel Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand with gravel Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand with gravel Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand with coarse grained sand	SP SP SP SP SP SP		
	4.9						<u>⊢</u> 70				

TRC	LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-19B PAGE 3 OF 3

PROJE LOCAT	CT NO.: TON:	600121 76 Statio 28903 R	on 6519 ancho Ca	aliforn	ia Roa	ıd	DATE DRILLED: N LOGGED BY: To APPROVED BY: G	ovember 28, 2 odd Wirths ary J. McCue	001	
		Temecu	la, Califor	nia		%	DRILLING METHOD: Lipiton: Store August 10 inch	IF		
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD. Hollow Stem Auger - 10-Inch SAMPLER TYPE: Split Spoon - CA Mod DEPTH TO WATER: 18.5 TOTAL DEPTH: 27 feet DESCRIPTION		GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
						- 1 - 1 - 2 2	Backfill of sand and gravel	SP		
						- 3	Sandy Silt, very dark gray, damp	ML		
						- 4 - -	Sand, light yellowish brown, damp, very fine to medium grained sand, trace silt, g to silty sand	rades SP		
5 10 14	0.5					- 5 - - - 6 - -	Silty Sand, yellowish brown, moist, silty very fine to medium grained sand, mediu dense	m SM		
						- 7 - 8 - 8	Sandy Silt, dark gray, moist, very fine to medium grained sandy silt, very stiff, poo plasiticity	or ML		X X X Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q
7 8	0.5					- 9 - - - - 10 -				
16						- 11 - 12 - 12				
						- 13 - - - - - 14 - -				
8 14	1					15 - - -	becomes dark greenish gray	ML		
16 14 19	2					- 16 - - - - - 17 -	Silty Sand, dark greenish gray, moist, silty very fine to medium grained sand, den	se SM		
23 24 30	11	ND<0.005				- 18 -	becomes very dense	SM		
29 34	4	ND<0.005				- - 19 -	Sand, gray, wet, very fine to medium grained sand, very dense, some coarse gra sand	ined SP		
34 42		ND<0.005				20 	Sandy Sin, dark greenish gray, wet, very nite grained sandy sin, nard			
31 33 40	4					- 21 - - 22	Sand, gray, wet, very fine to coarse grained sand, very dense, some silt	SW		
22 28 30	10			*	*	7.34 - 23				
24 25 38	6					- 25	Silt, dark gray, wet, silt, hard, trace very fine grained sand, good plasticity	ML		
12 28	0	ND<0.005				- 26				
	R	G				LOG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-2 PAGE 1	0A 0F 2	

PROJE(LOCAT	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Statio 28903 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ila, Califor	aliforni mia	ia Roa	d			DATE DRILLED: LOGGED BY: APPROVED BY: DRILLING CO.:	November Todd Wirths Gary J. Mc THF	28, 2 s Cue	001	
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD: SAMPLER TYPE: DEPTH TO WATER: TOTAL DEPTH:	Hollow Stem Auger - 10-inch Split Spoon - CA Mod 18.5 27 feet DESCRIPTION		USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
40							- - - 27						

TRC	LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-20A PAGE 2 OF 2								
PROJECT NO.: LOCATION:	600121 76 Station 6 28903 Rand Temecul, C	6519 cho Cali alifornia	ifornia 1	Road		DATE DRILLED: LOGGED BY: APPROVED BY: DRILLING CO.:	November 16, Lonnell Griffith Gary J. McCud Boart Longyea	18-21, 2 e r	2001	
------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------	----------------	---	---------------------------------	---	--	--------------------	-------------------------	---
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MI BE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content % Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:19.5TOTAL DEPTH:80 feetDESCRIPTION	0 	GRAPHIC LOG	WEL CONSTRU DETAI	l JCTION L
					- 1 - 2 - 3 	Asphalt Fill, olive brown, dry sand, coarse, medium and fine grained sand with grave	9			
					- 6	No recovery				
5.6					- 7 - 7 -	Sand, grayish brown (10YR 5/2), dry, medium and fine grained sand, some gravel	silt, trace SF			
4.9					- 8 9 	grained sand, some gravel- some black asphalt type material at 8 feet				
0.9					- 10 - - - 11 - 	Clayey Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand, some grained sand, plastic, water from drill rig Sand, olive brown (2.5Y 4/3), wet, coarse grained sand with silt, some grave clay	medium SC	1		
1.6 5.3					- 12	Sandy Clay, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium and fined grained silt, medium plasticity	d sand with CL			
					- - - 14 -	Clay and Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, with fine grained sand	CL			
9.5					- 15	Silt and Clay, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, with fine grained sand, so	ome ML			$\begin{pmatrix} \vee \\ \vee \\ \vee \\ \vee \\ \end{pmatrix}$
51.9					F (Sand, grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2), damp, medium grained sand with fine grain	ed sand,	, <mark></mark>		
					- - - - - - -	Some gravel, trace clay Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), moist, medium and fine grained sand trace clay, trace gravel	/ I with silt,			
52.4						Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), moist, medium and fine grained sand with gravel, trace clay	silt, some SF			
320					18	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet. coarse grained sand with medium gra	ained sand SF			
					_ 19 	with gravel				
196					- 20 -					
110					- - 21 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with mediu sand with gravel, trace silt	m grained Sr			
					22					VV VV
/1 128					- 23					
						Silt, greenish black (Gley 2.5/1), wet, silt and fine grained sand, trace clav. n	non-plastic ML			
					24	Silt, greenish black (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, silt and fine grained sand, some clay non-plastic	, ML			
106					25	Sand dark greenish gray (Glev 1.4/1) wet coarse grained cond trace silt	SF			
58.2						Sand very dark greenish gray (Cloy 1.2/1) wet fine grained cond correct	t SN	1		
					- 26	שמות, יכוץ עמות קופפוווטו קומץ (שופץ ו איו), שפו, זוחפ grained sand, some sil				V V
TR	C			LC	DG OF	EXPLORATORY BORING	MW- PAGE	20B 1 OF 4		

PROJE LOCAT	CT NO.: 'ION:	600121 76 Static 28903 R Temecul	on 6519 ancho Ca	aliforni iia	a Roa	d	DATE DRILLED: Novemi LOGGED BY: Lonnell APPROVED BY: Gary J. DRILLING CO.: Boart Lo	ber 16, 1 Griffith McCue ongyear	8-21, 20	001
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	(f) DRILLING METHOD: Rotasonic SAMPLER TYPE: 6 - inch Core Barrel DEPTH TO WATER: 19.5 TOTAL DEPTH: 80 feet DESCRIPTION Silt and Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, some medium grained sand, non-cohesive		GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
	75.5 29.3	ND<0.005					 27 Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with clay with fine grained sand, low plasticity, cohesive 28 	ML		
							 Silt and Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, trace of medium grained sand, low plasticity, cohesive 29 	ML		
	49.5 69.9						 - 30 Clay and Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, trace fine grained sand, medium plasticity, cohesive 			
							 Silt and Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, some clay, non-plastic 	ML		
	72.1 25.7						- 33 Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand, slightly plastic, cohesive	ML		
							- 34 Silt and Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, some clay, non-plastic	ML		
	9.5 40.9						 - 35 Silt and Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, with fine grained sand, low plasticity, cohesive - 36 	ML		
	61.8						Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, clay with silt, some fine grained sand, cohesive, medium plasticity	CL		
	19.0			67.37	14.56	81.93	Silt and Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, with fine grained sand, low plasticity	ML		
	37.9	ND<0.005					 - 39 Clay and Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, with fine grained sand, medium plasticity 			
	25.0						 Sandy Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with clay, cohesive, non-plastic Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with clay with fine grained sand, low plasticity, cohesive Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, some clay, 	ML ML SM		
	88 275						- 42 Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt with medium	SM		
	210						- 43 - 44			
	307						 45 Sand and Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand, some medium grained sand 	SM		
		0.82					- 46			
							- 47 Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, silt and fine grained sand, some clay, non-cohesive	ML ML		
	2,107						- 48 Silt, dark greenish gray, silt with fine grained sand, trace clay, non-cohesive, non-plastic	ML		**************************************
	528			58.63	11.55	70.18	- 49 Clay, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, clay with silt, some fine grained sand, low plasticity	CL		
	90.8	0.011					Clay and Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, some fine grained sand, cohesive, medium plasticity	CL		
							- 51 Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand, some silt, some medium grained sand, non-cohesive	SM		
	15.6 2.6						- 52 Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, some silt, non-cohesive	SM		
	R	6				L	OG OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-2 PAGE 2	0B OF 4	

PROJEC LOCATI	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Static 28903 R Temecu	on 6519 ancho Ca I, Californ	aliforn [;] nia	ia Roa	d	1	DATE DRILLED: LOGGED BY: APPROVED BY: DRILLING CO.:	November Lonnell Grif Gary J. Mc Boart Longy	16, 1 fith Cue year	8-21, 20	001
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:19.5TOTAL DEPTH:80 feetDESCRIPTION		USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
		ND<0.005					- 53 - -	Silt and Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, low plasticity, cohesive	e	ML		
	8.8 5.2						— 54 -	Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, clay with silt, moderately plast	ic,	CL		
		ND<0.005					- - 55 -	Silt and Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), damp/moist, fine grained sand, clay, non-cohesive	some	ML		
	8.3 8.5						_ 56	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp, fine and medium grained sa silt, cohesive	and with	SM		
							- 57 -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium and fine grained san non-cohesive	d,	SP		
	8.0						- 58 -					
	8.3						- 59 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand, trace fine g sand	rained	SP		
	7.6						— 60 -					
							- 61	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine gra sand, trace gravel	ained	SP		
	6.3						-	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine gra sand	ained	SP SP		
							- 62 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 6/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grain some gravel	ied sand,			
	5.0 13.2						— 63 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand, trace	e gravel	SP		
	10.2						- 64 					
	19.2						- 65			еD		
	3.9	ND<0.005					- - - 66	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand, trace grained sand	e fine	55		
		112 10.000					- - - 67	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grain some gravel	ed sand,	SP		
	3.7						-	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grain	ed sand	SP		
	5.2						- 68 - - - 69	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grain some gravel	ied sand,	SP		
	70						-					
	7.2						- 70 - - -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grain with gravel	ed sand	SP		
							- /1 - - - 72					
	8.5						-			еD		
	5.4						- 73 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand, some medium gra sand, some gravel	ained	55		
							- 74 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel, some grained sand	medium	SP		
	5.5						- 75	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grain	ied sand	SP		
	3.3						- - - 76	with gravel				
	3.0						- - 77 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grain trace gravel	ied sand,	SP		00000000000000000000000000000000000000
	3.2						- 78 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand, some	e gravel	SP		
							- 79					
	R	6				L	OG C	OF EXPLORATORY BORING	M\ PAC	N-2 GE 3	0B 0F 4	

PROJE LOCAT	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Statio 28903 R Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca I, Californ	aliforni ia	a Roa	d				DATE DRILLED: LOGGED BY: APPROVED BY: DRILLING CO.:	November Lonnell Grit Gary J. Mc Boart Long	16, 1 fith Cue ⁄ear	8-21, 2	001
OWS PER VCHES	(mqq) MV	ΓBE soil (mg/kg)	BE groundwater ₃ /L)	: Content %	ay Content %	and Clay Content %)EPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD: SAMPLER TYPE: DEPTH TO WATER: TOTAL DEPTH:	Rotasonic 6 - inch Core I 19.5 80 feet	Barrel		ISCS	RAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
9 P C	4.6	∑ ND<0.005	1 LM	Silt	Ğ	Silt	- - - 80		DESCRIF	PTION			U	

TRC	LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-20B PAGE 4 OF 4

PROJEC	T NO.: ON:	600121 76 Static 28903 R Temecu	on 6519 ancho Ca la, Califor	aliforni mia	a Roa	d	1	DATE DRILLED: Nov LOGGED BY: Tod APPROVED BY: Gar DRILLING CO.: THF	ember 27, 20 d Wirths y J. McCue	001		
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:Hollow Stem Auger - 10-inchSAMPLER TYPE:Split Spoon - CA ModDEPTH TO WATER:20 feetTOTAL DEPTH:27 feetDESCRIPTION	nscs	GRAPHIC LOG	WI CONSTF DET.	ELL RUCTION AIL
							- 0	Asphalt				
							- 1 	Backfill, sand and gravel	SP			
							- - - - - - 3 -	Sandy silt, very dark gray, moist, very fine grained sandy silt, some fine to medium grained sand	ML			
5	2.5						- - - - - - 5 -	Sandy silt, very dark gray, moist, very fine grained sandy silt, some fine to medium grained sand, stiff, poor plasticity	ML			
6							- - - - - 7 -				- V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	
							- - - - - - - - - - -				xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	**** *****
6 10	4						- 10 - - - - - 11	Sandy silt, dark olive gray, moist, very fine to fine grained sandy silt, some medium grained sand, very stiff, poor plasticity	ML			
							- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -					
7							- - 14 - - - 15 -	becomes moderately plastic	ML			
7 11 8	2						- 16 -					
16 21 9	1	ND<0.005					- 17 - - - 18	becomes hard	ML			
17 30	3						_ 19	Silty sand, dark greenish gray, wet, silty very fine to fine grained sand, some medius grained sand, dense	n SM			
13 30 38	5	ND<0.005					20 	becomes very dense	SM			
27 34 50	2	ND<0.005					- 21 - - - 22	Silty sand, dark greenish gray, wet, silty very fine to coarse grained sand, very dens	e SM			
13 21	0						- - - 23	Sand, gray, very fine to coarse sand with trace to some silt	SW			
27 24 39	0.5						- 24	Sand, gray, wet, very fine to medium grained sand, very dense, trace to some silt, to coarse sand	ace SP			
50 16 17	1			*	*	8.39	- 25 - - - 26	as above with isolated gravel clast	SP			
	R	5				L	OG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-2 PAGE 1 0	1A DF 2		

PROJEC	CT NO.: ON:	600121 76 Statio 28903 R Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ia, Califor	aliforni nia	ia Roa	d			DATE DRILLED: LOGGED BY: APPROVED BY: DRILLING CO.:	November Todd Wirth Gary J. Mc THF	27, 2 s Cue	001	
LOWS PER INCHES	OVM (ppm)	/TBE soil (mg/kg)	1TBE groundwater ug/L)	ilt Content %	lay Content %	It and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD: SAMPLER TYPE: DEPTH TO WATER: TOTAL DEPTH:	Hollow Stem Auger - 10-inch Split Spoon - CA Mod 20 feet 27 feet		USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
ш _ю 30		Z ND<0.005	2 5	S	0	Ю	- 27	Sandy silt, very dark gray, ve	ery fine grained sandy silt, hard		ML		

TRC	LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-21A PAGE 2 OF 2

PROJEC	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Stati 28903 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ila, Califor	aliforni mia	a Roa	d		DATE DRILLED: LOGGED BY: APPROVED BY: DRILLING CO.:	November Lonnell Gri Gary J. Mc Boart Long	13-18 ffith Cue year	5, 2001		
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:20 feetTOTAL DEPTH:85 feetDESCRIPTION		NSCS	GRAPHIC LOG	V CONS DE	VELL TRUCTION TAIL
						-	-0	Aaphalt					
						-	· 1	Road base, light olive brown (2.5Y 4/4)					
	1.2						· 2 · 3 · 4	Fill, black (10YR 2/1), black clayey silt, semi plastic					
						-	5	No recovery					
						-	6						
						-		Clay and Silt, black (10YR 2/2), wet, plastic, water from drill rig		CL			
	5.0					-	• 7						
	5.5						- 8 - 9	Clay and Silt, dark gray (2.5Y 4/1), moist Clay and Silt, black (10YR 2/1), moist		CL			
	77					-		Silt and Sand, olive brown (2.5Y 4/3), moist, medium grained sand with cla	у	ML			
	7.5						10	Silt and Clay, dark olive brown (5Y 3/2), damp, low plasticity		ML			
							· 11	Sand, dark olive gray (5Y 3/2), slightly moist, medium grained sand with sil non-plastic	lt, some clay,	SM			
	12.9 7.8					-	· 13	Clay, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), moist, clay with silt with fine grained coarse grained sand, plastic	sand, trace	CL			
						Ē		Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), moist, fine and medium grained sar some clay, non plastic	nd with silt,	SM		\vee^{\vee} \vee^{\vee}	
	6.5					-	- 14	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), moist, fine and medium grained sar non plastic	nd with silt,	SM			
	0.5 10.2						· 15 · 16	Silt and Clay, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), moist, with fine grained sand plasticity	d, medium	ML			
							· 17	Sandy Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), moist, fine grained sand with c medium grained sand	lay, some	ML			
	12.7 9.8						- 18	Silty Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), moist, fine grained sand, some medium grained sand	e clay, trace	SM			
						-	· 19						
						-		Silt and Clay, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), moist, with fine grained sand low plasticity	d, some clay,	SM			
	9.1 7.7						20	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), moist, fine and medium grained sand Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine and medium grained sand	, trace silt	SM			
						-	21	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sa gravel	and with	SP		\vee^{\vee} \vee^{\vee} \vee^{\vee}	
	9.7						22	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine sand, trace silt	grained	SP			
	9.1						- 23	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sa some fine grained sand, trace silt	and with	SP			
							- 24	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sa fine grained sand	and, trace	SP			
	8.2 10.2						25	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 6/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand, s grained sand	some fine	SP			
							- 26	Sand and Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand, trac	e clay	SM			
	R	5				LO	G C	OF EXPLORATORY BORING	M' PA	W-2 .GE 1	1B OF 4	<u> </u>	

ROJECT OCATIO	NO.: 6	600121 76 Static 28903 R Femecul	on 6519 ancho Ca a, Califor	aliforni nia	a Roa	ıd		DATE DRILLED: Novembe LOGGED BY: Lonnell Gi APPROVED BY: Gary J. M DRILLING CO.: Boart Long	13-1 iffith cCue gyear	5, 2001		
6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:20 feetTOTAL DEPTH:85 feetDESCRIPTION	NSCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTI DETAIL	ION
1:	3.1					-	- 27 -	Silt and Clay, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, with fine grained sand	ML			
10	0.9						- 28 - - - 20	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium grained sand, some silt	SP			
12	2.2						- 29 - - - 30	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 6/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained	SP			V V V
7.	.2						- - 31 -	sand				
6. 9.	.3 .3						- 32 - - - - 33	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand, some gravel	SP			
5. 7.	.7						- - - 34 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine and medium grained sand, some silt	SP			
							- 35 - -	Silty Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand	SM			
1 [,] 6.	1.5 .6						- 36 - - - - 37	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium grained sand, trace silt Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, trace silt	SP			× × × ×
							- - - - 38 -	Silt and Clay, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, with fine grained sand, very low	ML			
6. 12	.9 2.2						- 	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt	SM			<
33	3.5						- - - 41					
7. 1 ⁴	.1 1.8						- 42 - -	Silt and Sand, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, some clay, non-plastic, dense, cohesive	ML			
11	1.4						- 43 - - - - 44	Silt, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with clay and fine grained sand, non-plastic, dense, cohesive	ML			
1(0.1 .4						- - - 45 -	Silt and Clay, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), wet, with fine grained sand, moderate plasticity, dense, cohesive Silt and Sand, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), moist, fine grained sand, trace clay, soft, non-cohesive	ML ML			
				*	*	9.83	- 46 - -	Silt and Sand, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), moist, fine grained sand, some clay, dense, cohesive	ML			
30 54	6.0 4.8						- 47 - - - 48 -	Sand, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), moist, fine grained sand with silt, trace clay	SM			
	N	D<0.005					- - - 49 - -	Silt and Sand, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), moist, fine grained sand, some clay, non-plastic, soft, non-cohesive	ML			
19	9.6						- 50 - - - 51	Silt and Sand, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), moist, fine grained sand with clay, non-plastic, soft, non-cohesive	ML			<pre></pre>
50	0.0						- - 52 -	Silt, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand with clay, slightly dense, cohesive	ML			
						LC	- DG C	Silt and Sand, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, some clay,	∣ ^{ML} I W-2 AGE 2	21B OF 4		Y

PROJE(LOCAT	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Statio 28903 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ila, Califor	aliforn mia	ia Roa	d		DATE DRILLED: Novembe LOGGED BY: Lonnell Gi APPROVED BY: Gary J. M DRILLING CO.: Boart Long	i 13-14 iffith cCue gyear	5, 2001	
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:20 feetTOTAL DEPTH:85 feetDESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
	31.3						- 53 - -	soft, non-cohesive			
							- 54 -	Silt and Sand, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), damp, fine grained sand, trace clay, slightly cohesive	ML		
	19.2 6.9		ND<0.5				- 55 -	Clay and Silt, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), some fine grained sand, plastic, dense, cohesive	CL		
							- 56	Silt and Clay, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), moist, plastic	ML		
							_	Clay, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), wet, clay with silt, dense, cohesive	CL		
	5 9						— 57 -				
	5.8						- - 58	Clay, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), moist, clay with silt, trace fine grained sand, moderately plastic, dense	CL		
							- - 59 -	Silt and Clay, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), moist, low plasticity, dense, cohesive	ML		
	10.8						-				
	5.3						- 60	Clay, greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, clay with silt, some fine grained sand, medium plastic, cohesive	CL		
	4.4						- - 61				
	2.4	ND<0.005					_				
							— 62 -				
	3.7							Silt and Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand, some clay,	ML		
	3.3						_	non-plastic, non-cohesive			
							- 64	Clay and Silt, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), wet, some fine grained sand, moderate plasicity			
								Silt and Clay, very dark greenish grey (Gley 1 3/1), moist, low plasticity, cohesive	ML		
	2.8 3.2						- 65 - -	Clay and Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), moist, trace fine grained sand, tough, cohesive, low to medium plasticity	CL		
							-	Clay, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), moist, clay with silt, plastic, cohesive	CL		
	5.1						- 67				
	4.3						- 68 -	Silty Clay, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), damp/moist, clay, medium plasticity, cohesive	CL		
							- 69	Clay dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1) wet clay with silt plastic cohesive	CL		
							_				
	9.8						— 70 - -	Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), moist, silt with clay and fine grained sand, low plasticity, cohesive	ML		
							- - 71	Clay and silt dark greenish gray (Glay 1.4/1), some fine grained sand moderate	CL		
							-	plasticity, cohesive			
	9.2						— 72				
	10.4						- 73 -	Silt and Clay, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), with fine grained sand, low plasticity, cohesive	ML		
							- 74 -				
	7.6						- - 				
							- 75 - -	Sand and Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand with clay, trace medium grained sand, non-cohesive	SM		
	12.2						- 76	Silty Sand dark greenich gray (Glay 1.4/1) wat find grained cand, come medium	SM		
	13.2						- -	grained sand, non-cohesive			
							- 77 -				
	15.7						- - - 78 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, trace fine grained sand	SP		
	9.8						_ — 79				
			1	1		1	-	Γ	<u>188</u> 1M-2	21B	<u> </u>
		S				L	OG C	DF EXPLORATORY BORING	AGE 3	OF 4	

PROJECT NO.: LOCATION:	600121 76 Statior 28903 Ra Temecula	n 6519 Incho Ca a, Califori	liforni nia	ia Roa	ıd		DATE DRILLED: November LOGGED BY: Lonnell Grif APPROVED BY: Gary J. McC DRILLING CO.: Boart Longy	13-15 fith Cue ⁄ear	i, 2001	
LOWS PER INCHES OVM (ppm)	vITBE soil (mg/kg)	/TBE groundwater ug/L)	ilt Content %	Clay Content %	ilt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:20 feetTOTAL DEPTH:85 feet	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
5.8 6.5 6.0 7.2 5.9	ND<0.005		S		<u></u>	- 80 - 81 - 81 - 82 - 83 - 83 - 84 - 84	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand, some fine grained sand Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand, trace fine grained sand Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand, some fine grained sand Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand, trace fine grained sand, some gravel	SP SP SP		

TRC	LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-21B PAGE 4 OF 4

PROJEC LOCAT	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Statio 28903 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ia, Califor	aliforni mia	ia Roa	d		DATE DRILLED: December LOGGED BY: Todd Wirth APPROVED BY: Gary J. M DRILLING CO.: THF	r 5, 20 ns cCue	01	
OWS PER CHES	(mqq) M/	BE soil (mg/kg)	BE groundwater /L)	Content %	y Content %	and Clay Content %	EPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:Hollow Stem Auger - 10-inchSAMPLER TYPE:Split Spoon - CA ModDEPTH TO WATER:16 feetTOTAL DEPTH:22 feet	ISCS	SAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
BLO 6 IN	Ó	MT	MT (ug	Silt	Cla	Silta		DESCRIPTION		Ū	
							- - - - - - - - - - 2 -	Silty sand	SM		
							- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -				
3 3 4	0						- 5 - - - 6 -	Silty sand, brown, moist, silty very fine to fine grained sand, trace medium grained sand, loose	SM		
							- 7 - 7 - 8 - 8 - 9				V V V V V V
6 8	0						- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Sand, brown, moist, very fine to medium grained sand, dense	SP		
5	0						- 12 - - - 13	becomes light yellowish brown	SP		
9							-				
10							- 14	Sand, light gray, wet, very fine to coarse grained sand, trace silt, loose	SW		
4	0						- - -				
5							— 16 -	becomes medium dense	sw	<u></u>	
7	0						- 17	Cond light group wat you, find to we diver any inside and taken all the set of the set o			
6							- -	Sanu, light gray, wet, very fine to medium grained sand, trace silt, medium dense	57		
7	0						- 18 -				
9							_ 19	Sand, light gray, wet, very fine to coarse grained sand, trace silt, medium dense	sw		
9	0										
10 6							- 20 -	Silt, black, moist, silt, some very fine grained sand, very stiff, moderately plastic	ML		
8 10	0						- 21				

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-22A PAGE 1 OF 1
---------------------------	-----------------------

JECT I	NO.: 6 I: 7 2 T	00121 6 Static 8903 R	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ia, Califor	aliforni	a Roa	d		DATE DRILLED: Oct LOGGED BY: Lon APPROVED BY: Gai DRILLING CO.: Boa	ober 23-24, nell Griffith ry J. McCue rt Longvear	2001		
0 INCHES		MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD: Rotasonic SAMPLER TYPE: 6 - inch Core Barrel DEPTH TO WATER: 17.5 feet TOTAL DEPTH: 60.2 feet DESCRIPTION	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUC DETAIL	10173
						0,	0 	Fill, organic silts, dark brown (2.5Y), moist, sandy, fine grained sand, root fragment	3			
4.2 1.1 21 14							- 1 - 2 - 2 - 3 - 3	Sand, dark brown (dark olive brown 2.5Y 3/3), dry Sand, tan (olive brown 2.5Y 6/6), medium grained sand Sand, tan (olive brown 2.5Y 6/6), fine grained sand, some silt Sand, tan (olive brown 2.5Y 6/6), fine grained sand, some silt Sand, tan (olive brown 2.5Y 4/3), dry, fine grained sand Sand, tan (vellow 2.5Y 7/8), coarse grained sand with gravel Sand, gray (gray 2.5Y 5/1), coarse and medium grained sand with gravel	Sw SP SP SP SP Sw Sw Sw			
5.5	•						- - 11					$\begin{pmatrix} V \\ V \\ V \\ V \end{pmatrix}$
8.0)						- 12 - -	Sand, tan (grayish brown 2.5Y 5.2), moist, coarse grained sand	SP			
19							— 13 - -	Sand, gray-tan (gray 2.5Y 5.1), coarse grained sand, some gravel	SP			~~ ~~ ~~
							- 14 -	Sand, tan (light brownish gray 2.5Y 6.2), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel	SP			
6.7 14.	.3			*	*	7.58	_ 15 _	Sand, yellow (light gray 10YR 7.1), moist, coarse grained sand with gravel	SP			
							_ 16	Sand, green gray (greenish gray Gley 1 5/1), moist	SP			
							- - - 17	Silty clay, very dark gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silty clay Sand, gray green (Gley 1 2.5), coarse sand with gravel	SP			
4.2	2						-	Sand, gray green (Gley 1 2/7), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel	SP			
6.7	,						18 - -	Sand, gray green (Gley 1 2/7), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand	I SP			
	N	D<0.005					19 	Silt, dark green (Gley 1 2/3), moist, silt with fine grained sand, some clay, slightly	ML		$\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}}$ $\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}}$ $\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}}$	\vee^{\vee}
6.8	5						_ 20 _	Silt, dark green (Gley 1 3/), moist, silt with fine grained sand	ML			
11.	.9						- - 21	Silt dark groop (Clay 4.2), maint ailt some fine grained cand	MI			
	NE	D<0.005					- - -					\vee^{\vee} \vee^{\vee} \vee^{\vee}
							-	Silt, dark green (Gley 1 3/), moist, silt, trace fine grained sand	ML			\vee^{\vee}
21. 9.4	.1						23 	Silt, dark green (Gley 1 3/), moist, trace fine grained sand	ML			
	N	D<0.005					_ 24					
4.2	2			48.17	9.32	57.49	-	Sand, dark green (Gley 1 3/), moist, fine grained sand with silt Silty Sand, dark green (Gley 1 3/), moist, fine grained sand	SM SM			$\langle v \rangle$
27. 2.2	2						— 25 - -	Silty Clay, dark green (Gley 1 3/), slightly moist, clay with fine sand, non-plastic	CL			√`\ ∨`\
				52.89	12.62	65.5	- 26					∨ [∨] _``\
									MW-2	22B		<u> </u>
		5				L	JG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	PAGE 1	OF 3		

PROJE LOCAT	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Statio 28903 R Temecu	on 6519 tancho Ca la, Califoi	aliforn [°] rnia	ia Roa	d		DATE DRILLED: October 23-24, 2001 LOGGED BY: Lonnell Griffith APPROVED BY: Gary J. McCue DRILLING CO.: Boart Longyear						
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD: Rotasonic SAMPLER TYPE: 6 - inch Core Barrel DEPTH TO WATER: 17.5 feet TOTAL DEPTH: 60.2 feet DESCRIPTION		GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL			
	3.3 3.5	ND<0.005					- - 27 - - - 28	Silt and clay, dark green (Gley 1 3/), slighty moist, very fine silt Sandy silt, dark green (Gley 1 3/), silt with clay	ML ML					
							29	Sand, dark green (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand	SC					
	3.1 4.6						30 - - - 31 -	Sand, dark green (Gley 1 16Y), wet, fine grained sand, some clay, micaceous	sc					
	4.8						-	Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5), wet, fine to medium grained sand	SM		vy vy			
				24.21	4.2	28.41	- 32	Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5), wet, fine grained sand with silt	SC	· · · · · · · ·				
	2.8						- 33	Clay, dark green (Gley 1 2.5), slightly moist, some silt, stiff	СН					
							-	Clay, dark green (Gley 1 2.5), moist	СН					
							- 34 -	Clay, dark green (Gley 1 2.5), moist, clay, some silt, very plastic	СН		vy vy			
	53			72.01	17.85	89.86	-							
	8.2						— 35 - -	Silty Sand, dark green, (Gley 1 3/1), moist, very fine grained sand, some clay, micaceous	SM					
							- 36 - -	Silty clay, dark green, (Gley 1 3/1), moist, clay with fine grained sand, micaceous	CL					
	10.3						- 37 -	Silty clay, dark green, (Gley 1 3/1), almost dry, fine grained	СН					
	9.9						-	Silty sand, dark green, (Gley 1 3/1), fine grained sand	SM		vy vy			
							- 38 - -							
							— 39 - -	Silt and clay, dark green, (Gley 1 3/1), almost dry, slightly moist, tough, micaceous	ML					
	7.2 0.9						- 40 -	Clayey sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5 2.5/1), wet, fine grained sand, with silt	sc	····				
							- - 41	Silty clay, dark green (Gley 1 2.5 2.5/1), medium tough	CL					
							- 42	Sand, green (Gley 1 2.5 2.5/1), fine grained sand with silt with mica	SM					
	9.2						-	Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5 2.5/1), fine grained sand with silt	SM					
	5.9						- 43	Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5 2.5/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt	SM		vy vy			
		ND<0.005					- - - - 44	Silty sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5 2.5/1), moist, fine grained sand, micaceous	5101					
	52						- -							
	8.3						- 45 - -	Sandy Silt, dark green (Gley 1 2.5 2.5/1), moist	ML					
							- 46 -	No recovery						
	18.0 14.9						- 47 -	Silty Sand, greenish black (Gley 1 2/5 2.5/1), moist, very fine grained sand, some clay, micaceous	SM					
				51.73	11.45	63.19	- 48	Sand dark green (Glev 1 2 5 2 5/1) moist very fine grained sand with silt tough	SM					
							-	micaceous						
	10.2						- 49	sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5 2.5/1), moist, line grained sand, trace medium grained	-/ SM					
							F	Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5 2.5/1), wet, fine grained sand	sw					
	5.1			*	*	8.65	- 50							
		ND<0.005												
	3.4						_ 51 _	Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5/1), moist, medium grained sand, some fine grained sand, micaceous	sw					
	5.8						- 52 -							
	R	5		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L(⊦ ⊃G O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	/ IW-2 PAGE 2	2 2B 0F 3				

,											
PROJE(LOCAT	CT NO.: ON:	600121 76 Statio 28903 R Temecu	on 6519 lancho Ca la, Califor	aliforni nia	a Roa	ıd		DATE DRILLED: October 23 LOGGED BY: Lonnell Gri APPROVED BY: Gary J. Mo DRILLING CO.: Boart Long	8-24, 2 ffith Cue year	2001	
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:17.5 feetTOTAL DEPTH:60.2 feetDESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
	6.1 5.7						- 53 - - - - 54 -	Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2/5/1), moist, fine grained sand with silt, trace clay Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5/1), moist, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, trace silt	sw sw		
	7.4 11.8						- 	Sand, dark green (Gley 1 3/1), wet, coarse grained sand, some medium grained sand	SP	<u> </u>	
	6.2						- 56 - - -	Sand, gray green (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand	SW		
	5.5 7.7						- 57 - - - 58	Sand, gray green (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand, some coarse grained sand Sand, gray green (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand	sw sw		
	5.3	ND<0.005		*	*	13.42	- - - 60	Sand, gray green (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand, trace fine grained sand Sand, gray green (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand, trace gravel	sw sw		

	MW-22B	
LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING	PAGE 3 OF 3	

PROJE LOCA	ECT NO.: TION:	600121 76 Static 28903 R Temecu	00121 DATE DRILLED: December 4, 2001 6 Station 6519 LOGGED BY: Todd Wirths 8903 Rancho California Road APPROVED BY: Gary J. McCue remecula, California DRILLING CO.: THF												
ILOWS PER INCHES	(mqq) MVO	VTBE soil (mg/kg)	VTBE groundwater 0	ilt Content %	Clay Content %	ilt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:Hollow Stem Auger - 10-inchSAMPLER TYPE:Split Spoon - CA ModDEPTH TO WATER:15 feetTOTAL DEPTH:25.5 feetDESCRIPTION	nscs	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL				
0 11		~	2 0	رم ا		0 V	0	Silty Sand	SM						
4 5 7	0						- 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 7 - 8	Silty Sand, dark grayish brown, damp, silty very fine to medium grained sand, medium dense, trace coarse grained sand, trace gravel	SM						
3 5 7	3.6						- 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 12								
3 3	0						- 13 - 14 - 14 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 16	Sand, wet, very fine to medium grained sand, loose, trace to some silt, trace coarse grained sand	SP						
3							-								
3	0						- 17	Sand, gray, wet, very fine to coarse grained sand	∕ SW SP	<u></u>					
3							F	Sand, gray, wet, very fine to medium grained sand, loose, trace coarse grained sand							
4 5	0						- - -	as above, medium dense	SP						
7							- 19 -	Sand, gray, wet, very fine to coarse grained sand, loose, trace silt	sw	::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::					
3							- -								
3	0						- 20 -	as above, coarse subangular sand common	sw						
5 3 3 4	0						- 21 - - - - 22								
3 3 4	0						- - - 23 -	Silty Sand, very dark gray, wet, silty very fine to fine grained sand, loose, trace medium grained sand Sand, gray, wet, very fine to coarse grained sand, loose, trace silt	SW SW						
3							_ 24	as above, trace to some silt	sw						
4 5	0						25	as above, trace silt, trace angular fine gravel	sw		77777777				

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-23A PAGE 1 OF 1
---------------------------	-----------------------

PROJECT NO.: LOCATION:	600121 76 Statio	on 6519					DATE DRILLED: Octob LOGGED BY: Lonne	er 30-31, Il Griffith	2001		
	28903 R Temecu	lancho Ca la, Califor	aliforni nia	ia Roa	d		DRILLING CO.: Boart	Longyear			
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:16 feetTOTAL DEPTH:60 feet		GRAPHIC LOG	W CONST DET	'ELL RUCTION 'AIL
						0	Sand and Silt, olive brown (2.5Y 3-2.5), with clay, trace rock/boulders				
12.8						- 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7	Silty Sand, dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2), dry, fine grained sand with clay	SM			
5.4 9.1					-	- 7 - 8					
						- 9	Sand, olive brown (2.5Y 3/1), dry, fine grained sand with silt	SM			
8.5					-	- 10	Silt and Sand, black (10YR 2/1), moist, fine grained sand, some clay	ML			
14.9					-	- 11					
	ND<0.005				-	- 12	Sand, grayish brown (2.5Y 5/1), moist, medium and fine grained sand, trace silt	SP CL			
7.2 11.9	ND<0.005					- 13					
2.3	ND<0.005				-	- 14	Sand, dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/1), wet, fine and medium grained sand, trace silt	SP			
2.3					-	- 15	Sand, grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand	SP			
					-	- 10	Sand, grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2), wet, coarse grained sand, some medium grained san	J SP			
					-	- 17	Sand, grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2), wet, medium and fine grained sand Sand, light brownish gray (2.5Y 5/1), wet, medium grained sand, some fine grained sand	SP SP			
					-	- 18	Sand, light brownish gray (2.5Y 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand	SP			
					-	- 19	No recovery				
14.1						- 20 - 21	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium and fine grained sand	SP			
3.5						- 22	Sand, gray (Gley 1 6/1), wet, very coarse grained sand, some gravel, some medium grained sand with fine grained sand	SP			
7.1						- 23					
						- 24	Clay and Silt, dark gray green (Gley 1 3/1), wet	CL			
4.8 2.9						- 25 - 26	Sand, gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, very coarse grained sand with fine grained sand, some gravel, trace silt	SP			
TR	6		<u> </u>		LO	G O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-2 PAGE 1	2 3B OF 3		

PROJE LOCAT	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Static	on 6519	aliforni	ia Poa	d		DATE DRILLED: October 30-31, 2001 LOGGED BY: Lonnell Griffith APPROVED BY: Gary J. McCue					
		Temecu	la, Califor	nia		lu		DRILLING CO.: Boart Loi	ıgyear				
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:16 feetTOTAL DEPTH:60 feetDESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL		
	1.5 9.0						27 28 28 29	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel, some silt	SP				
							-						
	4.1						- 30 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel, trace silt	SP				
	3.0						- - 31 -						
							_ 32	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand with fine grained sand, some silt	SP				
	3.5 9.7	ND<0.005					- - 33	Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with clay, some fine grained sand, low plasticity	ML				
							- - 34						
	16.2	ND<0.005					-				$\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{v} \end{vmatrix}$		
	13.6						— 35 _	Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand, non-plastic	ML				
							_ 36	Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand	SP SP				
	16.3						-	sand					
	8.0			55.68	9.7	65.38	37 - -	Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand	SM		$\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}} \\ \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}} \\ \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}} \\ \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}} \\ \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}} \\ \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}} \end{vmatrix}$		
							- 38 - - - - 39	sand, some silt					
	8.7						- - -						
	0.4						- 40 - - - 11	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium and fine grained sand	SP				
	54						- - -						
	5.0						- 42 - -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, coarse grained sand, trace fine grained sand	SP				
		ND<0.005					- 43 - -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt, some very fine grained sand	-/ ML				
	5.3 18.5						- 44 -	Silt and Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist/damp, some fine grained	ML		$\begin{array}{ccc} v & v \\ v & v \\ v & v \\ v & v \end{array}$		
	10.5						- - 45 -	sand, non-plastic			$\begin{array}{ccc} V^{\mathbf{V}} & V^{\mathbf{V}} \\ V^{\mathbf{V}} & V^{\mathbf{V}} \\ V^{\mathbf{V}} & V^{\mathbf{V}} \\ V^{\mathbf{V}} & V^{\mathbf{V}} \\ V^{\mathbf{V}} & V^{\mathbf{V}} \end{array}$		
	15.1						- - 46 -	Sandy Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt, non-plastic	ML				
	4.0	ND<0.005					- - 47	Silt and Clay, your dark groupish grov (Clay 1.2/1) maist/damp, trace to some your fine	MI		$\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{V}} & \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{V}} \\ \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{V}} & \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{V}} \\ \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{V}} & \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{V}} \end{vmatrix}$		
				56.34	13.77	70.12	- - 48	grained sand, slightly plastic					
				58.92	12.82	71.73	- - 49						
	6.4						- - 50	Clay and Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp, clay and silt, plastic	CL		XX XX XX <		
	3.1						- - 51	Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist, silt with clay, non-plastic	ML				
	5.4							Silt, very dark greenish gray (Glev 1 3/1). silt with clav	ML		$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & &$		
	27.8						- 52 - -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt	SP				
	R	6		1	1	LC	DG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	/W-2 AGE 2	2 3B OF 3			

PROJEC LOCATI	CT NO.: ON:	600121 76 Statio 28903 R Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ia, Califor	aliforni mia	ia Roa	d		DATE DRILLED: October 30 LOGGED BY: Lonnell Gri APPROVED BY: Gary J. Mo DRILLING CO.: Boart Long)-31, 2 ffith Cue year	2001	
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:16 feetTOTAL DEPTH:60 feetDESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
	31.4 21.2 25.4	0.0068					- 53 54 - 54 55 55 56 	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand, some fine grained sand Sand, very dark gray (Gley 1 3/4), wet, medium grained sand, some fine grained sand No recovery	SP		
	55 12.7 3.9	ND<0.005		21.29	4.63	25.91	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand, trace coarse grained sand	SP		

TRG	LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-23B PAGE 3 OF 3

PROJEC LOCATI	CT NO.: ON:	600121 76 Stati 28903 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ila, Califor	aliforn	ia Roa	ıd		DATE DRILLED: Novemb LOGGED BY: Lonnell APPROVED BY: Gary J. DRILLING CO.: Boart Lo	er 6-11, Griffith McCue ngyear	2001		
SLOWS PER INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	/TBE groundwater ug/L)	silt Content %	Clay Content %	ilt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:18 feetTOTAL DEPTH:215 feetDESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTIO DETAIL	ON
O B		2	2 0	S		N N	0	Sand, dark olive gray (5Y 3/2), dry, fine grained sand with silt, organic debris, rocks,				▦
6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (1	MTBE groun (ug/L) (ug/L)	Silt Content ⁰	Clay Conten	Sitt and Clay) HLdad 0 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	DEPTH TO WATER. 10 feet DESCRIPTION Sand, dark olive gray (5Y 3/2), dry, fine grained sand with silt, organic debris, rocks, bigg Well MW-23C located near MW-23B, began logging hole at 55 feet below grade		GRAPHIC	DETAIL	
							- 22					/ v / v
							- 23					
							- 24					
							24 					/ /
							_ 25					
							26					
	R	5				L	OG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-2 PAGE 1 0	3C DF 9		

Image: Properties of the second sec	PROJECT NO.: LOCATION:	600121 76 Statio 28903 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ila, Califor	aliforni rnia	ia Roa	d				DATE DRILLED: LOGGED BY: APPROVED BY: DRILLING CO.:	November Lonnell Gri Gary J. Mo Boart Long	6-11, ffith Cue year	11, 2001 n ie ar		
	BLOWS PER 6 INCHES OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD: SAMPLER TYPE: DEPTH TO WATER: TOTAL DEPTH:	Rotasonic 6 - inch Core E 18 feet 215 feet DESCRIF	3arrel ?TION		USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTI DETAIL	
							-27 -28 -29 -30 -31 -32 -33 -34 -35 -36 -37 -38 -37 -40 -41 -42 -43 -44 -45 -46 -47 -48 -47 -48 -50 -51 -52						30		

PROJECT NO.: LOCATION:	600121 76 Station 6519 28903 Rancho Ca Temecula, Califor	DATE DRILLED: Nov LOGGED BY: Lon APPROVED BY: Gar DRILLING CO.: Boa	November 6-11, 2001 Lonnell Griffith Gary J. McCue Boart Longyear				
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg) MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content % Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content % DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:18 feetTOTAL DEPTH:215 feetDESCRIPTION	nscs	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
ВНОМОВ (шеф) мо 1000 мо 13.6 13.6 4.2 19.4 19.4 16.7 4.3 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.3 11.3 17.3 26.1 37.2 6.7 37.2 6.7 3.4 3.4	MTBE soil (mg/	Silt Content % Clay Content %	og agi - 53 - 54 - 55 - 56 - 57 - 58 - 59 - 60 - 61 - 62 - 63 - 63 - 63 - 63 - 63 - 63 - 63 - 63 - 63 - 63 - 63 - 63 - 63 - 63 - 63 - 63 - 63 - 63 - 63 - 70 - 71 - 72	Sint 201 TO WATER: 18 feet DEPTH TO WATER: 18 feet DESCRIPTION Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium and fine grained sand, trace sil Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand, some fine grained sand Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp/moist, fine grained sand Silt and Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp/moist, fine grained sand Silt and Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp/moist, fine grained sand, some fine grained sand, some, plastic Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp/moist, silt with fine grained sand, non-plastic Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp/moist, silt with fine grained sand, so clay, non-plastic Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp/moist, silt with fine grained sand, so clay, non-plastic Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp/moist, silt with fine grained sand, so clay, non-plastic Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist, silt with fine grained sand, trace silt v medium grained sand Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist, silt with fine grained sand, trace coarse grained sand Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand, trace medium grained sand Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand, trace medium grained sand Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, some medium grai	d SP d SP d SP d SP me ML me ML me ML ML SP d SP sP d SP	GRAPHIC L	CONSTRUCTION DETAIL V V V
7.9			- - 73 - 74 - 74	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grain sand, trace fine grained sand	ed SP		vv vv
3.5 11.3 2.8 6.6			- 75 - 76 - 77 - 77 - 78	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand, some coarse grained sand, trace gravel Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand, some fine graine sand, trace gravel Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand, some fine grained sand	d SP		v v v× v×
TR			LOG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	SP MW-2 PAGE 3	2 3C OF 9	

PROJECT LOCATIO	JECT NO.: 600121 DATE DRILLED: ATION: 76 Station 6519 LOGGED BY: 28903 Rancho California Road APPROVED BY: Temecula, California DRILLING METHOD: Rotasonic						DATE DRILLED: Nove LOGGED BY: Lonn APPROVED BY: Gary DRILLING CO.: Boar	mber 6-11 ell Griffith J. McCue Longyear	, 2001		
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:18 feetTOTAL DEPTH:215 feetDESCRIPTION	nscs	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
4	.1 .4		ND<1				- 80 - 81 - 82	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse graine sand, some fine grained sand Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, tra gravel	1 SP		
4	.6 5.3						- 83	Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/), wet, medium grained sand, some coarse grained sand, some fine grained sand Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, so	SP ne SP		
4	.4						- 84 - 85	gravel Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/), wet, coarse grained sand, some medium grained sand w gravel	ith SP		
							- 86 - 87	Sand, dark gray (Glev 1 4/), wet, coarse grained sand with fine grained sand with	SP		$\begin{array}{c c} V & V \\ V & V$
6	.6						- 88	Medium grained sand Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, so gravel	ne SP		
3	.2		ND<1				- 89 - 90	Silty Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/), wet, fine grained sand, some medium grained sand Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel with medium grained sand Silty Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/), wet, fine and medium grained sand	ed SP SM		
							- 91 - 92	Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, so gravel	ne SP		
7	.0 .5						- 93	Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, silt, some fine grained sand with clay, sligh plastic Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/), wet, coarse and medium grained sand, trace gravel	ly ML		
5	.6 .1						- 95	Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, some coarse grained sand	SP		
5	.9						- 96 - 97	Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand	SP		
6	.4		24				- 98 - 99	Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand Clay and Silt, very dark gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, clay and silt, plastic Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand with gravel, som fine grained sand	e SP		
1	2.8 .4						- 100	Clay and Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist, clay and silt with fine grain sand, very stiff, non-plastic	ed CL		
9	.8						- 102	Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand	SP SP		
7	.3						- 103 - 104	grained sand			$\begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}} & \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}} \\ \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}} & \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}} \end{array}$
4	 .7 2.4						- 105	Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium graine sand, some fine grained sand	SP SP MW-2	23C	$\begin{array}{c c} \cdot & & \cdot \\ \cdot & \vee \\ \cdot &$
		5				LO	ig ol	F EXPLORATORY BORING	PAGE 4	OF 9	

PROJECT NO.: LOCATION:	600121 76 Statio 28903 R Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ia, Califor	aliforni mia	a Roa	d		DATE DRILLED: No LOGGED BY: Lor APPROVED BY: Ga DRILLING CO.: Boa	ovember 6-11, nnell Griffith ary J. McCue art Longyear	2001		
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:18 feetTOTAL DEPTH:215 feetDESCRIPTION	nscs	GRAPHIC LOG	WELI CONSTRU DETAIL	L ICTION L
						- 106 	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with course grai	ined SP			
3.7 6.4						- 107 - - - 108	Sand, some fine grained sand Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grai sand, some gravel, some fine grained sand	ined SP			
						- 109 - -					
2.3 5.5		1.7				110 - - - - 111	Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/), wet, coarse grained sand, some gravel with medium grained sand	SP			
7.8						- - - - - - -	Silt and Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, some clay, non-plastic	ML			
5.3	ND<0.005					- 113 - - - - 114	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grai sand, some gravel	ined SP			
2.9 3.1						- - 115 -					
						- - - - - - 117					
5.8 5.8						- - 118 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grai	ined SP			
11 7						- 119 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grai sand with fine grained sand, trace gravel	ined SP			
3.9		18				- 120 - - - 121 - -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained some gravel, trace fine grained sand	sand, SP			
3.0						— 122 - -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained s some fine grained sand	sand, SP			
4.2						- - 123 - - 124 - 124	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained s trace fine grained sand	sand, SP			
2.6 7.5						- 125 - - - 126	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained s trace gravel	sand, SP			
3.6						- 127	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand some coarse grained sand	_{id,} SP			
6.4						- 128 - - -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained s with gravel, some fine grained sand	sand SP			
4.0						- 129 - - - 130	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained s with fine grained sand	sand SP			
8.3						_ _ 131 _	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand, some medium grained sand, some fine grained sand, some gravel	d SP			
TR	6				L	- 132 DG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-2 PAGE 5	3C DF 9		

PROJECT LOCATIO	Г NO.: DN:	600121 76 Stati 28903 F Temecu	ion 6519 Rancho Ca ula, Califor	aliforn	a Roa	ıd		DATE DRILLED: Novembe LOGGED BY: Lonnell G APPROVED BY: Gary J. M DRILLING CO.: Boart Lor	r 6-11 riffith IcCue gyear	, 2001	
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:18 feetTOTAL DEPTH:215 feetDESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL STRUCTION ETAIL
6	5.1 5.3						- - 133 - - 134	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel with medium grained sand, some fine grained sand	SP		
3	9.3 9.6						- - 135 - - - 136	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand, trace gravel	SP		
2 3	2.6 9.7						- - 137 - - - 138 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, some gravel	SP		
3	.3 .7		12				- 139 - 139 - 140 	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand Sand, gray (Gley 1 5/), wet, medium and coarse grained sand, trace fine grained sand	SP SP		
8	.8 .0						- 141 - - 142 - - - 143	Sand, gray (Gley 1 5/), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel, some medium grained Sand, gray (Gley 1 5/), wet, coarse grained sand and gravel with silty fine sand Sand, gray (Gley 1 5/), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel with medium grained sand, some fine grained sand	SP SP SP		
5	5.0						- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -				
4	2						- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel, some medium grained sand Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained	SP SP SP		
3	1 5						- - - 148 - - - - - 149	Sand, some fine grained sand Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, trace gravel Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel, some	SP		
1	.3 9.6						- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, trace fine grained sand	SP		
6	.2 .6						- - 152 - - - 153 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand, some gravel	SP		
2	.9 .9						- - 154 - - - 155 -	Sandy Silt, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, fine grained sand, trace clay, non-plastic	ML		
3	5.5						- 156 	Silty Sand, olive brown (2.5Y 4/3), wet, fine grained sand, some medium grained sand Sandy Silt, olive brown (2.5Y 4/3), wet, fine grained sand with clay, trace coarse grained sand, stiff, slightly plastic Sand and Silt, olive brown (2.5Y 4/3), wet, fine grained sand trace clay, soft	ML SP		
3	8.6	5				 	- 158 - OG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	/W-2 PAGE 6	2 3C OF 9	

PROJECT NO.: LOCATION:	NO.: 600121 DATE DRILLED: IN: 76 Station 6519 LOGGED BY: 28903 Rancho California Road APPROVED BY: Temecula, California DRILLING CO.:						November 6-11 Lonnell Griffith Gary J. McCue Boart Longyear	, 2001	
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content % DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:18 feetTOTAL DEPTH:215 feet	nscs	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
					- 159 - -	Silty Sand, olive brown (2.5Y 4/3), wet, fine grained sand, trace clay, non-plasti	ic SM		
11.9	NE	D<1			- 160 - -	No recovery			
					- 161 -				
0.7					- 162 - -	Sand, olive brown (2.5Y 5/1), wet, fine grained sand, some medium grained sand	nd SP		
3.7					— 163 - -	Sand, olive brown (2.5Y 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand, some medium grained	sand, SP		
					— 164 - -	some fine grained sand Sand, olive brown (2.5Y 5/1), wet, medium and fine grained sand, some silt	SP		
4.9 8.1					- 165 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand, trace coarse sand, some fine grained sand	e grained SP		
					- 166 - -				
5.4					— 167 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse g	grained SP		
4.5					- 168 - -	sand, some fine grained sand, trace silt	-		
					- 169 - -				
2.9 4.9					- 170 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse g sand, some fine grained sand, trace gravel	grained SP		
					- 171 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand,	some SP		
3.2					- 172 - -	fine grained sand Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand,	some SP		
7.1					- 173 - -	fine grained sand			
					174 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1.4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grai	ined SP		
5.3 7.8					- 175 -	sand, trace coarse grained sand Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand, s fine grained sand, trace gravel	some SP		
					- - 176 -	Sand dark greenish gray (Gley 1.4/1) wet medium grained sand, some coarse	SP		
11.6					- 177 -	grained sand with fine grained sand, trace gravel	with fine SP		
9.1					- - 178 -	grained sand, some gravel			
					- 179 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse g sand with fine grained sand	grained SP		
6.9 1.2	1.1 NE	5 D<1			- - 180 -	Sand, light olive brown (2.5Y 5/2), wet, coarse grained sand and gravel with co with medium grained sand, some silt, some clay	bbles SP		
					- 181 -				
1.4 24.3					- - 182 -	Sand, light olive brown (2.5Y 5/2), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grain with gravel, some silt, some clay	led sand SP		
					- - 183 -				
6.8 6.8					- - 184 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium g sand, some fine grained sand	grained SP		
TR	6	I			LOG OI	EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-2 PAGE 7	2 3C OF 9	

PROJE LOCAT	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Static 28903 R Temecu	on 6519 ancho Ca la, Califor	aliforn mia	ia Roa	ad		DATE DRILLED: November 6-11, 2001 LOGGED BY: Lonnell Griffith APPROVED BY: Gary J. McCue DRILLING CO.: Boart Longyear						
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:18 feetTOTAL DEPTH:215 feetDESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL			
							- 185 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand, some coarse grained sand with fine grained sand, some silt, trace clay	SP					
	4.5						- 186 -							
	4.5 79.3	ND -0.005					- - 187	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand, some fine grained sand, trace gravel	SP					
		ND<0.005					-							
							- 188 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand, some coarse	SP					
	46						- 189	grained sand, some fine grained sand						
	27						- - - 190	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand with fine grained sand with gravel, some silt	SP					
	16.3						— 191 _							
	20.7						- 192	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, some coarse grained sand, trace silt	SP					
								Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1.4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained						
							- 193 - -	sand with fine grained sand with gravel, trace silt						
	4.0 11.9						- 194 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium gained sand,	SP					
							- - 195	Sand graphic graver	SP					
	7.2						- - -	some fine grained sand						
	10.2						196 - -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, trace coarse grained sand	SP					
							- 197 -							
							- - 198	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand, some fine grained sand, trace silt, some gravel	SP					
	6.1						-	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand, some fine	SP					
	11.2						— 199 - -	grained sand, trace gravel						
							200	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand, some gravel,	SP					
	8.7						- 201	some fine grained sand, trace silt						
	7.8						_	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, some gravel, trace fine grained sand	SP					
							- 202 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand with fine grained sand, some silt, some gravel	SP					
	12.0						- 203							
	14.4													
							- 204 - -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, some gravel, some silt	SP					
	12.6 5.8						- 205 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand with fine grained sand some silt trace gravel	SP					
							_ 206							
							-	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium grained sand with site trace clay, dense	SP					
	7.5						207 - -							
	9.6						- 208 -	clay	J					
							- - 209							
							-	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, some silt	58					
	J.U						- 210 -	No recovery	\neg					
							- 211							
	R	5				L	OG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	/ IW-2 'AGE 8	3 C 0f 9				

PROJEC	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Statio 28903 R Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ia, Califor	aliforni mia	ia Roa	ad		DATE DRILLED: November LOGGED BY: Lonnell Gri APPROVED BY: Gary J. Mc DRILLING CO.: Boart Long	6-11, ffith Cue year	2001	
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:18 feetTOTAL DEPTH:215 feetDESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
	7.9						- 212 - 213 - 213 - 214	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand, some fine grained sand, trace gravel Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, some gravel, trace fine grained sand	SP		
	4.6						215				

TRC	LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-23C PAGE 9 OF 9

PROJEC LOCATI	CT NO.: ON:	600121 76 Statio 28903 F Temcula	on 6519 Rancho Ca a, Californ	aliforni ia	a Roa	ıd		DATE DRILLED: December LOGGED BY: Todd Wirth APPROVED BY: Gary J. Me DRILLING CO.: THF	⁻ 4, 20 ns cCue	01	
LOWS PER NCHES	(mdd) MVC	ITBE soil (mg/kg)	ITBE groundwater ug/L)	It Content %	lay Content %	t and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD: Hollow Stem Auger - 10-inch SAMPLER TYPE: Splitspoon - CA Mod DEPTH TO WATER: 17 feet TOTAL DEPTH: 24.5 feet	USCS	SRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
ى B		2	V V	Ō	0	- - - - - - - - - - - - - -	0 	Silty Sand	SM		
3 5 6	0						- 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 7	Silty Sand, brown, damp, silty very fine to medium grained sand, medium dense, trace coarse grained sand	SM		
5 5 6	0						- 8 - 9 - 10 - 11	becomes dark brown, damp to moist	SM		
3	0						- - - - - 12 -	Sandy Silt, dark brown, moist, very fine to medium grained sandy silt, medium stiff, poor plasticity	ML		
4 5 3							- - 13 - - - 14	Sand, light yelowish brown, damp, very fine to medium grained sand, medium dense	SP		
4 3 5							- - - - - 15 -	Sand, light yellowish brown, damp, very fine to coarse grained sand	sw		
6 7 4	0						- - 16 - - - 17	becomes dark grayish brown, some silt	sw		
6 3 3	0						- - 18 -	Silty Sand, dark grayish brown, saturated, silty very fine to fine grained sand, loose	SM		
4 4 4	0						- 19 - 20	Sand, dark grayish brown, wet, very fine to medium grained sand, loose, some silt	SP		
5 6 7	0						- - - 21 -	Sand, very fine to coarse grained sand, loose Sandy Silt, olive gray, wet, very fine to medium grained sandy silt, stiff, poor plasticity	SW ML		
7 4 5	0						- 22 - - - 23	Sand, gray, wet, very fine to medium grained sand, loose, some silt, trace coarse sand	SP		
5							- - - 24	Silt, very dark gray, wet, stiff	ML		

PROJECT NO.: LOCATION:	600121 76 Station 6519 28903 Rancho California Temecula, California	DATE DRILLED: LOGGED BY: Road APPROVED BY: DRILLING CO.:	November 16-17, 2001 Lonnell Griffith Gary J. McCue Boart Longyear
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg) MTBE groundwater (ug/L) Silt Content %	% turnor of the second seco	SUSUAL SU
		9 Sand, alve brown (2.5Y 4/3), dry, medium and fine grained sand, some all 1 1 2 1 3 4 4 - 5 MW-24AB located 5 feet from MW-24B, begin logging hole at 50 feet below 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 18 - 19 - 20 - 21 - 22 - 23 - 24 - 25 -	grade
TR	5	LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING	PAGE 1 OF 3

PROJE(LOCAT	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Statio 28903 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ila, Califor	aliforn rnia	iia Roa	ad		DATE D LOGGE APPRO DRILLI	DRILLED: No ED BY: Lo DVED BY: Ga ING CO.: Bo	ovember 16-1 nnell Griffith ary J. McCue art Longyear	7, 2001		
BLOWS PER 3 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:21 feetTOTAL DEPTH:69 feetDESCRIPTION		USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WI CONSTF DET	ELL RUCTION AIL
						0	- 27						
							- - - 28						
							- 30 - -						
							- 31 - -						
							- 32 - -						
							- 33 -						
							- 34 -						
							- 35 -						
							- 36 -						
							- 37 -						
							- 38 -						
							- - 39 -						
							- - 41						
							- - 42						
							- - - 43						
							- - - 44						
							- 45						
							- 46 - -						
							47 - - -						
							- 48 - -						
							- 49 - -						
	6.1						- - -	Silt and Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fin cohesive	ne grained sand, non-pl	astic, ML			
							- 51 -						
	5.8						- 52 -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine and m silt, non-cohesive	nedium grained sand, s	ome SM			
				<u> </u>				Silt and Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, sor	me fine grained sand, l	ow ML MW-2	4AB	v V	
		5				L	UG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING		PAGE 2	OF 3		

PROJE LOCAT	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Statio 28903 R Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ia, Califor	aliforni nia	a Roa	d		DATE DRILLED: November LOGGED BY: Lonnell Gri APPROVED BY: Gary J. Mo DRILLING CO.: Boart Long	16-17 ffith Cue year	7, 2001	
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:21 feetTOTAL DEPTH:69 feetDESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
	4.8 2.8 5.0 3.3 6.7 6.5 8.6 8.1 6.1	2			O	Si	- 53 - 54 - 55 - 55 - 56 - 57 - 58 - 59 - 59 - 60 - 61 - 61 - 62 - 63	plasticity, cohesive Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium and fine grained sand, trace silt Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with clay with fine grained sand, non-plastic, cohesive Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with clay, very low plasticity, cohesive Silt and Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with clay with fine grained sand, non-cohesive Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with clay with fine grained sand, non-plastic, cohesive Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand, non-plastic, cohesive Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand, non-plastic, cohesive Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand, non-plastic Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt and fine grained sand, trace clay Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine and medium grained sand with silt Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand with silt Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, trace silt Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with fine grained sand, trace silt Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, some medium grained sand	SP ML ML ML ML SM SM SP SM		$\begin{array}{c cccccc} & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & $
	 7.3 5.6 6.2 3.3 15.1 11.6 						- 63 - 64 - 65 - 65 - 66 - 67 - 68 - 68	Sand, dark greenish gray (Giey 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, some coarse grained sand Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, trace medium grained sand Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, some clay, non-plastic Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, clay with silt, moderately plastic, cohesive	SM		

|--|

PROJECT NO.: LOCATION:	600121 76 Station 651 28903 Rancho Temecula, Cali	9 California F ifornia	Road	DATE DRILLED: Octob LOGGED BY: Lonne APPROVED BY: Gary DRILLING CO.: Boart	er 27-29, 2001 Il Griffith J. McCue Longyear	
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg) MTBE groundwater	Silt Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DRILLING METHOD: Rotasonic SAMPLER TYPE: 6 - inch Core Barrel DEPTH TO WATER: 20 feet TOTAL DEPTH: 98 feet DESCRIPTION Fill, sand, brown (10YR 4/), dry, fined grained silty sand	USCS GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
6.1				Clayey Sand, very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2), moist, fine grained sand, trace coarse grained sand, clay and silt stringers	SC SC	
5.4 11.3				Silty Sand, dark brown (10YR 3/2), moist, fine grained sand with clay, some coarse grained sand, trace medium grained sand	SM	
4.2 9.6				0 Sand, dark gray brown (10YR 3/), damp/moist, coarse grained sand	SP	
10.6 6.9				2 Clayey Silt, very dark brown (10YR 3/2), damp/moist, trace fine grained sand 3 Silt, dark gray brown (10YR 3/2), moist, silt with fine grained sand, some clay	ML	
8.8				4 Sand, light yellow brown (2.5Y 6/3), damp/moist, medium and coarse grained sand 5 Silty Sand, brown (10YR 3/), moist, fine grained sand with clay, 60% recovery for this	SP	
24.6				6 Sand, light yellow brown (2.5Y 3/3), damp, medium grained sand, some coarse grainers and	_{id} SP	
18.7				8 Sand, light yellow brown (2.5Y 3/3), moist-almost wet, medium grained sand, some coarse grained sand Sand, light yellow brown (2.5Y 3/3), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand 9	SP SP SP	
10.0 24.2				Sand, light yellow brown (2.5Y 3/3), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand Sand, light green brown (2.5Y 4/3 olive brown), wet, fine grained sand with silt Clay, green (Gley 1 3/1), wet, clay, trace silt, trace mica, very plastic	SP SP CL	
15.2 14.6	ND<0.005	72.87 27	7.07 99.94	2 3		
7.4				Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, medium and fine grained sand, trace silt	SP SP SP SP	
5.5	C		LOC	6 6 OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-24B PAGE 1 OF 4	

PROJECT N	D.: 600121 76 Stati 28903 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ila, Califor	aliforni rnia	a Roa	ıd	-	DATE DRILLED: C LOGGED BY: Lo APPROVED BY: G DRILLING CO.: B	October 27-29, 2 onnell Griffith Gary J. McCue oart Longyear	2001		
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:20 feetTOTAL DEPTH:98 feetDESCRIPTION		GRAPHIC LOG	WE CONSTR DETA	ELL RUCTION
						- - - 27					
2.8 3.1						- - - 28	Sand, dark green (Glev 1.2.5), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sa	and SP			
						- 	some gravel	and SP			
3.4						- - - 30		ep			
3.1						- - - 31	Sand, light gray green (Gley 1 7/1), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel	56			
						- - - 32	Sand, light green (Gley 1 7/1), wet, coarse grained sand, trace medium grained s trace gravel	sand, SP			
2.9 8.2							Sand, light gray (Gley 1 7/1), wet, coarse grained sand, trace medium grained sa trace gravel	and, SP			
						- 33					
13 5						- 34 - - -	Sand, light gray (Gley 1 7/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained san trace silt, trace gravel	ıd, SP			
13.5	ND<0.005					- 35 - -	Silty Sand, dark green (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with clay, non-plastic	SM			
						— 36 - -	Silty Sand, dark green (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand and clay	SM			
15.7			62.12	10.86	72.98	37 	Site dark groop (Clay 1.2/1) wat ait with alrowith find grained cand	MI			
7.7						- 38 -	Sin, dark green (Gley 1 3/1), wet, sin with day with the graned sand				
						- 39 -	Sand, dark green (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium and fine grained sand, some silt	SP			
11.2 10.5						- 40	Silty Sand, dark green (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand	SM			
						- 41	Sand, dark green (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand, some coarse grained some fine grained sand	sand, SP			
9.2						- 42 -					
5.2						- 43 					
						- - - 44	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grasand	ained SP			
6.9						- - - 45	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with silt stringe	ers with SM			
7.2						- - - 46	fine grained sand Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand and coarse gra	ained SM			
						- - 47	sand, trace of gravel, some silt				
7.6 12.9						- - - 48					
						- - - - 49	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand, trace gravel, s silt	some SP			
9.0						- 50					
5.0	ND<0.005					- - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium graine sand, some silt	ed SP			
						- 51 	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt	SM			
10.3						- 52 - -	Clayey Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand	ML			
TR	G				L	OG C	OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-2 PAGE 2	4B DF 4		

PROJE(LOCAT	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Statio 28903 R Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ia, Califor	aliforn	ia Roa	ıd		DATE DRILLED: October 27 LOGGED BY: Lonnell Gri APPROVED BY: Gary J. Mo DRILLING CO.: Boart Long	7-29, 2 ffith Cue year	2001	
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	(mqq) MVO	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:20 feetTOTAL DEPTH:98 feetDESCRIPTION	NSCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
	6.0						— 53 _	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, some silt	SP		
			1.1				- 54 - -	Clayey Silt, dark green (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand	ML		
	10.8 9.2						- 55 - -	Silt, dark green (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with clay, trace coarse grained sand, slightly plastic	ML		
				68.56	9.56	78.12	- 50	Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt and fine grained sand	ML		
	12.7	ND<0.005					— 57 _	Clayey Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, trace gravel, non-plastic	ML		
	13.2 13.1						- 58 - - 59	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine and medium grained sand, trace clay, with silt	SM		$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{V}} & \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{V}} \\ \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{V}} & \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{V}} \end{array}$
			1.4								
	7.8						- 60	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt	SM		
							-				
	12.6						62 - - -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand, trace coarse grained sand	SP		
	0.2		0.77				— 63 - -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium and fine grained sand, trace silt	SP		
							- 64	Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand	ML		
	11.7 11.8	ND<0.005					- 65 - -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand	SP		
							- 66 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel	SP		
	55						- 67 - -				
	242	0.081		*	*	18.06	- 68 - -	Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, clay, trace silt, medium to highly plastic	CL		$\begin{array}{ccc} \vee^{V} & \vee^{V} \\ \vee^{V} & \vee^{V} \\ \vee^{V} & \vee^{V} \\ \vee^{V} & \vee^{V} \end{array}$
		0.02					— 69 - -				
	195	0.02					- 70 -	Clay, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist/damp, clay, some silt, trace fine grained	CL		
	00.0	ND<0.005					- - 71	Sand, low plasticity			
		100.000		50.6	12.26	62.86	-				
	8.4						- 72 - -	Clay, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist/damp, clay, some silt, trace fine grained sand, low to medium plasticity	CL		
	12.5						- 73	Clay, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist/damp, clay, some silt, low plasticity	CL		
				61.02	17.89	78.91	- 74 -				
	18.5						_ 75	Silty Clay, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp/moist, some fine grained sand			
	10.1						- - -	non-plastic			
							- 76 - -	Clayey Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp/moist, silt, trace fine grained sand, non-plastic	ML		
	9.4						— 77 _	Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist/damp, silt with clay, some fine grained sand, non-plastic	ML ML		
	3.2						- 78 -	low plasticity			
							- 79				
	R	6				L	DG O	OF EXPLORATORY BORING	W-2 .GE 3	4 B 0f 4	

PROJEC LOCATI	CT NO.: ON:	600121 76 Statio 28903 R Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ia, Califor	aliforni mia	a Roa	d		DATE DRILLED: October 27 LOGGED BY: Lonnell Grit APPROVED BY: Gary J. Mc DRILLING CO.: Boart Long	-29, 2 ffith Cue year	2001	
BLOWS PER	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	silt Content %	Clay Content %	ilt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD: Rotasonic SAMPLER TYPE: 6 - inch Core Barrel DEPTH TO WATER: 20 feet TOTAL DEPTH: 98 feet DESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
E	8.3 9.4			74.76	19.79	94.54	- - - 80 - - - - - 81	Clay and Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp/moist, slightly plastic	CL		
	5.0 3.2	ND<0.005					- - - 82 - - - - - 83	Silty Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist, low plasticity	CL		
	7.6			71.13	20.01	91.14	- 84 - - 85 -	Silt and Clay, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist, slightly plastic Clayey Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist-almost dry, some fine grained sand,	ML		
	5.7						- - - - - - - 87	Silt, very dark green (Gley 1 3/1), slightly damp, silt with clay, some fine grained sand	ML		
	2.5						- - - - - - - - 89 -				
	7.1 3.9 5.0						- - - - - - - 91	Silty Sand, very dark green (Gley 1 3/1), damp, very fine grained sand Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand	SM SP		
	6.6 7.0						- - - - - - - - 93	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand, trace fine grained sand	SP		
	6.2	ND<0.005		20.04	3.74	23.79	- - - - - - - - - - - - 95	grained sand			
	8.1 6.5						- - - 96 - - - - 97				
	7.7						- 98	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand, some fine grained sand	54		

TRC	LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-24B PAGE 4 OF 4

OCAT	ON:	600121 76 Statio 28903 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ia, Califor	aliforn mia	ia Roa	ad			DATE DRILLED. LOGGED BY: APPROVED BY: DRILLING CO.:	Lonnell Griffith Gary J. McCue Boart Longyear	cemp	er 2, 200	1
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	Ia, Califor MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	2 1 0 DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD: Rotason SAMPLER TYPE: 6 - inch (DEPTH TO WATER: 20 feet TOTAL DEPTH: 181.5 fee DES MW-24C located near MW-24B, begin log	Core Barrel et SCRIPTION gging hole at 40 feet below grade	Soart Longyear	GRAPHIC LOG		
							-						
							- 3 - -					\vee^{\vee}	~`\ \\\ \\\
							4 						
							5						
							6						
							- 7						
							- - - 8						
							- - - 9						v v
													V V
							10 						V V V
							11 						V V V
							- 12						V V V
							13						v v v
							- - 14						V V
							- 15						v v
													v V V
							- 16 - -						V V V
							- 17 -						
							- 18 -						
							19						
							_ 20						
							21						
							_						
							- 22 - -						V V V
							- 23 -						
							24						v v v
							25						V V
							_ 26						V V
				1						MW-24	С	`v` \	`
PROJEC LOCATI	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Statio 28903 R Temecu	on 6519 ancho Ca la, Califor	aliforni mia	ia Roa	ıd		DATE DRILLED: Novembe LOGGED BY: Lonnell G APPROVED BY: Gary J. M DRILLING CO.: Boart Lor	er 28- E Friffith IcCue Igyear	Decemb	ber 2, 2001		
-----------------------	-----------------	--	------------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------------	---------------------	--	---	-------------	---		
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:20 feetTOTAL DEPTH:181.5 feetDESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL		
							- 27						
							- - - 28						
							- - 29						
							- 				$\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{v}^{v$		
							- - - 31						
							- 						
							- - - 33						
							_ 34						
							_ 35						
							- - - 36						
							- - - 37						
							- - - 38						
							- - 39						
							- - - 40	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1.3/1), wet, medium and fine grained sand	SP				
	4.5						- - - 41	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand, some fine grained sand	SP				
							- - - - 42	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, some coarse grained sand	SP				
	4.2 4.5						-	Sand very dark greenish gray (Gley 1.3/1) wet medium and coarse grained sand	SP				
							- 43 - -	some fine grained sand					
	14						- 44 - -						
1	3.7						- 45 - -						
1							- 46 - -	Sand, greenish black (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium grained sand with silt	SP				
	7.5						- 47 - -	with Site					
	0.0						48 - -	Silt and Sand, greenish black (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, fine grained sand, some clay, non-plastic	ML SP				
							- 49 -	fine grained sand					
	5.8 6.9						- - 50 -	Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, some medium grained sand, trace clay, non-cohesive	SM				
							- 51 -				$\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v} \end{vmatrix}$		
	6.7						- 52						
							<u> </u>	Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with clay with fine grained sand, low	 /IW-2	4C			
		5				L	UG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	AGE 2	OF 7			

PROJE(LOCAT	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Stati 28903 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ila, Califor	aliforni	a Roa	d		DATE DRILLED: Nover LOGGED BY: Lonne APPROVED BY: Gary DRILLING CO.: Boart	ıber 28- l I Griffith I. McCue ₋ongyear	Decemb	er 2, 2	2001
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:20 feetTOTAL DEPTH:181.5 feetDESCRIPTION	nscs	GRAPHIC LOG	CONS	WELL STRUCTION ETAIL
	7.5						- 53 - - -	plasticity Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with clay, medium plastic, cohesive	SM ML			
							54 - -	Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, some clay, non-cohesive, non-plastic	SM			
	2.8						- 55 - -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium grained sand, non-cohesive	d SP			
	5.6 4.6						- 56 - -	Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand, some clay, very low plasticity, lowly cohesive	ML			
							- 57 -	Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand, some clay, non-plastic, lowly cohesive	ML			
	62						- 58 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt	SM			
	2.1 9.2						- - - 59 -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium grained sand with silt, trace coarse grained sand	J SP			
	4.8						- 60 -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, some clay, trace medium grained sand	SM			
							- 61 -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, some medium grained sand, trace silt	SP			
	8.3						- 62 - - - 63	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grainer sand with silt, dense, non-plastic, trace gravel	J SP			
	1.5						64	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand, trace gravel	SP			
	3.6						- - 65 -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand with gravel, some fine grained sand	I SP			
	4.0						- 66 -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand, some coarse grained sand	SP			
	70		180				- 67 - -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand, some gravel	SP			
	79 575						- 68 - -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, some gravel	SP			
		0.36 1.2 0.63					- 69 - -	Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, silt with clay with fine grained sand	ML			
	1,049 69.2						- 70 - -	Clay and Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium plastic, cohesive	CL			
							- 71 - - - 72	Silt and Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, with fine grained sand, non-plastic, cohesive	ML			
	51.1 13.6						- 73	Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, clay and silt, trace fine grained sand, medium plastic, cohesive	CL			
							- - 74 -	Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with clay with fine grained sand, cohesive	ML			
	8.8 4.8						- - 75 -	Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand, some clay, non-plastic, cohesive	ML			
							- 76 -	Silt and Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, some fine grained sand, medium plastic, cohesive	ML			
	3.1 4.2						- - 77 -	Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with clay, trace of fine grained sand, non-plastic, cohesive	ML			
							- 78 - -					
							- 79		<u></u> м∟ М\//_'	240.	V V	
		5				L	OG (OF EXPLORATORY BORING	PAGE 3	B OF 7		

PROJECT NO.: LOCATION:	600121 76 Stat 28903 I Temeci	ion 6519 Rancho Ca ula, Califo	aliforni rnia	ia Roa	d	DATE DRILLED: LOGGED BY: APPROVED BY: DRILLING CO.:	November 28- E Lonnell Griffith Gary J. McCue Boart Longyear)ecemb	er 2, 2001
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES 2.8 2.7	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DRILLING METHOD: Rotasonic SAMPLER TYPE: 6 - inch Core Barrel DEPTH TO WATER: 20 feet TOTAL DEPTH: 181.5 feet DESCRIPTION Silt and Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, low plasticity, cohes Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with clay, trace fine graine	ive ML ed sand ML	IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
6.2 4.0					- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1 Silty Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, clay, medium plastic, c 2 Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with clay, non-plastic, coh 2 Sandy Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp/moist, fine grained si 3 Sandy Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp/moist, fine grained si	chesive CL esive ML and, some ML		
3.9 4.7					- - - - - 8	4 Silt and Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp/moist, fine graine trace clay, non-plastic, cohesive	d sand, ML		
5.4 5.7					- - - - -	Sinty Same, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp, fine grained sand, n to moderately plastic Sandy Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, son non-plastic, cohesive	ne clay, ML		
11.3 8.8						Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet-damp, fine grained sar clay, non-plastic, cohesive	d, trace SM		
10.2 4.8					- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	2 Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), damp/moist, medium grained sand w grained sand, trace silt, non-cohesive	ith fine SP		
4.6 5.9					- - - - - - - - - - - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coars sand, some fine grained sand, non-cohesive	e grained SP		
13.8 4.7					- 9 - - - - - - - - - -	 Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with mediu sand, some fine grained sand, trace gravel Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coars sand, some fine grained sand 	m grained SP		
6.9 7.0						3			
6.2 3.8					- - 1 - - - - - - - - - - -	 Silt and Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1),wet, cohesive, medium p Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with mediu sand, trace fine grained sand, trace gravel, non-cohesive 	astic ML m grained SP		
3.5 6.1 9.2					- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	 Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with mediu sand with gravel, some cobbles, non-cohesive 	m grained SP		V V VV V
1.5	6				LOG	OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-2 PAGE 4	24C OF 7	

PROJECT NO.: LOCATION:	600121 76 Statio 28903 Ra Temecula	n 6519 ancho Ca a, Califor	ıliforni nia	a Road	d		DATE DRILLED: Novemb LOGGED BY: Lonnell APPROVED BY: Gary J. DRILLING CO.: Boart Lo	er 28- [Griffith McCue ngyear	Decemb	per 2, 2001
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:20 feetTOTAL DEPTH:181.5 feetDESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
						- 	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand, some coarse grained sand, trace fine grained sand, non-cohesive	SP		
2.5 4.7					-	- - - - -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel, some medium grained sand, non-cohesive	SP		
3.8					-	— 109 - - - - - 110 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with course grained sand with gravel, non-cohesive Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel, some cobbles, some medium grained sand	SP SP		
	1	ND<2.0			-	- - - 111 - - -				
2.7 0.6					-	— 112 - - - - 113 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, trace gravel	SP		
3.4					-	- - - -				
2.5					-	— 115 - - - - 116	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, some coarse grained sand	SP		
4.5					-	- 	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, trace gravel Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, trace silt some coarse grained sand trace gravel	SP 		
					-	- 118 - - - - 119 -				
2.7 5.9					-	- - - - - -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand, some coarse grained sand with fine grained sand	SP		
7.4						- 121 - - - - - - 122	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand, trace gravel	SP		
6.7						- 123 - - - - 124				
18.3 5.9					-	- - - - 125 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, fine and medium grained sand, trace silt	SP		
					-	- 	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand with coarse grained sand	SP		
11.1 12.2					-	- - - - 128 -				$\begin{array}{c c} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{v}} & \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{V}} \\ \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{V}} & \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{V}} \end{array}$
9.6					-	- 129 - - 130	Silt and Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand, some clay, cohesive, non-plastic	ML		
3.7					-	- - - - 131 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand, some fine grained sand, some silt	SP		
TR	C	NU<2.0			LC	- - 132 DG O	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand F EXPLORATORY BORING	SP MW-2 PAGE 5	2 4C OF 7	

PROJECT NO.: LOCATION:	600121 76 Station 6519 28903 Rancho Temecula, Cali) California F fornia	Road		DATE DRILLED: No LOGGED BY: Lo APPROVED BY: Gi DRILLING CO.: Bo	ovember 28- D onnell Griffith ary J. McCue oart Longyear	ecemb	per 2, 2001
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg) MTBE groundwater	Silt Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:20 feetTOTAL DEPTH:181.5 feetDESCRIPTION	nscs	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
4.3 7.6				- - - - 133 -	with gravel			
				- 134 - -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand, some coarse graine	ed SP		
5.0				— 135 - - - - 136	sand, some fine grained sand			
7.0				- - 137 	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained some gravel	sand, SP		
10.5				- 138 - -				
10.5				- 139 - - - - 140	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, cohesive, trace medium grained sand	SM		
9.7				- - 141 - -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained trace gravel	sand, SP		
9.9 13.4				- - 142 - -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained san trace silt Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel	nd, SP		
				- - - 144	Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, silt with gravel with fine grained sand Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel with media grained sand, trace fine grained sand, trace cobbles	um SP		
10.5 4.7				- - - 145 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained with fine grained sand, trace gravel	sand SP		
				- - - - - - 147				
13.5 11.8				- - - 148 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand, some fingrained sand, some gravel	ne SP		
				- 149 - -				
8.1				— 150 - - - - 151	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with silt with granon-cohesive	avel, SP		
24.9				- - 152 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel, with med grained sand, some cobbles, non-cohesive	ium SP		
16.6	ND<2.0			- 153 - -				
13.3				- 154 - - - 155 -				
9.4				- - - 156 -				
19.4 16.5				- - 157 - - - 158	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine graine sand, lowly cohesive	ed SP		
TR			LC	DG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-2 PAGE 6	4C of 7	

PROJE LOCAT	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Statio 28903 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ila, Califor	aliforni nia	a Roa	d		DATE DRILLED: November LOGGED BY: Lonnell Gri APPROVED BY: Gary J. Mc DRILLING CO.: Boart Long	28- D ffith Cue year)ecemb	er 2, 2001
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:20 feetTOTAL DEPTH:181.5 feetDESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
							- 159 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand, non-cohesive	SP		
	6.6 14.9						_ 160 _	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, some gravel	SP		
							161 				
	18.5						- 162 				
	16.9						- 163 -				
							- 164 - -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel, some cobbles, some medium grained sand	SP		
	8.5 11.1						- - -	Silt and Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, with fine grained sand, medium plasticity, cohesive	ML		
							— 166 - -				
	15.3						167 - -	Silty Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium grained sand, non-cohesive	SM		
	9.2						- 168 - -	sand	01		
							- 169 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand and medium grained sand	SP		
	9.9 4.7						- 170 -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, non-plastic, cohesive	SM		
							- 171 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand, some silt, non-cohesive	SP		
	9.1						172 - -		е п		
	8.4						- 173 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, trace silt, non-cohesive	SP		
							- 174 -				
	14.5 6.2						- 175 - -	Silty Sand, olive brown (2.5Y 4/4), wet, fine grained sand, some clay, non-plastic, semi-cohesive	SM		
							- 176 - -	Sand, olive brown (2.5Y 4/4), wet, medium grained sand with laminar coarse grained lenses with fine grained sand, non-cohesive	SP		
	4.7						- 177 -				
	5.8						- 178 	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand, trace fine grained sand, non-cohesive	SP		
							- 179 -				
	10.7						- 180 -				
			ND<2.0				- - 181 -				

TRC	LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-24C PAGE 7 OF 7
-----	---------------------------	-----------------------

PROJECT NO.: LOCATION:	600121 76 Station 6 29803 Rand Temecula, 6	6519 cho Calif California	ōrnia R a	oad			DATE DRILLED: LOGGED BY: APPROVED BY: DRILLING CO.:	October 25-27 Lonnell Griffith Gary J. McCu Boart Longyea	r, 2001 e r		
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	(ug/L) (ug/L)	Silt Content % Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	L DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD: Rotasonic SAMPLER TYPE: 6 - inch Core B DEPTH TO WATER: 19 feet TOTAL DEPTH: 113 feet DESCRIP Artificial fill, sand, tan (grayish brown 10YR 5/2), gravel	Carrel TION dry, fine grained sand with	silt, some	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL	
6.2					- 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 5	Sand, brown (10YR 3/2), dry, fine grained sand,	trace medium grained sand	d SM	1		× < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <
					- 6 - - - 7	becomes dark brown (10YR 3/3), some clay		SM			~ ~ ~ ~ ~
7.9 5.1					- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	becomes tan (10YR 5/3), some medium sand		SM	1		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
12.5 14.7					- - 10 - - 11	Clayey Sand, dark brown (10YR 3/2), slightly mo	ist, some medium grained	sand SC			
20.0					- - - - 12	Sand, dark brown (10YR 3/2), slightly moist, fine some silt	and medium grained sand	with clay, SC	;		
6.9					- 13 	Sand, tanish green (Gley 1 4/1), moist, medium g	grained sand, some silt	SF			~ ~ ~ ~
3.8					- 14 	Silty Sand, tanish green (2.5 Y 4/2), moist, trace	clay	SM			\$ \$ \$ \$
15.6					- 15 - - - 16	Fine Sand and Silt, brown (2.5Y 4/2), moist, very	fine grained sand, trace cl	ay SM			~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
16.2					- - 17	Silty Sand, brown (5Y 4/2), very moist, fine graine	ed sand, trace gravel, mica	a SM			
9.8					- 18 	Sand, green (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand	with silt, some medium gra	ained sand SN			~ ~ ~ ~
2.6					- 19	Sand, gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained san Sand, tan (5Y 5/3), wet, coarse grained sand, so grained sand	d, some medium grained s me medium grained sand,	some fine SF			2 2 2 2 2
9.7					20 21	Sand, gray green (Gley 1 3/1), wet, coarse graine	ed sand with medium grain	ed sand SV	V		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
7.0					- 22	Silt, green (Gley 1 3/1), moist, silt, some clay		MI	-		~ ~ ~ ~
7.8					- 23 -	Silt, green (Gley 1 3/1), moist, silt, some fine grai Clay, dark green (Gley 1 3/1), dry to moist, clay Silt dark green (Gley 1 3/1) moist silt some cla	ned sand with clay, slightly	/ plastic MI CL MI			~
					24	Clay, dark green (Gley 1 3/1), moist, clay, some s	, silt, low plasticity	CL			
5.3 6.7					25 26	Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, coarse grained Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, fine grained coarse grained sand	ined sand, some medium g d sand with medium graine	grained sand SF ad sand with SM			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TR	C			L	OG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING		MW- PAGE	25B 1 OF 5	¶ \/ [*] \] \/ ^V \	1

PROJEC LOCATI	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Stati 29803 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ila, Califor	aliforni nia	ia Roa	d		DATE DRILLED: October LOGGED BY: Lonnell APPROVED BY: Gary J. DRILLING CO.: Boart Lo	25-27, Griffith McCue ngyear	2001		
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:19 feetTOTAL DEPTH:113 feetDESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	CONS DE	WELL TRUCTION TAIL
							- 27	Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, coarse grained sand	SW ML			
	4.3 14.3							Sand, green (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, sant, some mice grained sand, hori-plastic mice sand, green (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, some mice	sw			
							 29					
	2.5						30	Sand, green (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand, some medium grained sand	SP			
	3.2						- - - 31					
							-					
	1.6						- 32 - -	Sand green (Glev 1.5/1) wet coarse grained sand some medium grained sand well	SP			
	1.8						- 33	rounded, trace gravel				
								Sand, green (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand, trace gravel	SP			
	3.9						- - - 35					
	4.7						-	Sand, green (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel Silt, dark green (Gley 1 5/1), moist, silt, some medium grained sand, some fine grained	ML			
							— 36 - -	Sand, some clay, non-plastic Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, coarse grained sand, some medium grained sand some gravel	sw			
	4.6						- 37 -	Sand, Some graver				
	2.0						_ 38	Sand, green gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, coarse grained sand, gravely, well rounded	SW			
							- - 39 - -					
	6.0 1.3			40.00	0.01	40.00	— 40 -	Sand and Silt, dark green (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, mica, non-plastic	SM			
				42.88	0.21	49.09	- 41 -					
							42	Silty Sand, dark green (Gley 1 3/1), moist, fine grained sand, non-plastic	SM			
	10.7 6.0			57.31	10.17	67.48	- 43	Silty Sand, dark green (Gley 1 3/1), moist to wet, fine grained sand, mica	SM			
							-					
							- 44 - -	Sand dark groop (Clay 1.2/1) wat madium and scores grained and trace fine				
	20.4 11.8						- - 45 -	grained sand, well rounded	01			
							- 46					
							_ 					
	4.4						-					
	3.7						- 48 -					
							- - 49					
	2.3						- 	Sand dark green (Glev 1.5/1) wet coarse grained cand with group! well rounded	SP			
	8.4						_ _ 	Sand, dank green (Sicy + or r), wet, warse grained sand with gravel, well founded				
							-					
	4.2						- 52 -					
								Sand, dark green (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained and coarse grained sand	∣sp MW-2	25B	V \]	
		5				L(JG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	PAGE 2	OF 5		

PROJECT NO.: LOCATION:	600121 76 Statio 29803 R Temecu	on 6519 ancho Ca la, Califor	aliforni mia	a Roa	d		DATE DRILLED: October LOGGED BY: Lonnell (APPROVED BY: Gary J. I DRILLING CO.: Boart Lon	25-27, ≩riffith ∕IcCue ngyear	2001	
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:19 feetTOTAL DEPTH:113 feetDESCRIPTION	nscs	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
 m m	ND<0.005 ND<0.005		 ioi 46.7 29.3 65.99 	0 7.48 3.57 13.3	ioi 54.18 32.86 79.28	-53 -54 -55 -56 -57 -58 -59 -60 -61 -62 -63 -64 -65 -67 -68 -70 -71 -72 -71 -72 -74 -77 -74 -77 -77 -77 -77	Sand, dark green (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand and medium grained sand Sand, dark green (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand and medium grained sand, well rounded Silt, dark green (Gley 1 5/1), moist, silt with clay, tough, non-plastic Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, non-plastic Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, non-plastic Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, non-plastic Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, non-plastic Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand with fine grained sand Silt, dark green (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand Sand, dark green (Gley 1 2.5/1), wet, fine grained sand with fine grained sand Sand, dark green (Gley 1 3.1/1), wet, fine grained sand with clay, non-plastic Sand, dark green (Gley 1 3.1/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, trace clay Sand, dark green (Gley 1 3.1/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, trace clay Sand, dark green (Gley 1 3.1/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, trace clay Sand, dark green (Gley 1 3.1/1), wet, fine	SP SP ML SM SM SP SP SP SP SP SM SM SM SM SM SM SM SM SM SP SP SP SP SP SP SP SP SP SP SP SP SP		
TR	5				LC	DG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-2 PAGE 3	2 5B OF 5	

PROJE(LOCAT	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Static 29803 R Temecu	on 6519 lancho Ca la, Califor	aliforni mia	ia Roa	ıd		DATE DRILLED: October 2 LOGGED BY: Lonnell Gr APPROVED BY: Gary J. Mo DRILLING CO.: Boart Long	5-27, iffith cCue gyear	2001		
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:19 feetTOTAL DEPTH:113 feetDESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	CONS	WELL STRUCTION ETAIL
							- - 80	Sand, gray green (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand	SP			
	5.8						- - 81		<u>ер</u>			
	4.2						- - - 92	Sand, gray green (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, some cobbles, well rounded	J			
	5 1						- - -					
	7.3						83 	Sand, gray green (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand, some gravel, with medium grained sand	SP			
							- 84 - -					
	9.6 3.5						- 85 -	Sand, gray green (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand, some medium grained sand, well rounded	SP			
		ND<0.005					- 86 -					
							- - 87	Silty Clay, gray green (Gley 1 4/1), wet, clay, trace fine grained sand, semi-plastic	CL			
	3.4 9.3	ND<0.005		61.77	12.48	74.24	- - 88		м			
		ND <0.000					- - -	Clayey Slit, gray green (Gley 1 4/1), wet, slit, trace of fine grained sand, slightly plastic				
	19			49.02	10.93	59.95	- - -	Silty Sand, gray green (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand, very dense, non-plastic	SM			
	11.5	ND<0.005		51.12	10.47	61.59	90 	Clay, dark green (Gley 1 4/1), moist, clay with silt	CL			
				18 02	11 28	60.2	— 91 - -					
	10.7			40.02	11.20	00.2	- 92 	Clay, dark green (Gley 1 4/1), moist, clay, some tine grained sand, very low plastic				
	8.6						- 93 -	Clayey Silt, dark green (Gley 1 4/1), moist, silt, non-plastic	ML			
							- - 94	Clayey Silt, dark green (Gley 1 4/1), moist, silt, low-medium plasticity	ML			
	2.5						- - - 95	Silky Clay, dark groop (Clay 1.2(1) wat alow troop find grained good, medium plastic	CI			
	10.1						- - - - 96	Sity Clay, dark green (Gley 1 5/1), wet, clay, trace the grained sand, medium plastic	UL			
							-					
	14.1						97 - -					
	9.0						- 98 - -					
							- 99 -					
	3.7 11.1						- 100 -					
		ND<0.005					- - 101					
				74.25	12.52	86.77	- - - 102				<u> </u>	00000 00000
	10.7 10.1						- - - 103	Clayey Silt, dark green (Gley 1 3/1), moist, silt, non-plastic	ML		<u> </u>	00000 00000
							-	Silt, dark green (Gley 1 3/1), moist to dry, silt with clay, non-plastic	ML		-00000 20000	-0000 00000
	10.2						- 104 - -	Clayey Silt, dark green (Gley 1 3/1), moist, silt with very fine sand	ML		20000 20000	X0000
	24.2	ND<0.005					— 105 - -	Silty Sand, dark green, (Gley 1 4/1), moist, fine grained sand with clay	SM			
	R	5				LC	DG O	PF EXPLORATORY BORING	W-2 AGE 4	2 5B OF 5		

PROJECT NO.: LOCATION:	600121 76 Statio 29803 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ia, Califor	aliforni nia	a Roa	d		DATE DRILLED: October 25 LOGGED BY: Lonnell Gri APPROVED BY: Gary J. Mo DRILLING CO.: Boart Long	i-27, 2 ffith ∕Cue year	2001	
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:19 feetTOTAL DEPTH:113 feetDESCRIPTION	nscs	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
14.5 9.9 8.0 11.3 15.0	ND<0.005		*	*	7.61	- 106 - 107 - 107 - 108 - 109 - 109 - 110 - 111 - 111 - 112 - 113	Sand, dark green, (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand Sand, gray green (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand Sand, gray green (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, well rounded	SP		

TRC	LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-25B PAGE 5 OF 5

PROJECT NO LOCATION:	 b.: 600121 76 Station 6519 28903 Rancho C Temecula, Califo 	alifornia Road Irnia	DATE DRILLED: LOGGED BY: APPROVED BY: DRILLING CO.:	December 3-8, 2001 Lonnell Griffith Gary McCue Boart Longyear	
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg) MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content % Clay Content % Silt and Clay Content % OEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD: Rotasonic SAMPLER TYPE: 6 - inch Core Barrel DEPTH TO WATER: 22 feet TOTAL DEPTH: 209 feet DESCRIPTION Fill, sand, olive brown (2.5Y 4/4), moist, medium and fine grained sand, some sand)	NOO Silt Solo	WELL STRUCTION IETAIL
5.0		- 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6	No recovery Sand, olive brown (2.5Y 4/3), dry, fine sand with silt with medium grained sand, gravel	some SM	
9.4 10.6		- 7	Silt, light olive brown (3.5Y 3/4), dry, silt and fine grained sand, some clay		
24.3		- 	Silt, very dark olive brown (2.5Y 3/2), dry, silt with clay with fine and medium gr sand, low plasticity	ained ML	
6.1		- 11	trace coarse grained sand		
12.7 17.1		- 12 - - - - 13 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 6/2), dry, medium grained sand and fine grained s trace gravel	and, SP	
18.6		- 14 	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), dry, fine grained sand with medium grained s silt, trace clay, non-cohesive	and with SP	
7.1		- 15 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Sand, olive brown (2.5Y 4/3), dry, fine grained sand with silt with medium grained	ed sand SM	
16.0			Sand, dark olive brown (2.5Y 3/1), dry, fine grained sand with silt		
10.6		- 18 - - - - 19	Silt, very dark gray (2.5Y 3/1), damp, silt with clay with fine grained sand, non-p non-cohesive	plastic, ML	
11 5			Sand, olive brown (2.5Y 4/3), dry, fine grained sand with medium grained sand silt	, some SP	
13.0		- 20	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium grai sand, trace silt		
		21	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine sand, some silt, trace gravel	e grained SP	
12.6	ND<2.0				
7.2			sand, dark greenish gray (Grey 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand, some fine gr sand, some coarse grained sand, trace gravel		
9.3 11.7			Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, trace content of the sand dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1) wet, coarse grained sand with modium of the sand dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1) wet, coarse grained sand with modium of the sand dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1) wet, coarse grained sand with modium of the sand dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1) wet, coarse grained sand with modium of the sand dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1) wet, sand dark gray (Gley 1 4/1) wet, sand dark gray (Gley 1 4/1) wet, sand da	lay,/ SP VVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVV	
			Sand Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with very fine sand, some cla	y, ML	
TR	G	LOG	OF EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-26C PAGE 1 OF 8	<u> </u>

PROJEC LOCATI	CT NO.: ON:	600121 76 Statio 28903 R Temecu	on 6519 ancho Ca la, Califor	aliforni mia	ia Road	d		DATE DRILLED: Decem LOGGED BY: Lonnell APPROVED BY: Gary M DRILLING CO.: Boart Lo	oer 3-8, Griffith cCue ngyear	2001	
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:22 feetTOTAL DEPTH:209 feetDESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
	1.0					-	- 27	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand, some fine grained sand, trace gravel	SP		
	4.0						- 28 - - - - - 29 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, trace coarse grained sand	SP		
	9.9 10.9					-	- - 30 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand and medium grained sand, trace silt, cohesive	SP		
						-	- - 31 -				
	10.8					-	- 	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand with fine grained sand	SP		
	11.2					-	- 33 - - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1.4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained	SP		
	12.8					-	34 - - - 35	sand with gravel			
	5.3					-	- - - - 36	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, some gravel, trace fine grained sand	SP		
	- 0					-	- - - - 37				
	5.8 4.5					-	- - 38 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand, some fine grained sand	SP		
						-	- - 39 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, some gravel	SP		
	5.3 9.8						- - - 41	Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt and fine grained sand, some clay, non-plastic, cohesive			
	17.2					-	- - 42 -	Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, trace clay, cohesive, non-plastic	SM		
	10.9					-	- - 43 - -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, some silt, non-cohesive	SP		
	13.0					-	— 44 - -	sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 13/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium grained sand, trace silt, non-cohesive	Gim		
	8.0					-	- 45 - - - 46	Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, some clay, trace medium grained sand	SM		
	12.2		ND<2.0			-	- - - - 47	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium and fine grained sand with silt Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium grained sand, some silt	SM SM		
	7.3					-	- - - - 48	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium and fine grained sand, some silt Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, some medium	SM SM		
	18.7 2.2						- - - - - - -	grained sand, trace clay			
							— 50 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, trace silt	SP		
	1.8 11.6						51 - - - - - 52 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand, some silt, some medium grained sand Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, trace silt, trace gravel, trace coarse grained sand	SM SP		
	R	5		-		LC)g o	F EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-2 PAGE 2	26C OF 8	

PROJE LOCAT	CT NO.: 'ION:	600121 76 Statio 28903 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ia, Califoi	aliforn rnia	ia Roa	d		DATE DRILLED: LOGGED BY: APPROVED BY: DRILLING CO.:	December 3- Lonnell Griffi Gary McCue Boart Longye	-8, 2 th ear	2001		
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:22 feetTOTAL DEPTH:209 feetDESCRIPTION		USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	V CONS DE	VELL TRUCTION TAIL
	6.4 9.8						- 53 - 54	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand, some fi sand, trace gravel	ne grained S	SP			
	7.5 4.6					-	- 55	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium sand, some silt	grained	SM			
						-	- 56	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine sand, trace coarse grained sand	grained	SP			
	4.7 9.2					-	- 57 - 58	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sat fine grained sand Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine sand, some silt	and, some	SP SP			
						-	- 59	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coa sand, some gravel, trace fine grained sand	rse grained	SP			
	19.5					-	- 60	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine sand, some coarse grained sand Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, sli	ghtly	SP SM			
	4.1					-	- 61	cohesive					
						-	- 62	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand and medi sand Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand, s	um grained	SP ML			
	9.2 9.4						- 63	slightly plastic, cohesive Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand, some c grained sand, some fine grained sand	oarse	SP			
							- 64	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand, t	race gravel	SP			
	8.5 7.9						- 65 - 66 - 67	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grain trace silt	ned sand,	SP			
	6.6 6.8					-	- 68						\vee^{\vee} \vee^{\vee} \vee^{\vee} \vee^{\vee} \vee^{\vee}
						-	- 69	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium g some gravel, trace fine grained sand	rained sand,	SP			
	7.1 3.8					-	- 70	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand, s	some gravel	SP			
						-	- 71						
	8.9 6.6					-	- 72 - 73	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grain trace silt Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium g some gravel	rained sand,	SP SP			
						-	- 74						
	6.9 3.7					-	- 75	Sand, dark greensih gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand, some c grained sand, some fine grained sand, trace silt	oarse	SP			
							- 76						
	3.9 5.6						- 77 - 78	Sand, gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sa silt, some gravel	nd, some	SP			
						-	- 79		A 1 A 3		60		
	R	5				LO	G O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	PAG	v-2 E 3 (OF 8		

PROJEC LOCATI	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Stat 28903 Temec	ion 6519 Rancho Ca ula, Califo	aliforni rnia	ia Roa	ad		DATE DRILLED: Decem LOGGED BY: Lonnell APPROVED BY: Gary M DRILLING CO.: Boart L	ber 3-8, Griffith lcCue ongyear	2001		
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:22 feetTOTAL DEPTH:209 feetDESCRIPTION	nscs	GRAPHIC LOG	CONS	WELL STRUCTION ETAIL
	11.5						- - - 80	Sand, gray (Glev 1.5/1) wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand, some	SP			
	4.1						_ _ 81	fine grained sand Sand, gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, some	SP			
			ND<2.0				- - -	fine grained sand				
	3.6						- 02	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand Sand, dark greensih gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand, trace	SP SP			
	5.5						- 83 - - - 81	fine grained sand				
	4.7						- 04 - -	Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand with clay,	ML			
	2.5						- 85 -	Sand, very dark gray (Gley 1 3/), wet, coarse grained sand with gravel, some medium grained sand	SP			
							- 86 -	Offer Orandi users dada areas (Olas 4.00) usets fina presidented taxan also all'intella	SM			
	5.0						- 87	Silty Sand, very dark gray (Gley 1 3/), wet, fine grained sand, trace clay, slightly cohesive	3141			
	6.2			64.24	9.55	73.79	- 88 -	Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand, some clay, cohesive, non-plastic Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand with	ML SW			
							- - - 89	gravel, some fine grained sand				
	4.9						- - - 90		SP			
	3.1						- - - - 91	cobbles				
							- - - - 92	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine and medium grined sand, trace silt	SP			
	6.7 4.6						- - - - 93	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand, some fine grained sand	SP			
							- 94 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand, some coarse grained sand, some fine grained sand	SP			
	6.2 3.2						- 95 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, some coarse grained sand, some gravel, trace silt	SP			
							- 96 -					
	1.6						- 97	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium grained sand, some silt, trace coarse grained sand	SP			
	5.6						- 98 -					
							- 99	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand, some gravel, some fine grained sand	SP			
	4.1						- - 100	Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand, some clay, cohesive, low plasticity	ML		\vee^{\vee} \vee^{\vee} \vee^{\vee}	
	2.6						- - - 101	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, some medium grained sand, cohesive Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand w	SP			
	5.8						- 102 - -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium and fine grained sand, some silt, trace clay, cohesive, non-plastic	SM			
	0.U						- 103 - - -	Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, some clay, non-plastic, slightly cohesive	SM			
							- 104 - -					
	8.7 9.1						105 	Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, some medium grained sand, trace clay, non-plastic, slightly cohesive	SM			
	R	5				L	OG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-2 PAGE 4	26C OF 8		

PROJECT LOCATIOI	" NO.: N:	600121 76 Stati 28903 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca ula, Califor	aliforn mia	ia Roa	ad		DATE DRILLED: Dec LOGGED BY: Lon APPROVED BY: Gar DRILLING CO.: Boa	ember 3-8, nell Griffith y McCue rt Longyear	2001		
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:22 feetTOTAL DEPTH:209 feetDESCRIPTION	nscs	GRAPHIC LOG	W CONST DET	ELL RUCTION AIL
<u> </u>	0.2 .1 7.2 .9		ND<2.0				- 106 - 107 - 107 - 108 - 109 - 110 - 111 - 111 - 112 - 112	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt with med grained sand, non-cohesive Silt, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), moist, silt with clay, some gravel, some fine grained sand, cohesive, medium plastic Silty Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), moist, fine grained sand, non-cohesive, non-plastic, trace clay Sand, very dark gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, cohesive, some medium grained sand, non-plastic, cemented Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium and fine grained sand, non-cohesive Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, some medium grained sand, cohesive, cemented	lium SM ML SM			
3. 11 6.	.7 1.3 .1						- 113 - 114 - 114 - 115 - 115 - 116	Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, trace clay, non-plastic, non-cohesive Sandy Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp, fine grained sand, some cla cohesive, non-plastic	y, ML			
8. 7.	.6 .5						- - 117 - 118 - 118	Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp, fine grained sand, lowly cohesive Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp, silt with clay, cohesive, some fine grained sand, low platsitcity Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp, fine grained sand, trace clay	ML y, SM			
7. 7.	.0 .1						- 119 - 120 - 121 - 121	Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, lowly coher non-plastic, some clay	sive, SM			
10 8.	0.2 .0						- 122 - 122 - 123 - 123 - 124	Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand with clay, cohesive, low plasticity Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, trace clay, cohesive	ML SM			
9.	.8 .8						- 125 - 126 - 127	Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand, some clay non-plastic, cohesive Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, trace media grained sand, lowly cohesive	, ML um SM			
3.	.6						- 128 - 128 - 129	Silt and Clay, year, dark greenish grou (Clay 1.3/1), down, modium plastic, ask-stic	MI			
6. 5.	.6 .4						- 130 - 131 - 131 - 132	Clayey Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt, cohesive, medium plastic Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, trace cla non-plastic, cohesive Clayey Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist, silt, cohesive, medium plastic	ay, ML tic ML			
		5				L	OG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	IVIVV-2	20U 5 OF 8		

PROJEC	CT NO.: ON:	600121 76 Stati 28903 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ila, Califor	aliforni rnia	ia Roa	d	1	DATE DRILLED: Dec LOGGED BY: Lon APPROVED BY: Gar DRILLING CO.: Boa	ember 3-8, nell Griffith y McCue rt Longyear	2001	
BLOWS PER 6 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:22 feetTOTAL DEPTH:209 feetDESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
	8.29.44.64.0						- 133 - 134 - 134 - 135	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, Clayey Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), moist, silt, cohesive, medium plas Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), damp, fine grained sand, some cla non-cohesive to lowly cohesive	tic ML y, SM		
	8.8 15.1						- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, non-cohes non-plastic	ve, SM		
	11.7 9.1						- - 138 - - - 139	Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, trace clay, non-plastic, lowly cohesive	SM		
	7.6						- - - - 140	Silty Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained sand, some clay, lowly to semi cohesive, non-plastic Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained	SM SP		
	7.3 9.0		ND<2.0				- - 141 - -	Silty Clay, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, trace fine grained sand, cohes medium plastic	ive, CL		
	7.5 6.3			67.45	15.14	82.59	- 142 - - - 143 -	Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, silt with fine grained sand, cohesive, plasticity	low ML		> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
	12.6						- 144 - - - 145	Sandy Silt, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, fine grained silt, some clay, cohesive, non-plastic	ML		00 00 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000
	10.7						- - - - 146	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand, some coars grained sand, trace fine grained sand, some silt, some fine grained sand	e SP		
	71						- - 147 -	grained sand, trace fine grained sand			
	11.2						- - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Sand, very dark greenish gray (Gley 1 3/1), wet, medium grained sand, some fine grained sand, some coarse grained sand	SP		
	4.6 2.7						- 150 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grain sand, some fine grained sand	ed SP		
							- 151 - -	Sand, very dark gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained cemented sand, some silt, cohesive	SP		
	3.6 5.1						- 152 - - - 153	Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, some gravel	SP		
							- - 154 -	Sand, dark gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium and coarse grained sand, some fine gra sand, non-cohesive	ined SP		
	4.5 4.3						- - - - - - - 156	Silt, very dark gray (Gley 1 3/), wet, silt with coarse grained sand, cohesive, non-pla Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grain sand, some fine grained sand, some gravel	ed ML SP		
	7.6		7.0				_ 157 _	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grain sand, some clay, some gravel	ed SP		
	8.9	I					- 158 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grain sand with grave	ed SP		
	R	C				L	DG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	MW- PAGE (26C 6 OF 8	

PROJE(LOCAT	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Statio 28903 F Temecu	on 6519 Rancho Ca Ila, Califor	aliforni mia	a Roa	d		DATE DRILLED: Decem LOGGED BY: Lonnell APPROVED BY: Gary M DRILLING CO.: Boart Lo	ber 3-8, 2 Griffith cCue ongyear	2001	
BLOWS PER 3 INCHES	(mqq) MVO	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD:RotasonicSAMPLER TYPE:6 - inch Core BarrelDEPTH TO WATER:22 feetTOTAL DEPTH:209 feetDESCRIPTION	USCS	GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
							- 159 -	sand with gravel			
	6.3 10.2						160 161	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand, some medium grained sand, some gravel, some silt	SP		
	10.8 10.6						- - 162 - - 163	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, some silt, lowly cemented, slightly cohesive	SP		
							- - 164 -	Silty Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium grained sand, non-cohesive	SM		
	17.3 11.7						- - 165 - -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium grained sand, some silt	SP		
							166 167	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand, some fine grained sand, some silt	SP		
	16.5 18.1						- - 168 -	Silty Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium grained sand, non-cohesive	SM		××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××
	16.1						- - 169 - - 170				
	2.6						- - - - - - 171	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium grained sand, some silt, non-cohesive	SP		
	4.8 7.1						- 172 - - - 173	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand with silt, some medium grained sand, trace coarse grained sand, trace gravel, non-cohesive	SP		
	10.2						- - 174 - - - 175				
	8.6						- - 176 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse and medium grained sand, some fine grained sand, trace gravel	54		
	6.1 8.0						- 177 - - - 178 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand	SP		
	51						- - - 179 - -				
	8.9						- 180 - - - - 181 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, some coarse grained sand, trace silt	SP		
	8.5						- 182	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, some fine grained sand	SP		
							- 183 - - - - 184 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, fine grained sand with medium grained sand, some coarse grained sand, some silt	SM		******** ****************************
	R	6				L) DG O	F EXPLORATORY BORING	MW-2 PAGE 7	6C 0F 8	

PROJEC	CT NO.: ION:	600121 76 Stati	on 6519	alifo mai		al		DATE DRILLED: December LOGGED BY: Lonnell G APPROVED BY: Gary Mod	er 3-8, 2 Griffith	2001	
		Temecu	la, Califor	nia	a Rua	u		DRILLING CO.: Boart Lor	igyear		
BLOWS PER 5 INCHES	OVM (ppm)	MTBE soil (mg/kg)	MTBE groundwater (ug/L)	Silt Content %	Clay Content %	Silt and Clay Content %	DEPTH (feet)	DRILLING METHOD: Rotasonic SAMPLER TYPE: 6 - inch Core Barrel DEPTH TO WATER: 22 feet TOTAL DEPTH: 209 feet DESCRIPTION		GRAPHIC LOG	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAIL
	11.1					0)	— 185 -	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained	SP		
	0.0		ND<2.0				- - 186 - - - 187	Sand, dark greenish gray (Gley 1 4/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, some fine grained sand, trace silt	SP		
	2.4 6.1						- - - 188 - - - - 189	Sand, gray (Gley 1 5/), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, some silt, trace coarse grained sand	SP SP		
	8.8						- - - 190	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/2), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, some gravel, trace fine grained sand			
	13.3						- 191	some gravel, trace fine grained sand			
	12.0 9.8						- 192 - - - 193	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand, some fine grained sand, trace silt	SP		
							- - 194	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand,	SP		
	8.2						- - 195	some gravel, trace slit			
	5.5						- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -				
	6.5 6.2						-	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, trace silt	SP		
							- 198 - - - - - 199 - -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand with gravel, some fine grained sand, trace silt	SP		
	4.6						- 200 - - - 201 -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, some fine grained sand, some gravel, trace silt	SP		
	2.5 3.4						- 202	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with coarse grained sand, some fine grained sand	SP		
							- 203 - - - - 204 - -	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, coarse grained sand with medium grained sand, some gravel, some fine grained sand	SP		
	4.4 4.6						- 205 206	Sand, greenish gray (Gley 1 5/1), wet, medium grained sand with fine grained sand, some coarse grained sand, trace gravel	SP		
	12.8						- - 207 - - - - 208		_		
			ND<2.0				209				

|--|



Site Assessment Report 76 Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temcula, California August 28, 2002

APPENDIX D

DRILLER'S CPT REPORTS

PRESENTATION OF CONE PENETRATION TEST DATA

UNOCAL #6519

TEMECULA, CALIFORNIA

Prepared for:

TRC San Diego, California

Prepared by:

GREGG IN SITU, INC. Signal Hill, California 01-124sh

Prepared on:

May 21, 2001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

2.0 FIELD EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES

3.0 CONE PENETRATION TEST DATA & INTERPRETATION

3.1 CPT PLOTS

3.2 PORE PRESSURE DISIPATION PLOTS

APPENDIX

- Figure 1 Piezocone Figure
- Figure 2 Groundwater Sampler
- Figure 3 Piston Type Soil Sampler
- Figure 4 PPDT Correlation Figure
- Figure 5 Soil Classification Chart
- References

ATTACHMENTS

- Computer Diskette with ASCII Files

PRESENTATION OF CONE PENETRATION TEST DATA

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of a Cone Penetration Testing (CPT) and in situ soil & groundwater sampling program carried out at the UNOCAL #6519 site located in Temecula, CA. The work was performed from May 10th, to May 17th, 2001. The scope of work was performed as directed by TRC personnel.

2.0 FIELD EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES

The Cone Penetration Tests (CPT) were carried out by GREGG IN SITU, INC. of Signal Hill, CA using an integrated electronic cone system. The CPT soundings were performed in accordance with ASTM standards (D3441). A 20 ton capacity cone was used for all of the soundings (figure 1). This cone has a tip area of 15 sq.cm. and friction sleeve area of 225 sq.cm. The cone is designed with an equal end area friction sleeve and a tip end area ratio of 0.85.

The cones used during the program recorded the following parameters at 5 cm depth intervals:

- Tip Resistance (Qc)
- Sleeve Friction (Fs)
- Dynamic Pore Pressure (Ut)

The above parameters were printed simultaneously on a printer and stored on a computer diskette for future analysis and reference.

The pore water pressure element was located directly behind the cone tip. The pore water pressure element was 5.0 mm thick and consisted of porous plastic. Each of the elements were saturated in silicon oil under vacuum pressure prior to penetration. Pore pressure dissipations were recorded at 5 second intervals when appropriate during pauses in the penetration.

A complete set of baseline readings was taken prior to each sounding to determine temperature shifts and any zero load offsets. Monitoring base line readings ensures that the cone electronics are operating properly.

The cones were pushed using GREGG IN SITU's CPT rig, having a down pressure capacity of approximately 25 tons. 9 CPT soundings were performed. The penetration tests were carried to depths of approximately 52 to 97 feet below ground surface. Test locations and depths were determined in the field by TRC personnel.

GREGG IN SITU, INC. May 21, 2001 01-124sh

TRC UNOCAL #6519 Temecula, Ca.

In situ groundwater samples were taken at 7 Locations. Groundwater samples were collected using the Hydropunch groundwater sampling system (figure 2). The Hydropunch operates by pushing 1.75 diameter hollow rods with a retrievable tip. A stainless steel filter screen is attached to the tip. At the desired sampling depth, the rods are retracted exposing the filter screen and allowing for groundwater infiltration. A small diameter bailer is then used to collect groundwater samples through the hollow rod.

Soil samples were taken using a piston type soil sampler (figure 3). The soil samples were collected in approximately 1 1/8 inch diameter stainless steel sample rings.

The CPT/Hydropunch holes were grouted using our support rig. The grouting procedure consists of pushing a hollow CPT rod with a "knock out" plug back down the hole to the test hole termination depth. Grout is then pumped under pressure as the tremie pipe is pulled from the hole.

3.0 CONE PENETRATION TEST DATA & INTERPRETATION

The cone penetration test data is presented in graphical form. Penetration depths are referenced to existing ground surface. This data includes CPT logs of measured soil parameters and a computer tabulation of interpreted soil types along with additional geotechnical parameters and pore pressure dissipation data.

The stratigraphic interpretation is based on relationships between cone bearing (Qc), sleeve friction (Fs), and penetration pore pressure (Ut). The friction ratio (Rf), which is sleeve friction divided by cone bearing, is a calculated parameter which is used to infer soil behavior type. Generally, cohesive soils (clays) have high friction ratios, low cone bearing and generate large excess pore water pressures. Cohesionless soils (sands) have lower friction ratios, high cone bearing and generate little in the way of excess pore water pressures.

Pore Pressure Dissipation Tests (PPDT's) were taken at various intervals in order to measure hydrostatic water pressures and approximate depth to groundwater table. In addition, the PPDT data can be used to estimate the horizontal permeability (k_h) of the soil. The correlation to permeability is based on the time required for 50 percent of the measured dynamic pore pressure to dissipate (t_{50}). The PPDT correlation figure (figure 4) is provided in the Appendix.

GREGG IN SITU, INC. May 21, 2001 01-124sh TRC UNOCAL #6519 Temecula, Ca.

The interpretation of soils encountered on this project was carried out using recent correlations developed by Robertson et al, 1998. It should be noted that it is not always possible to clearly identify a soil type based on Qc, Fs and Ut. In these situations, experience and judgement and an assessment of the pore pressure dissipation data should be used to infer the soil behavior type. The soil classification chart (chart 1) used to interpret soil types based on Qc and Rf is provided in the Appendix.

We hope the information presented is sufficient for your purposes. We recommend that all data be carefully reviewed by qualified personnel to verify the data and make appropriate recommendations. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office at (562) 427-6899.

Sincerely, GREGG IN SITU, INC.

Brian Savela

Operations Manager

3.1 CPT PLOTS











(14) High








3.2 PORE PRESSURE DISSIPATION PLOTS

































APPENDIX

,

ELECTRICAL PIEZOCONE





GROUNDWATER SAMPLER (HYDROPUNCH)





Push to Desired Sample Depth Push to **Obtain Soil** Sample

PISTON TYPE SOIL SAMPLER

Figure 3

PPDT CORRELATION



SOIL CLASSIFICATION CHART



After Robertson and Campenella

Figure 5

REFERENCES

- Robertson, P.K. and Campanella, R.G. and Wightman, A., 1983 "SPT-CPT Correlations", Journal of the Geotechnical Division, ASCE, Vol. 109, No. GT11, Nov., pp. 1449-1460.
- Robertson, P.K. and Wride C.E., 1998 "Evaluating Cyclic Liquefaction Potential Using The Cone Penetration Test", Journal of Geotechnical Division, Mar. 1998, pp. 442-459.
- Robertson, P.K. and Campanella, R.G., Gillespie, D. and Grieg, J., 1986, "Use of Piezometer Cone Data", Proceedings of In Situ 86, ASCE Specialty Conference, Blacksburg, Virginia.
- Robertson, P.K. and Campanella, R.G., 1988, "Guidelines for Use, Interpretation and Application of the CPT and CPTU", UBC, Soil Mechanics Series No. 105, Civil Eng. Dept., Vancouver, B.C., V6T 1W5, Canada; also available from Hogentogler and Co., P.O. Box 385, Gaithersburg, MD 20877, 3rd Edition, 197 pp.
- Robertson, P.K., Campanella, R.G., Gillespie, D. and Rice, A., 1986, "Seismic CPT to Measure In Situ Shear Wave Velocity", Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 112, No. 8, pp. 791-803.

PRESENTATION OF CONE PENETRATION TEST DATA

MURRIETA CREEK

TEMECULA, CALIFORNIA

Prepared for:

TRC/ALTON GEOSCIENCE San Diego, California

Prepared by:

GREGG IN SITU, INC. Signal Hill, California 01-297sh

Prepared on:

November 8, 2001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

2.0 FIELD EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES

3.0 CONE PENETRATION TEST DATA & INTERPRETATION

3.1 CPT PLOTS

3.2 PORE PRESSURE DISSIPATION PLOTS

APPENDIX

- Figure 1 Piezocone Figure
- Figure 2 Groundwater Sampler
- Figure 3 PPDT Correlation Figure
- Figure 4 Soil Classification Chart
- References

ATTACHMENTS

- Computer Diskette with ASCII Files

PRESENTATION OF CONE PENETRATION TEST DATA

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of a Cone Penetration Testing (CPT) and in situ groundwater sampling program carried out at the Murrieta Creek site located in Temecula, CA. The work was performed on October 30 and 31, 2001. The scope of work was performed as directed by TRC/Alton Geoscience personnel.

2.0 FIELD EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES

The Cone Penetration Tests (CPT) were carried out by GREGG IN SITU, INC. of Signal Hill, CA using an integrated electronic cone system. The CPT soundings were performed in accordance with ASTM standards (D 5778-95). A 20 ton capacity cone was used for all of the soundings (figure 1). This cone has a tip area of 15 cm² and friction sleeve area of 225 cm². The cone is designed with an equal end area friction sleeve and a tip end area ratio of 0.85.

The cones used during the program recorded the following parameters at 5 cm depth intervals:

- Tip Resistance (qc)
- Sleeve Friction (fs)
- Dynamic Pore Pressure (U)

The above parameters were printed simultaneously on a printer and stored on a computer diskette for future analysis and reference.

The pore water pressure element was located directly behind the cone tip. The pore water pressure element was 5.0 mm thick and consisted of porous plastic. Each of the elements were saturated in silicon oil under vacuum pressure prior to penetration. Pore pressure dissipations were recorded at 5 second intervals when appropriate during pauses in the penetration.

A complete set of baseline readings was taken prior to each sounding to determine temperature shifts and any zero load offsets. Monitoring base line readings ensures that the cone electronics are operating properly.

The cones were pushed using GREGG IN SITU'S CPT rig, having a down pressure capacity of approximately 25 tons. Three CPT soundings were performed. The penetration tests were carried to depths of approximately 88 to 100 feet below ground surface. Test locations and depths were determined in the field by TRC/Alton personnel.

GREGG IN SITU, INC. November 8, 2001 01-297sh TRC/ALTON GEOSCIENCE Murrieta Creek Temecula, CA.

In situ groundwater samples were taken at three locations. Groundwater samples were collected using a Hydropunch® type groundwater sampling system (figure 2). The groundwater sampler operates by pushing 1.75 diameter hollow rods with a retrievable tip. A stainless steel filter screen is attached to the tip. At the desired sampling depth, the rods are retracted exposing the filter screen and allowing for groundwater infiltration. A small diameter bailer is then used to collect groundwater samples through the hollow rod.

The CPT/groundwater sample holes were grouted using our support rig. The grouting procedure consists of pushing a hollow CPT rod with a "knock out" plug back down the hole to the test hole termination depth. Grout is then pumped under pressure as the tremie pipe is pulled from the hole.

3.0 CONE PENETRATION TEST DATA & INTERPRETATION

The cone penetration test data is presented in graphical form. Penetration depths are referenced to existing ground surface. This data includes CPT logs of measured soil parameters and a computer tabulation of interpreted soil types along with additional geotechnical parameters and pore pressure dissipation data.

The stratigraphic interpretation is based on relationships between cone bearing (qc), sleeve friction (fs), and penetration pore pressure (U). The friction ratio (Rf), which is sleeve friction divided by cone bearing, is a calculated parameter which is used to infer soil behavior type. Generally, cohesive soils (clays) have high friction ratios, low cone bearing and generate large excess pore water pressures. Cohesionless soils (sands) have lower friction ratios, high cone bearing and generate little in the way of excess pore water pressures.

Pore Pressure Dissipation Tests (PPDT's) were taken at various intervals in order to measure hydrostatic water pressures and approximate depth to groundwater table. In addition, the PPDT data can be used to estimate the horizontal permeability (k_h) of the soil. The correlation to permeability is based on the time required for 50 percent of the measured dynamic pore pressure to dissipate (t_{50}). The PPDT correlation figure (figure 3) is provided in the Appendix.

The interpretation of soils encountered on this project was carried out using recent correlations developed by Robertson et al, 1988. It should be noted that it is not always possible to clearly identify a soil type based on qc, fs and U. In these situations, experience and judgement and an assessment of the pore pressure dissipation data

GREGG IN SITU, INC. November 8, 2001 01-297sh TRC/ALTON GEOSCIENCE Murrieta Creek Temecula, CA.

should be used to infer the soil behavior type. The soil classification chart (figure 4) used to interpret soil types based on qc and Rf is provided in the Appendix.

We hope the information presented is sufficient for your purposes. We recommend that all data be carefully reviewed by qualified personnel to verify the data and make appropriate recommendations. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office at (562) 427-6899.

Sincerely, GREGG IN SITU, INC.

Brian Savela Operations Manager

3.1 CPT PLOTS












3.2 PORE PRESSURE DISSIPATION PLOTS













APPENDIX

ELECTRICAL PIEZOCONE





GROUNDWATER SAMPLER (HYDROPUNCH)





PPDT CORRELATION



SOIL CLASSIFICATION CHART



After Robertson and Campenella

Figure 4

REFERENCES

- Robertson, P.K. and Campanella, R.G. and Wightman, A., 1983 "SPT-CPT Correlations", Journal of the Geotechnical Division, ASCE, Vol. 109, No. GT11, Nov., pp. 1449-1460.
- Robertson, P.K. and Wride C.E., 1998 "Evaluating Cyclic Liquefaction Potential Using The Cone Penetration Test", Journal of Geotechnical Division, Mar. 1998, pp. 442-459.
- Robertson, P.K. and Campanella, R.G., Gillespie, D. and Greig, J., 1986, "Use of Piezometer Cone Data", Proceedings of In Situ 86, ASCE Specialty Conference, Blacksburg, Virginia.
- Robertson, P.K. and Campanella, R.G., 1988, "Guidelines for Use, Interpretation and Application of the CPT and CPTU", UBC, Soil Mechanics Series No. 105, Civil Eng. Dept., Vancouver, B.C., V6T 1W5, Canada.
- Robertson, P.K., Campanella, R.G., Gillespie, D. and Rice, A., 1986, "Seismic CPT to Measure In Situ Shear Wave Velocity", Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 112, No. 8, pp. 791-803.

PRESENTATION OF CONE PENETRATION TEST DATA

MURRIETA CREEK

TEMECULA, CALIFORNIA

Prepared for:

TRC/ALTON GEOSCIENCE San Diego, California

Prepared by:

GREGG IN SITU, INC. Signal Hill, California 01-297sh

Prepared on:

January 14, 2002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

2.0 FIELD EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES

3.0 CONE PENETRATION TEST DATA & INTERPRETATION

- 3.1 CPT PLOTS
- 3.2 PORE PRESSURE DISSIPATION PLOTS

APPENDIX

- Figure 1 Piezocone Figure
- Figure 2 Groundwater Sampler
- Figure 3 PPDT Correlation Figure
- Figure 4 Soil Classification Chart
- References

ATTACHMENTS

- Computer Diskette with ASCII Files

PRESENTATION OF CONE PENETRATION TEST DATA

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of a Cone Penetration Testing (CPT) and in situ groundwater sampling program carried out at the Murrieta Creek site located in Temecula, CA. The work was performed on October 30 and 31, 2001. The scope of work was performed as directed by TRC/Alton Geoscience personnel.

2.0 FIELD EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES

The Cone Penetration Tests (CPT) were carried out by GREGG IN SITU, INC. of Signal Hill, CA using an integrated electronic cone system. The CPT soundings were performed in accordance with ASTM standards (D 5778-95). A 20 ton capacity cone was used for all of the soundings (figure 1). This cone has a tip area of 15 cm² and friction sleeve area of 225 cm². The cone is designed with an equal end area friction sleeve and a tip end area ratio of 0.85.

The cones used during the program recorded the following parameters at 5 cm depth intervals:

- Tip Resistance (qc)
- Sleeve Friction (fs)
- Dynamic Pore Pressure (U)

The above parameters were printed simultaneously on a printer and stored on a computer diskette for future analysis and reference.

The pore water pressure element was located directly behind the cone tip. The pore water pressure element was 5.0 mm thick and consisted of porous plastic. Each of the elements were saturated in silicon oil under vacuum pressure prior to penetration. Pore pressure dissipations were recorded at 5 second intervals when appropriate during pauses in the penetration.

A complete set of baseline readings was taken prior to each sounding to determine temperature shifts and any zero load offsets. Monitoring base line readings ensures that the cone electronics are operating properly.

The cones were pushed using GREGG IN SITU's CPT rig, having a down pressure capacity of approximately 25 tons. Three CPT soundings were performed. The penetration tests were carried to depths of approximately 88 to 100 feet below ground surface. Test locations and depths were determined in the field by TRC/Alton personnel.

GREGG IN SITU, INC. January 14, 2002 01-297sh TRC/ALTON GEOSCIENCE Murrieta Creek Temecula, CA.

In situ groundwater samples were taken at three locations. Groundwater samples were collected using a Hydropunch® type groundwater sampling system (figure 2). The groundwater sampler operates by pushing 1.75 diameter hollow rods with a retrievable tip. A stainless steel filter screen is attached to the tip. At the desired sampling depth, the rods are retracted exposing the filter screen and allowing for groundwater infiltration. A small diameter bailer is then used to collect groundwater samples through the hollow rod.

The CPT/groundwater sample holes were grouted using our support rig. The grouting procedure consists of pushing a hollow CPT rod with a "knock out" plug back down the hole to the test hole termination depth. Grout is then pumped under pressure as the tremie pipe is pulled from the hole.

3.0 CONE PENETRATION TEST DATA & INTERPRETATION

The cone penetration test data is presented in graphical form. Penetration depths are referenced to existing ground surface. This data includes CPT logs of measured soil parameters and a computer tabulation of interpreted soil types along with additional geotechnical parameters and pore pressure dissipation data.

The stratigraphic interpretation is based on relationships between cone bearing (qc), sleeve friction (fs), and penetration pore pressure (U). The friction ratio (Rf), which is sleeve friction divided by cone bearing, is a calculated parameter which is used to infer soil behavior type. Generally, cohesive soils (clays) have high friction ratios, low cone bearing and generate large excess pore water pressures. Cohesionless soils (sands) have lower friction ratios, high cone bearing and generate little in the way of excess pore water pressures.

Pore Pressure Dissipation Tests (PPDT's) were taken at various intervals in order to measure hydrostatic water pressures and approximate depth to groundwater table. In addition, the PPDT data can be used to estimate the horizontal permeability (k_h) of the soil. The correlation to permeability is based on the time required for 50 percent of the measured dynamic pore pressure to dissipate (t_{50}). The PPDT correlation figure (figure 3) is provided in the Appendix.

The interpretation of soils encountered on this project was carried out using recent correlations developed by Robertson et al, 1988. It should be noted that it is not always possible to clearly identify a soil type based on qc, fs and U. In these situations, experience and judgement and an assessment of the pore pressure dissipation data

GREGG IN SITU, INC. January 14, 2002 01-297sh

TRC/ALTON GEOSCIENCE Murrieta Creek Temecula, CA.

should be used to infer the soil behavior type. The soil classification chart (figure 4) used to interpret soil types based on qc and Rf is provided in the Appendix.

We hope the information presented is sufficient for your purposes. We recommend that all data be carefully reviewed by qualified personnel to verify the data and make appropriate recommendations. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office at (562) 427-6899.

Sincerely, GREGG IN SITU, INC.

Brian Savela Operations Manager

3.1 CPT PLOTS







(ff) dfg90







3.2 PORE PRESSURE DISSIPATION PLOTS













APPENDIX

ELECTRICAL PIEZOCONE



Figure 1

GROUNDWATER SAMPLER (HYDROPUNCH)



Figure 2

PPDT CORRELATION


SOIL CLASSIFICATION CHART



After Robertson and Campenella

Figure 4

REFERENCES

- Robertson, P.K. and Campanella, R.G. and Wightman, A., 1983 "SPT-CPT Correlations", Journal of the Geotechnical Division, ASCE, Vol. 109, No. GT11, Nov., pp. 1449-1460.
- Robertson, P.K. and Wride C.E., 1998 "Evaluating Cyclic Liquefaction Potential Using The Cone Penetration Test", Journal of Geotechnical Division, Mar. 1998, pp. 442-459.
- Robertson, P.K. and Campanella, R.G., Gillespie, D. and Greig, J., 1986, "Use of Piezometer Cone Data", Proceedings of In Situ 86, ASCE Specialty Conference, Blacksburg, Virginia.
- Robertson, P.K. and Campanella, R.G., 1988, "Guidelines for Use, Interpretation and Application of the CPT and CPTU", UBC, Soil Mechanics Series No. 105, Civil Eng. Dept., Vancouver, B.C., V6T 1W5, Canada.
- Robertson, P.K., Campanella, R.G., Gillespie, D. and Rice, A., 1986, "Seismic CPT to Measure In Situ Shear Wave Velocity", Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 112, No. 8, pp. 791-803.

APPENDIX E LABORATORY REPORTS AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Site Assessment Report 76 Station 6519, 28903 Rancho California Road, Temecula, California August 28, 2002

APPENDIX F WASTE DISPOSAL MANIFESTS